

SITE B, WOODSIDE GRANGE




WOODSLANDS ROAD, HASOCKS, BN6 8EX

Flood Risk and Drainage Assessments

December 2023


(Revised January 2024, February 2024, February 2025, June 2025)



eas ltd

Environmental Assessment Services Ltd

REPORT DATA SHEET

Requirement	Data
Report Reference	654/Lock/SiteBWoodsideGrangeHassocks/FR&DA
Date	November 2023
Client	Tony Lock
Report type	Flood Risk and Drainage Assessment
Purpose	Submission to Planning
Revisions	January 2024, February 2024, February 2025, June 2025
Prepared by	Xanthe Lyford BSc (Hons) Signed 
Approved by	Malcolm McKemey Eur Ing Malcolm McKemey BSc (Hons), CEng, CEnv, MICE, MIEAust, MCIWEM, MIEEnvSc  Signed

SITE B, WOODSIDE GRANGE




WOODSLANDS ROAD, HASSECKS, BN6 8EX

Flood Risk and Drainage Assessments

December 2023

(Revised January 2024, February 2024, February 2025, June 2025)



eas ltd

Environmental Assessment Services Ltd

MR TONY LOCK

SITE B, WOODSIDE GRANGE, WOODSLAND ROAD, HASSOCKS BN6 8EX

Flood Risk and Drainage Assessment

November 2023

(Revised January 2024, February 2024, February 2025, June 2025)

CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION
2. EXISITING SITE & PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT
3. FLOOD RISK & DEFENCES
4. ALTERNATIVE SOURCES OF FLOODING
5. HISTORY OF FLOODING
6. PLANNING STATUS WITH RESPECT TO FLOODING
7. RISK TO PROPERTY
8. RISK TO OCCUPIERS OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT
9. LIKELY IMPACT ON FLOOD RISK ELSEWHERE
10. DRAINAGE
11. SUMMARY
12. RECOMMENDATIONS

APPENDIX A:	FIGURES
	Figure 1: Site location
	Figure 2: Existing Site Layout
	Figure 3: Proposed Redevelopment
	Figure 4: Site Photographs
	Figure 5: Map of Drainage Ditch Connection to Watercourse

APPENDIX B:	ENVIRONMENT AGENCY FLOOD MAPPING
APPENDIX C:	PROPOSED DRAINAGE DESIGN
APPENDIX D:	OUTPUT FROM DRAINAGE DESIGN SOFTWARE
APPENDIX E:	TAYLOR WIMPEY SEWER AND DRAINAGE PLANS
APPENDIX F:	GREENFIELD RUNOFF RATES FOR SITE
APPENDIX G:	GOULD BAXTER REPORT

MR TONY LOCK

SITE B, WOODSIDE GRANGE, WOODSLAND ROAD, HASSOCKS BN6 8EX

Flood Risk and Drainage Assessment

November 2023

(Revised January 2024, February 2024, February 2025, June 2025)

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Environmental Assessment Services Ltd was instructed to prepare a Flood Risk and Drainage Assessment (FR&DA) in relation to a planning application for the proposed development at Woodside Grange, Hassocks.
- 1.2 The site-specific FR&DA is prepared generally in accordance with the Revised National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and its accompanying Update Planning Practice Guidance (PPG), published in July 2018.
- 1.3 This report has been prepared for the purposes of addressing the planning authority and Environment Agency's (EA) concerns over the flood risks relating to the proposed development. It should be appreciated that the potential for flooding at a site depends on many factors, some natural, some man-made and some arising from accident, and that these factors may change over the life of the proposed development. The flood risk in this report is assessed on the basis of available information, which is generally catalogued in the report but does not take into account any special risks or requirements of the development unless we have been specifically informed of them.
- 1.4 Any construction levels suggested in this report should therefore be considered as minimum levels and the client and any person appointed to undertake the design of the development should satisfy themselves that any such level is in all respects suitable for their purposes.

2. EXISTING SITE & PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

- 2.1 The flood risk assessment is prepared for the proposed development of Woodside Grange, Hassocks to provide two new build two-storey houses with associated parking on site B, (the existing pond is to remain within site B - the boundary between the two properties lies through the centre of the pond). Also, a single-storey wheelchair accessible dwelling with parking provision and a pool on site A (the existing tennis court on site A is to remain). The existing property and garage are to be retained as a separate residence. The Ordnance Survey reference for the site is TQ 30891 16422 and the site elevation is

approximately + 44 m AOD. The total site area is 2500 m². See Figure 1 in Appendix A.

