

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 05 April 2026 21:39:18 UTC+01:00
To: "Susan Dubberley" <susan.dubberley@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/26/0676

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 05/04/2026 9:39 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	West Hoathly Brickworks Hamsey Road Sharpthorne East Grinstead West Sussex RH19 4PB
----------	--

Proposal:	Variation of condition no 31 relating to planning application DM/23/0827 - to allow amendments to the Energy and Sustainability Statement.
-----------	--

Case Officer:	Susan Dubberley
---------------	-----------------

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	4 Hamsey Road Sharpthorne
----------	---------------------------

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
-----------------	-----------------------------

Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
---------	--

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	We strongly object to this proposal DM/26/0676.
-----------	---

The removal of the previously agreed solar panels is entirely unacceptable. These measures were clearly part of the original development commitments, and their omission represents a significant step backwards in terms of sustainability, environmental responsibility, and long-term energy resilience.

Approving this change would place additional strain on an already unreliable local electricity supply, directly impacting existing residents as well as future occupants. It is unreasonable to expect the community to bear the consequences of what appears to be a cost cutting exercise by the developer.

It is particularly concerning that these changes are being proposed after works have already commenced. This undermines confidence in the planning process and sets a worrying precedent that agreed conditions can be weakened or disregarded once permission has been granted.

We urge the council to reject this application and require the developer to adhere to the original approved plans. The community should not be disadvantaged by post-approval amendments that reduce standards and shift the burden onto local infrastructure.

Kind regards