

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 01 April 2025 07:18:46 UTC+01:00
To: "Hamish Evans" <hamish.evans@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/25/0589

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 01/04/2025 7:18 AM.

Application Summary

Address:	Land North Of Leylands Road Burgess Hill West Sussex
Proposal:	The installation of 1 No. 30 metre high lattice tower mounted with 9 No. antennas, 12 No. cabinets, within a compound comprised of 2.4 metre high palisade fencing painted green, 1 No. electrical metre cabinet and associated development thereto.
Case Officer:	Hamish Evans

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address: 3 Maple Close Burgess Hill

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	Although I'm minded to favour improved signal for everyone over the view from my own garden, I don't understand why in this case a unidirectional transmitter isn't being installed on land the railway already owns at the North end of Wivelsfield station; where there is a nice straight unobstructed view down the railway line. Since the transmitter would already be on the railway line, it would presumably not need to be so tall plus it would be three times as

far from the nearest houses west of the railway and significantly shielded from view of the houses east of the railway by the existing mature trees.

A directional transmitter can also have a much greater range than the proposed omnidirectional one, so would presumably improve coverage along a longer stretch of the railway line.

(Apologies if this ends up as a duplicate comment but my original was submitted more than 5 days ago and has not appeared on the website so I am assuming it is lost)

Kind regards