- 2.2 The site (as existing) is occupied by a house with a parking area, garage and swimming pool, which is to remain as a neighbouring residence to the proposed dwellings on site B. The rest of the property is currently laid to lawn, with extensive mature trees and shrubs. The proposed site is bordered to the east by the Taylor Wimpey housing development (currently under construction), to the north by the proposed Site A (a single-storey dwelling, with pool and tennis courts), to the south by the existing house and garage at Woodside Grange and to the west by the ditch which flows northwards before joining another watercourse that flows under the London to Brighton railway line. See Figure 2 in Appendix A for the existing site layout, Figure 5 in Appendix A for a map of the drainage ditch connection to the watercourse. Figure 3 in Appendix A shows the path of the watercourse/ditch.
- 2.3 According to the British Geological Survey, the site lies on Lower Greensand over Weald Clay. DEFRA classify the soil at the site as “slowly permeable, seasonally wet but rich based loamy and clay soil”.
- 2.4 The south of the existing site lies on a Principal Aquifer (Lower Greensand) and is in an area of high groundwater vulnerability. This area however is situated under the existing property and not the proposed development sites.
- 2.5 The site borders directly onto a small stream/ditch that flows northwards alongside the railway line to the west of the site. Figure 5 and Figure 3 in Appendix A for maps show the connection of the ditch to the watercourse. There is also a drainage ditch towards the northeastern edge of the site. This lies largely under the hedge/vegetation and flows north towards the proposed Taylor Wimpey SuDS Pond. See Figure 5 in Appendix A for map.
- 2.6 The site is to be developed, excluding the existing house and garage and the area to the north (Site A), for use as two separate residential, two-storey dwellings with associated parking. The existing pond on the site is to be retained for use as an attenuation storage pond (the maintenance of which is to be shared as a party maintenance agreement by the proposed future residents of the two properties, the boundary of which bisects the pond). The pond currently overflows into the watercourse/drainage ditch at the western boundary of the site.
- 2.7 See Figure 3 in Appendix A for the proposed redevelopment plan.

3. FLOOD RISK & DEFENCES

3.1 Outline Flood Risk

- 3.1.1 The EA Flood Map for Planning showed the site as lying within Flood Zone 1, and with a 0.1% (or less) chance of flooding each year from fluvial sources, where defences are not considered. The EA’s online ‘Risk of Flooding from

Surface Water' map shows that the site is at very low risk of surface water flooding. See Appendix B.

- 3.1.2 The Level 1 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (prepared by Gould Baxter - October 2023) provides a review of potential sources of flooding and proposed mitigation. The EA data provides modelled flood data and maps specific to the vicinity of the site. The modelled data indicates that the site lies within an area of very low risk of flooding from rivers, but partially on an area of low risk from flooding. (Low risk means that this area has a chance of flooding of between 0.1% and 1% each year).

3.2 Defences

- 3.2.1 The SFRA 2015 for the district did not identify any 'key flood defences' protecting the site. There are no fluvial defences affecting the site.

4. ALTERNATIVE SOURCES OF FLOODING

An assessment of alternative sources of flooding potentially affecting the site has also been made from a review of the Level 1 SFRA update 2017 and Level 2 West Sussex SFRA 2021 and EA online mapping.

The SFRA addresses alternative sources of flooding including fluvial flooding, surface water flooding, groundwater flooding, flooding from sewers and flooding from reservoirs.

4.1 Coastal Flooding

- 4.1.1 Due to the location and distance, the site is not at risk from coastal flooding.

4.2 Surface Water Flooding

- 4.2.1 The EA's online surface water flood risk map shows that the site is in an area at very low risk of surface water flooding (1 in 100 $\geq$ 1 in 1000), with a low risk also present at lower levels of the site (1 in 100). See Appendix B.
- 4.2.2 For consideration of flood mitigation measures, it is necessary to establish a design flood level for a designated Annual Exceedance Probability (AEP). For establishing a design flood level for the site, the probable life of the proposed development needs to be considered to adopt the appropriate climate change allowance, the design AEP and the appropriate flooding scenarios. The factors selected to establish the design flood level are summarised in Table 4.1 below.

TABLE 4.1
DESIGN FLOOD FACTORS

Factor	Value
Probable life of proposed development (residence)	100 years
Annual Exceedance Probability for fluvial flooding	1.0%
Climate change allowance for fluvial flows (2115)	+20% peak river flow

The design flood level is usually derived from the Environment Agency Product 4 for the site. It appears unlikely that any flood modelling will have extended this far into Flood Zone 1.

4.3 Sewer Flooding

- 4.3.1 The existing site does not have access to the mains sewer and foul water is disposed of directly into a cesspit on the site.
- 4.3.2 There does not appear to be any record of sewer flooding affecting the site due to the lack of any nearby sewer system.

4.4 Groundwater Flooding

- 4.4.1 Groundwater flooding occurs when the water table rises above the ground surface, normally as a result of persistent rainfall over a prolonged period. Increased levels of development in an area can increase the risk of groundwater flooding.
- 4.4.2 The site is not thought to be at risk from groundwater flooding.

4.5 Reservoirs

- 4.5.1 The EA online flood maps for planning tool shows that the site is not at risk of flooding from reservoirs.

