

Delegated Decision

Sign off Sheet

Ref. No:	DM/25/0165	Case Officer:	Emma Rivett
Application Type:	Trees in a Conservation Area		
Proposal:	Group of leylandii -(G1) - Fell. Poplar (T1) - Re-pollard large stem overhanging neighbours property. Sycamore (T2) - Fell. Sycamore (T3) - Fell.		
Site:	Colwood, Halton Shaws, Hurstpierpoint, Hassocks, West Sussex, BN6 9QR, ,		
Validation Date	27 Jan 2025	Overall Expiry Date:	18 Feb 2025
Pre-Commencement Conditions Required:		Pre-Com Conditions Date Agreed:	
Recommendation:	No Objection	Recommendation Date:	3 Feb 2025
Target Date:	10 Mar 2025	Recommending Officer Signature:	Emma Rivett

Date Legal Agreement Completed: (if applicable)		No of Representations:	0
--	--	-------------------------------	---

Signed and Agreed By:	Beth Howland-Smith	Date:	6 Mar 2025
Comments:			

MID SUSSEX DISTRICT COUNCIL

DM/25/0165

**Colwood, Halton Shaws, Hurstpierpoint, Hassocks, West Sussex, BN6 9QR,
Group of leylandii -(G1) - Fell. Poplar (T1) - Re-pollard large stem overhanging neighbours
property. Sycamore (T2) - Fell.
Sycamore (T3) - Fell.
Mr Chris Webster**

The proposed works are as described.

All the trees are in the side and back garden of the property and only T3 sycamore can be seen from the road.

G1 is a group of leylandii, which are at the side of the property and are not visible from the highway. They are very close to the house and cast much shade in this area of the garden. They would not be worthy of TPO.

T1 is a poplar, which is adjacent to G1 and overhangs the neighbour's garden slightly. It has been previously pollarded. To repollard this tree would be good maintenance for it.

T2 is a sycamore which heavily leans over the garden. It is a poor specimen and due to the excessive lean, is likely to fail.

T3 is a sycamore at the very bottom of the garden and is starting to encroach on the adjacent gardens. There has been some significant crown damage prior to the application and despite it being visible from the private road, would not be worthy of TPO for that reason.

No objection is recommended.

Decision: No Objection

Case Officer: Emma Rivett