

Steven King

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 03 March 2025 11:54
To: Worth Parish Clerk 1
Cc: Worth Parish Clerk 2; ian.gibson; Steven King
Subject: Re: RE: Wates' Application to build 200 + 150 houses on Huntsland Farm & environs
Attachments: WPC submission shortened to 3-minutes.docx

[REDACTED]

Dear Leanne

Thanks again for your advice that one only has 3 minutes for the talk.

I now attach a shortened version and hope it is not too late and unformatted version pasted below.

I am forwarding this to Steven King at MSDC as you advised.

Hope to meet you shortly before 8pm this evening at the Haven.

Kind regards

[REDACTED]

OBJECTION TO DEVELOPMENTS ON HUNTSLAND FARM
Applications DM/25/0014 & 0015

Appeal to Worth Parish Council at the Haven Centre, Turners Hill.

[REDACTED]

preserve the natural environment and farming from urban sprawl. It provided for growth in the Crawley-Gatwick Growth Area to reap economies of scale in public expenditure on services and to help consolidate business uses. The Strategy was adopted by the London & South East Councils* and stands as a broad, long term framework for planning decisions. It has not been superseded. (Have a copy here).

As Chair of the Rowfant Society I speak for most of 100 or so residents who want that Strategy adhered to, to avoid expansion, beyond natural increase, of the little village of Crawley Down and Turners Hill Parish.

As a Rowfant resident, since 1955, at the foot of the Huntsland hill, along with several others, we are extremely anxious about flooding from impervious concreted areas. The increased flow-rate will over-flow the stream running through our gardens, causing water-logging and trees to over-turn. It will flood the fishing ponds to the west and the lake at Rowfant House. It will also wipe out the Great Crested Newts evident in the pool at the foot of the site.

We have no faith in Retention Ponds on the site, as these silt up, still need over-flow channels and


may slide or even burst in freak storms.

We therefore request the Council warn developers of an a priori Condition to build and run a pumping station to pump water and sewage back up to the main drain and sewer on Turners Hill Road (which are in need of repair) at cost to developers in perpetuity. There being no main drains down Wallage Lane.

We also Object to the Proposals on grounds that: A) They detract from the rural character of the area; B) The brick boxes are out of keeping with existing buildings; C) A disproportionate influx of about 1,000 people with children will disturb this quiet neighbourhood, bringing noise, litter, anti-social behaviour; vandalism, trespassing and burglary; D) They will over-load existing services, impeding our access to them and requiring extra expenditure; Finally, E) Local roads are unsuitable for extra traffic, especially pedestrians and cyclists, being narrow, with blind bends, no side-walks, no lighting and no speed control on Wallage Lane.

As author of 'The Costs of New Towns' I've suggested to Government and MSDC it would be economically wise to house new populations in New Towns, in Regions needing investment, on reclaimed rather than agricultural land, where everyone would be happy.

We therefore strongly Object to both development Applications. Thank you.



- The Standing Conference on London & South East Regional Planning

----- Original Message -----



Sent: Friday, February 28th 2025, 15:28

Subject: RE: Wates' Application to build 200 + 150 houses on Huntsland Farm & environs



Dear James

Thank you for your email.

Are you attending the meeting on 3rd March to talk through your objections? If so, you will have three minutes to speak so you may want to practise so that you can fit it all in. If not, we can forward your email to all committee members.

Can I please just check that you have submitted your comments to Mid Sussex District Council? They are the decision-maker so it is essential that they receive your comment.

Kind regards



Our emails are checked before sending but we take no responsibility for inadvertent transmission of viruses. We advise that email is not secure or confidential. If you have received this message in error you are asked to destroy it and advise us please. Our emails are confidential to the intended recipient, are our property and may not be utilised, copied or transmitted to third parties.

Fr [REDACTED]
Sent: 28 February 2025 15:24