5. HISTORY OF FLOODING

- 5.1 The history of flooding on site is taken from the West Sussex SFRA 2021, flooding has occurred in the village in 2000, 2003, 2008, 2012 and 2014.
- 5.2.1 The West Sussex County Council Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) Level 1 was published in 2015. The SFRA considered flood risk within the district and appropriate policy responses. There are no records of historic flooding on site. The closest record of flooding is from the Herring Stream in the centre of the village of Hassocks, at a lower elevation than the site.

- In November 2008 three shops in Keymer Road were flooded due to a blocked drain.
- In February 2014 a garden was flooded due to a surge of water in the Herring Stream during heavy rainfall. The culvert on Downs View Road was not large enough to handle very heavy rainfall.

5.2.2 No evidence of historic flood events directly affecting the site or its immediate surroundings has been found.

6. PLANNING STATUS WITH RESPECT TO FLOODING

- 6.1 The site is shown on the current Environment Agency (EA) flood risk mapping as being within Flood Zone 1 (at less than 0.1% risk of flooding in any year). The site is shown to lie in an area of very low risk of surface water flooding.
- 6.2 Residential dwellings are classified as 'More Vulnerable' under Table 2 of the NPPF PPG. According to Table 3 of the PPG, 'More Vulnerable' development is appropriate within Flood Zone 1.
- 6.3 The site lies within a long developed semi-rural area, which includes residential premises. The Sequential Test appears to be satisfied and there is no need to apply the Exception Test.

7. RISK TO PROPERTY

- 7.1 The site is in Flood Zone 1 and at very low risk for flooding from surface water. As long as the finished ground floor level is a suitable margin above ground level, no flood related risk to property is envisaged.
- 7.2 Recommended ground floor level will be 0.6 m above any design flood level or 0.3 m above adjacent ground level, whichever is the higher. Ground level at the site varies between +42.66 m OD and +44.32 m.
- 7.3 The recommended finished floor level should be 0.6 m above the design flood level or 0.3 m above typical existing ground level.

8. RISK TO OCCUPIERS OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

- 8.1 As the site lies in Flood Zone 1, is not at risk from coastal, groundwater or reservoir flooding and is at very low risk of surface water flooding, there should be very minimal flood related risk to the proposed occupants of the redevelopment whilst they are on site.

9. LIKELY IMPACT ON FLOOD RISK ELSEWHERE

- 9.1 The site is presently entirely under lawn and tree cover. The proposed redevelopment will leave the site partly under hard cover, where the access driveway enters the site and the properties themselves, with the rest laid to lawn. The drainage solutions outlined in the planning will mitigate any increase in surface water flood risk caused by the increase in impermeable areas of hard standing at the site. As such it is unlikely that the proposed redevelopment will increase flood risk elsewhere.
- 9.2 Flood risk downstream of the site may be reduced by including sustainable drainage (SuDS) measures in the proposed redevelopment such as permeable paving and rainwater harvesting for irrigation.

10. DRAINAGE

10.1 Surface Water Drainage

The existing surface water probably drains across the land into the ditch at the west of the site which runs over land alongside the railway line, moving to below ground flow as it flows north, as can be seen through the railway owned surface water drainage chambers. See Figure 1 for photographs of the railway owned surface water drainage chambers and the drainage ditch, Figure 3 for the plan of the site showing the direction of flow of the drainage ditch and watercourse and Figure 5 for a map showing the connection of the ditch to the watercourse in Appendix A.

The geology of the site has proven unsuitable for infiltration drainage, therefore the preferred surface water drainage solution is to drain directly into the pond on site, with a controlled flow overflow into the drainage ditch at the western border of the site. An orifice-controlled overflow pipe from the western edge of the pond will lead to the ditch/watercourse which drains northwards alongside the railway embankment before joining the watercourse flowing from the east (Taylor Wimpey site) and flowing under the railway. The course of this drainage ditch can be seen on the map in Figure 5, the plans in Figure 3 and the Photographs in Figure 4. The proposed future residents of the two houses would have a legal joint/co-ownership agreement for the pond and all management and maintenance related to the drainage scheme feeding into it (both parties holding equal rights, interests, title, and responsibility). The Pond can be drained into the drainage ditch/watercourse at the western border of the site via an overflow pipe which can be added to the pond at the time of drainage construction. The pond currently overflows across the surface of the land to the ditch/watercourse. See Appendix A Figure 4 for photographs of the pond.

An alternative drainage solution would comprise draining the existing pond into the proposed Taylor Wimpey SuDS Pond (leading to the proposed downstream drainage pond), adjacent to the northern border of the site being developed by Taylor Wimpey. This requires an agreement from Taylor Wimpey. This proposal is deemed unsuitable as, due to the number of residences already using the proposed Taylor Wimpey SuDS drainage system, an increase in the size of

the SuDS Pond would be needed. See Appendix D for the plan of the Taylor Wimpey site.

