

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 20 February 2026 10:59:59 UTC+00:00
To: "Stefan Galyas" <stefan.galyas@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/26/0274

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 20/02/2026 10:59 AM.

Application Summary

Address:	Mcdonalds Drive Thru And Restaurant Civic Way Burgess Hill West Sussex RH15 9AR
Proposal:	Variation to condition 14 of BH.134.94 to extend the trading hours from 07:00- 23:00, seven days a week to 06:00-00:00, seven days a week.
Case Officer:	Stefan Galyas

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address: 1 Queen Elizabeth Avenue Burgess Hill

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	Dear Mr Galyas, I write to formally and unequivocally object to Application DM/26/0274 seeking to extend the trading hours of the McDonald's Drive Thru and Restaurant at Civic Way, Burgess Hill. The proposal to operate from 06:00 until 00:00, seven days a week, would cause unacceptable harm to residential amenity and significantly worsen existing noise and environmental

disturbances already affecting the surrounding neighbourhood. The area is residential in character, and the current operation already generates persistent noise nuisance from drive-thru traffic, engines idling, revving, car doors slamming, delivery vehicles, and customer activity during early mornings and late evenings. Extending these hours would materially intensify this disturbance and further undermine residents' right to the peaceful enjoyment of their homes.

There are also ongoing issues relating to early morning waste collection, which creates substantial noise at unsociable hours. In addition, residents experience unpleasant food odours, littering, and general environmental pollution associated with the premises. These matters raise legitimate public health and wellbeing concerns and demonstrate the cumulative impact of the existing operation.

In planning terms, the proposal fails to properly safeguard residential amenity and does not appear to give sufficient weight to the cumulative harm already experienced by local residents. The extension of hours would disproportionately prioritise commercial interests over the health, wellbeing, and quality of life of the community.

Given the existing level of disturbance and the residential context of the site, granting extended hours would be unreasonable and contrary to the principles of protecting residential amenity from noise nuisance and environmental harm.

For these reasons, I respectfully urge the Council to refuse this application.

Kind regards