Attenuation storage would have the discharge limited to a maximum of Greenfield Qbar. This is achieved by the use of a vortex valve to control the flow of water to 2 l/s . See Table 10.1 below:

TABLE 10.1
GREENFIELD FLOWS

Factor/Return Period	Runoff Rate l/s
Qbar	1.8
1 Year	1.6
30 Year	4.2
100 Year	5.8

See Appendix F for full Greenfields Runoff Data

10.2 Design Storm

The surface water drainage system has been designed for the 1 in 30-year return period event plus a 35% allowance for climate change and tested for surcharge for the 1 in 100-year return period event (1% Annual Exceedance Probability) plus a 45% allowance for climate change with a maximum discharge of 2 l/s. See Appendix C for the proposed drainage design and Appendix D for pipe and chamber layout and sizing of the underground attenuation storage from the MasterDrain model.

10.3 Hydrological Data

Hydrological data for the site as used in the MasterDrain model is given in Appendix D and summarised in Table 10.2 below:

TABLE 10.2
MasterDrain Data

Chamber Number	Chamber Diameter (mm)	Invert Level (m AOD)	Pipe Diameter out (m AOD)
SW4	450	43.37	100
SW3	450	43.71	100
SW2	450	42.80	100
SW1	600	42.66	225
Pond	-	41.9	225

The attenuation pond needs to be approximately 7.4 m in, diameter which allows for a maximum depth of 1.5 m and a freeboard of 0.3 m. Climate change additional volume has been taken into account with a 1:100 plus storm event margin. The existing pond meets the required dimensions. See Appendix D for the calculations of freeboard and attenuation size.

10.4 Drainage Design Model

The attenuation pond has been designed to accommodate the 1 in 100-year return period storm event plus a 45% allowance for climate change. An appropriate attenuation pond size has been calculated as 7.4 m diameter. The existing pond is appropriately sized and contains enough freeboard for it to be a suitable method of draining surface water from the two proposed dwellings. This allows for a maximum depth of 1.5 m and a 0.3 m freeboard. See Appendix D for the calculations for the attenuation storage and freeboard and Appendix C for a plan of the drainage design.

10.5 Extreme Event

An extreme event, which overwhelmed the drainage system, would likely result in water following the existing course and flowing westwards across the surface of the ground into the existing drainage ditch located parallel to the railway line inside the western red line boundary.

10.6 Additional SuDS Measures

Other SuDS measures that would reduce peak surface water flows include permeable paving for the external areas, rainwater harvesting, rain gardens and a green roof for the building.

10.7 Foul Sewerage

Foul sewage from the existing house on the site is presently discharged to a cesspit. The proposed dwelling on site B plans to attach to the public foul sewer (currently under construction) at the edge of the Taylor Wimpey site via chamber FW.IC.A. This requires an agreement with Taylor Wimpey and Southern Water. See Appendix E for plans for the Taylor Wimpey sewer and

Appendix A figure 4 for photographs of the Taylor Wimpey site boundary. It is intended that the foul sewerage on the Taylor Wimpey development should be adopted by Southern Water.

The foul sewerage from the proposed development should be connected to the main sewage system at the proposed Foul Chamber (FW.IC.A) situated on the western edge of the Taylor Wimpey site, as outlined in the planning. A connection pipe from the chamber to the eastern border of the site will be required at the time of the sewer construction by Taylor Wimpey.

10.8 Drainage Design

A surface water and foul sewerage drainage design has been prepared for the site using the MasterDrain SW and MasterDrain Foul network models. See Appendix C for the drainage plan and Appendix D for the output from the drainage models.

11. SUMMARY

- 11.1 The site lies within Flood Zone 1 and is unlikely to be at risk of flooding from fluvial sources. The site is not at risk from flooding from reservoirs or coastal waters and is at very low risk of flooding from surface water. No other flood risks likely to affect the site have been identified.
- 11.2 A design flood level at the site, based on the 1 in 100-year return period event plus a 40% allowance for climate change, has been derived based on the Environment Agency's flood model for the location at +44 m OD. The ground floor level of the residential part of the development and the access to the access road should be 0.6 m above the design flood level or 0.3 m above ground level, whichever is the higher.
- 11.3 There should be no significant flood risk to buildings or occupants and safe access should be available to the northwest onto the access road under all eventualities.
- 11.4 The underlying geology and presence of a permanent pond on site suggests that drainage to soakaway will not be practicable and that the surface water at the site may be most suited to being drained to attenuation storage with flow-controlled vortex valve, limiting the discharge to 2 l/s. The existing pond at site B is suitable for this with the addition of a flow-controlled vortex valve limiting the flow to 2 l/s and a 225 mm overflow pipe leading to the drainage ditch (which connects to a watercourse to the north) located at the western edge of the site. The required size of pond is 7.4 m in diameter; the existing pond exceeds these dimensions. It would be jointly owned and maintained by the future residents of both houses via a party maintenance agreement. This would be the preferred option and would be the simplest and most practicable solution. See Appendix C for the proposed drainage design.

-
- 11.5 The alternative to drainage to the existing pond would be drainage to the proposed Taylor Wimpey SuDS Pond and downstream drainage pond, adjacent to site A. This has been discounted due to the capacity already taken by the residences on the neighbouring estate.
- 11.6 Additional sustainable drainage (SuDS) measures would be permeable paving for the external parking and access areas, rainwater harvesting, a rain garden and a green roof.
- 11.7 Foul sewerage from the redeveloped site should be drained to the public foul sewer at the proposed connection point via the proposed Southern Water foul chamber situated at the western most edge of the Taylor Wimpey development site, adjacent to the eastern boundary of the site.

12. RECOMMENDATIONS

- 12.1 A capacity check should be commissioned from Southern Water to confirm that there is adequate capacity in the existing foul sewer for the increased flow from the redeveloped site.
- 12.2 It is recommended that the external paved areas should have permeable surfacing laid over a drainage blanket of no-fines stone wrapped in permeable geotextile.
- 12.3 It is recommended that a formal legal party boundary and maintenance agreement be drawn up for the management of the drainage pond between the residences.

☆☆☆☆☆☆

APPENDIX A

- Figure 1: Site location**
- Figure 2: Existing Site Layout**
- Figure 3: Proposed Redevelopment**
- Figure 4: Site Photographs**
- Figure 5: Map of Tributary Connection to Watercourse**

Figure 1: Site Location





Figure 2: Existing Site Layout



[illegible]

Figure 4: Site Photographs



Existing House



View onto Site B showing existing pond and tennis courts on Site A



Site B pond (eastern edge)



Site B Pond (western edge)



Boundary of Site (facing railway line)



Taylor Wimpey Site boundary showing path/location of proposed foul sewer line



Ditch and boundary fence, western edge of the site.



Showing culvert under the footpath to the southwest of the site



Ditch at the northeastern boundary of the site used by Taylor Wimpey for Surface water SuDS drainage.



Drainage ditch to the east of the site (proposed overflow ditch from the attenuation pond)



The drainage ditch to the east of the site flowing underground northwards



Top of chamber showing the northwards flow of the drainage ditch



Internal view of the 1st chamber



Internal view of the 2nd chamber



Internal view of the 3rd chamber




Internal view of the 4th chamber, with drainage ditch from the Taylor Wimpey site (from the east) joining the watercourse (already draining from the south, past the Site A). The watercourse flows northwest under in embankment via this chamber.



Taylor Wimpey ditch flowing from the east.

Figure 5: Maps of Drainage Ditch Connection to Watercourse



APPENDIX B

Environment Agency Flood Mapping



Site in Flood Zone 1



APPENDIX C: Proposed Drainage Layout

Appendix C: Site B Drainage Design

Drainage Ditch

Outfall 2 1/2" Pipe Diameter 225 mm

Flow Control Vortex Valve

Min diameter 7.4 m
Max Depth 1.5 m
Freeboard 0.3 m

Existing pond for attenuation
GL 43.58
IL 41.9

Chamber Diameters
SW1 - 600 mm
SW2, SW3, SW4 - 450 mm

Chamber Diameters
FW1 - 600 mm
FW2, FW3, FW4 - 450 mm

Existing Garage

Site boundary

Pipe Diameter 100 mm

Existing house Woodside Grange

New parking provision for 3 cars per house

Waste & Recycle bin

Pond House One
GL 43.21
IL 43.71

Pond House Two
GL 43.1
IL 42.8

Pipe Diameter 100 mm

GL 43.9
IL 42.73

Two new build two storey houses

GL 43.44
IL 43.1

GL 42.66
IL 41.04

FW1
To Main Sewer
(New chamber under construction by Taylor Wimpey)

FW2
GL 43.44
IL 43.1

FW3
GL 43.9
IL 42.73

FW4
GL 43.21
IL 43.71

SW1
GL 43.1
IL 42.8

SW2
GL 43.1
IL 42.8

SW3
GL 43.21
IL 43.71

SW4
GL 43.21
IL 43.71

PROPOSED SITE PLAN_1: 500 @ A2

New driveway, turning circle and parking to service new Pond Houses and Pavilion subject to separate planning application

Proposed new single storey Pavilion and associated works subject to separate planning application

Woodside Grange, Woodlands Road
Hassocks, BN6 8EX

Woodside Grange, Woodlands Road
Hassocks, BN6 8EX



SHERLOCK STUDIO

SHERLOCK STUDIO LTD
Unit 4, Depeck Yard, North Street
Brighton BN1 1PD
01273 690011

*This drawing is the copyright of Sherlock Studio Ltd and may not be reproduced in any format without prior written permission.
Drawing not to be scaled and all dimensions to be used. Discrepancies to be reported to Sherlock Design prior to manufacture.
All materials, fixings and accessories to be fit for purpose and to meet current BS standards, wherever not otherwise specified.*

CLIENT	Mr Hyunhee kang & Mr Tony lock	ORG No	1745_07	DRAWING	SITE PLAN AS PROPOSED
PROJECT	Woodside Grange, Plot 5, Haverock, SWS SEX	DATE	05.10.2023	REVISION	A - 02.11.2023
JOB No	1745	STATUS	PLANNING	SCALE	1:500 @ A2

APPENDIX D: Output From Drainage Design



MasterDrain
SW

Environmental Assessment
Services Ltd
<http://www.easltd.co.uk>

London Rd, Hickstead
Haywards Heath,
West Sussex, RH17 5LZ
Tel: 01444 882552
email: info@easltd.co.uk

Job No. 654		
Sheet no. 1		
Date 11/06/25		
By XL	Checked	Reviewed

Project Site B Woodside Grange
Title Surcharge calcs (Sized at 30 yrs storm) for WOODSIDE GRANGE SITE B.SW CCF = 45%

Free flowing outlet
Return period = 100 yrs
Climate change factor = 45

PEAK hydrograph values printed
Storm duration = 15 mins
No offline storage

Mean rain intens. 140.00 mm/hr
Storm profile = Summer
Using FSR data

Peak rain intens. 548.80 mm/hr
Sample period = 7.5 secs.

Entry No.	SECT. No.	MANHOLE REF	PIPE CAPACITY l/s	RATE FLOW l/s	PIPE SIZE mm	CHAMBER DIAM/LxW mm	INVERT LEVEL m	WATER LEVEL m	GRND LEVEL m	SURCHARGE fract.	Depth	EXCESS FLOW l/s	FLOODED VOL m³	DRAINED AREA (m²) :x FACTOR	STATUS	
1	I	1.01	SW4	19.3	13.4	100	450	43.37	43.44	43.87	0.69	0.07	0.00	0.000	201	OK
2	B	2.01	SW3	30.6	6.6	100	450	43.71	43.74	44.21	0.22	0.03	0.00	0.000	99	OK
3	B	3.01	SW2	10.6	6.6	100	450	42.80	42.86	43.30	0.63	0.06	0.00	0.000	99	OK
4	I	1.02	SW1	62.2	26.6	225	600	42.66	42.76	43.94	0.43	0.10	0.00	0.000	399	OK



MasterDrain
SW

Environmental Assessment Services Ltd

<http://www.easltd.co.uk>

London Rd, Hickstead
Haywards Heath,
West Sussex, RH17 5LZ
Tel: 01444 882552
email: info@easltd.co.uk

Job No. 654		
Sheet no. 2		
Date 11/06/25		
By XL	Checked	Reviewed

Project	Site B Woodside Grange
Title	Surcharge calcs (Sized at 30 yrs storm) for WOODSIDE GRANGE SITE B.SW CCF = 45%

Notes

Printout headings

- | | | |
|---|---|--|
| 1) Entry no - position in file | 2) Section no - pipe identifier | 3) Manhole ref - Manhole identifier |
| 4) Pipe cap - full bore capacity of that pipe | 5) Rate of flow - calculated flow rate (l/s) ± = flow restrictor. | 6) Pipe diam - outlet pipe diameter (mm) |
| 7) Chamber diam - chamber diam. at base of MH | 8) Invert level - invert level of manhole | 9) Water level - calculated peak water level. |
| 10) Grnd level - ground / cover level | 11) Surch. fract - calc.flow/pipe capacity | 12) Surch. depth - surcharge level above soffit |
| 13) Overflow - surcharged flow rate (l/s) | 14) Flooded vol - volume of water above cover | 15) Upstrm Vol - upstream pipe vol to previous manhole(s) |
| 16) Status - OK - outlet not surcharged | 17) Status - Surcharged - outlet surcharged | 18) Status - Warning - water level within 299mm of cover level |
| 19) Status - Flooded - cover over-topped | 20) § against diameter indicates throttle pipe used. | |

Title box

Hydrograph data

- | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| 1) Ret. period - that used to calculate profile | 2) Duration - length of storm (mins) | 3) Profile - either Winter (75%) or Summer (50%) |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|

Flood volumes

Check that the upstream storage of the manhole is adequate to take the flood volume - see Upstrm Vol above.



Environmental Assessment Services Ltd

<http://www.easltd.co.uk>

London Rd, Hickstead
Haywards Heath,
West Sussex, RH17 5LZ
Tel: 01444 882552
email: info@easltd.co.uk

Job No. 654		
Sheet no. 3		
Date 11/06/25		
By XL	Checked	Reviewed

MasterDrain
SW


Project **Site B Woodside Grange**

Title **Surcharge calcs (Sized at 30 yrs storm) for WOODSIDE GRANGE SITE B.SW CCF = 45%**

Time mins	Rain mm/hr	Time mins	Rain mm/hr	Time mins	Rain mm/hr
0:08	32.56	5:08	93.04	10:08	100.02
0:15	32.56	5:15	98.85	10:15	94.20
0:22	37.22	5:22	104.67	10:22	88.39
0:30	37.22	5:30	112.81	10:30	82.57
0:38	37.22	5:38	123.28	10:38	79.08
0:45	38.38	5:45	136.07	10:45	74.43
0:52	38.38	5:52	147.70	10:52	70.94
1:00	39.54	6:00	160.49	11:00	68.62
1:08	39.54	6:08	177.94	11:08	66.29
1:15	40.70	6:15	195.38	11:15	65.13
1:22	40.70	6:22	212.83	11:22	61.64
1:30	40.70	6:30	231.43	11:30	59.31
1:38	41.87	6:38	251.20	11:38	59.31
1:45	41.87	6:45	269.81	11:45	58.15
1:52	44.19	6:52	290.75	11:52	56.99
2:00	44.19	7:00	316.33	12:00	55.82
2:08	44.19	7:08	340.75	12:08	52.33
2:15	45.36	7:15	366.34	12:15	51.17
2:22	46.52	7:22	395.41	12:22	50.01
2:30	47.68	7:30	425.65	12:30	50.01
2:38	47.68	7:38	455.89	12:38	48.85
2:45	48.85	7:45	455.89	12:45	47.68
2:52	48.85	7:52	431.47	12:52	47.68
3:00	50.01	8:00	400.07	13:00	46.52
3:08	51.17	8:08	372.16	13:08	45.36
3:15	52.33	8:15	345.41	13:15	45.36
3:22	54.66	8:22	319.82	13:22	44.19
3:30	56.99	8:30	296.56	13:30	44.19
3:38	58.15	8:38	274.46	13:38	41.87
3:45	59.31	8:45	254.69	13:45	41.87
3:52	59.31	8:52	234.92	13:52	40.70
4:00	61.64	9:00	215.15	14:00	40.70
4:08	62.80	9:08	198.87	14:08	40.70
4:15	66.29	9:15	180.26	14:15	39.54
4:22	68.62	9:22	165.14	14:22	39.54
4:30	70.94	9:30	150.03	14:30	38.38
4:38	73.27	9:38	137.23	14:38	38.38
4:45	77.92	9:45	125.60	14:45	38.38
4:52	81.41	9:52	113.97	14:52	37.22
5:00	87.22	10:00	105.83	15:00	37.22

Hydrograph profile derived from data in the Flood Studies Report

Return period= 100 yrs Duration= 15 mins Profile - summer





MasterDrain
SW





Environmental Assessment Services Ltd

<http://www.easltd.co.uk>

London Rd, Hickstead
Haywards Heath,
West Sussex, RH17 5LZ
Tel: 01444 882552
email: info@easltd.co.uk

Job No. 654		
Sheet no. 4		
Date 11/06/25		
By XL	Checked	Reviewed

Project Site B Woodside Grange	Title Surcharge calcs (Sized at 30 yrs storm) for WOODSIDE GRANGE SITE B.SW CCF = 45%





MasterDrain
SW

Environmental Assessment Services Ltd


<http://www.easltd.co.uk>

London Rd, Hickstead
Haywards Heath,
West Sussex, RH17 5LZ
Tel: 01444 882552
email: info@easltd.co.uk

Job No.	654	
Sheet no.	5	
Date	11/06/25	
By	XL	Checked
		Reviewed

Project **Site B Woodside Grange**

Title **Surcharge calcs (Sized at 30 yrs storm) for WOODSIDE GRANGE SITE B.SW CCF = 45%**



Notes


- Lower section of the graph shows the water depth filling the channel. Channel is assumed to be a full pipe diameter in depth.
- Upper section of the graph shows the water depth filling the chamber. Chamber has a greater width/diameter than the channel, so increases in depth are proportionally less.
- The top of the graph clips the warning level and would be marked thus on the printout.
- In many cases the invert of the offline storage is required to enter at the channel soffit level, meaning that the pipe will still surcharge but flooding risk reduced.
- The diagram above is a general one and is not part of the current calculation.

Environmental Assessment Services Ltd http://www.easltd.co.uk London Rd, Hickstead Haywards Heath, West Sussex, RH17 5LZ Tel: 01444 882552 email: info@easltd.co.uk		Job No. 654		
		Sheet no. 1		
		Date 10/06/25 12:02		
Project Woodside Grange Site B		By XL	Checked	Reviewed
Title Manhole printout for WOODSIDE GRANGE SITE B.SW				


Manhole ref.	X ref	Y ref	Form	Cham diam. or length	Rect. width	Chamb. height	Shaft height	MH Volume	Exit Diam	Exit Crown	Exit Invert	Chamb const	Slab level	Cover Level	Dwnstr MH
SW4	9.83	12.06	circ	450	N/A	0.50		0.08	100	43.495	43.370	N/A	43.320	43.870	SW1
SW3	5.58	18.4	circ	450	N/A	0.50		0.08	100	43.835	43.710	N/A	43.660	44.210	SW1
SW2	14.6	18.46	circ	450	N/A	0.50		0.08	100	42.925	42.800	N/A	42.750	43.300	SW1
SW1	9.95	18.82	circ	600	N/A	1.28		0.36	225	42.910	42.660	N/A	42.610	43.940	POND

All manholes to be as per 'Sewers for Adoption'


Environmental Assessment Services Ltd http://www.easltd.co.uk		London Rd, Hickstead Haywards Heath, West Sussex, RH17 5LZ Tel: 01444 882552 email: info@easltd.co.uk		Job No. 654		
				Sheet no. 2		
				Date 10/06/25 12:02		
Project Woodside Grange Site B				By XL	Checked	Reviewed
Title Manhole printout for WOODSIDE GRANGE SITE B.SW						



MH = SW4
a=head
b = 100



MH = SW3
a=head
b = 100



MH = SW2
a=head
b = 100

MH = SW1
a= 100
b = 225
c = 225
d = 100

Environmental Assessment Services Ltd http://www.easltd.co.uk		London Rd, Hickstead Haywards Heath, West Sussex, RH17 5LZ Tel: 01444 882552 email: info@easltd.co.uk		Job No. 654		
				Sheet no. 3		
				Date 10/06/25 12:02		
Project	Woodside Grange Site B			By XL	Checked	Reviewed
Title				Manhole printout for WOODSIDE GRANGE SITE B.SW		

These explanatory notes should be read in conjunction with the Manhole printout

- 1) Manhole ref - the reference for the manhole in question
- 2) Form - either circular or rectangular
- 3) Chamber diam or length - diameter of chamber if circular, or length if rectangular - in metres
- 4) Rectangular width - if form is rectangular, this gives the width of the chamber
- 5) Chamber height - height of chamber from invert
- 6) Shaft height - height of access shaft if applicable, from top of chamber to ground level
- 7) Manhole volume - cubic capacity of manhole chamber
- 8) Exit diameter - diameter of pipe leaving this manhole
- 9) Exit crown - crown level of pipe leaving this manhole
- 10) Exit invert - invert level of pipe leaving this manhole
- 11) Chamb const - manhole construction :-
 - N/A - not specified
 - Conc - concrete rings
 - Poly - polypropylene rings surrounded by selected fill
 - Poly/conc - polypropylene rings surrounded by concrete
 - Brick - brick built with waterproof render
 - In situ - concrete cast in situ
- 12) Slab level - surface level of base slab (if no sump, this is placed at 50mm below exit invert to allow levels manipulation).
- 13) Manhole diameters of 9999 have exceeded the sizes available in SfA
- 14) Manhole diameters may have to be modified due to the number of branches



MasterDrain
SW

Environmental Assessment Services Ltd

<http://www.easitd.co.uk>

London Rd, Hickstead
Haywards Heath,
West Sussex, RH17 5LZ
Tel: 01444 882552
email: info@easitd.co.uk

Job No. 654
Sheet no. 1
Date 10/06/25
By XL
Checked
Reviewed

Project Site B, Woodside Grange
Title Hydrograph storage analysis (Winter profile) for HASSOCKS

Data:-

Location = HASSOCKS Grid reference = TQ3015
M5-60 (mm) = 20.4 r = 0.35
Soil index = 0.45 SAAR (mm/yr) = 910
Return period = 100 WRAP = 4
UCWI = 0.0 Climate change = +45%


Clayey, or loamy over clayey soils with an impermeable layer at shallow depth.

Percentage runoff = 95.0% (manual setting)

Imperv. area = 420 m² Pervious area = 0 m²
Total area = 420 m² Equiv area = 399 m² (Tot. area x % runoff).
Total runoff = 32.7 m³ Discharge rate = 2.000 l/s

Design Head = 0.5m Peak flow = 2.00 l/s
Control device = R3 Orifice diam = 47.0 mm
Max. calc. depth = 0.51 m Available depth = 0.0 m³
Mean discharge = 1.22 l/s

Available system storage = 0.00 m³ under a system plane at the design head level.
Offline storage = 0.0 m³
Total storage = 22.0 m³ Peak input flow = 7.58 l/s



Head (m)	Flow (l/s)	Head (m)	Flow (l/s)
0.01	0.04	2.01	4.01
0.05	0.49	2.05	4.05
0.10	0.89	2.10	4.10
0.15	1.10	2.15	4.15
0.20	1.26	2.20	4.20
0.25	1.41	2.25	4.24
0.30	1.55	2.30	4.29
0.35	1.67	2.35	4.34
0.40	1.79	2.40	4.38
0.45	1.90	2.45	4.43
0.50	2.00	2.50	4.47
0.55	2.10	2.55	4.52
0.60	2.19	2.60	4.56
0.65	2.28	2.65	4.60
0.70	2.37	2.70	4.65
0.75	2.45	2.75	4.69
0.80	2.53	2.80	4.73
0.85	2.61	2.85	4.77
0.90	2.68	2.90	4.82
0.95	2.76	2.95	4.86
1.00	2.83	3.00	4.90
1.05	2.90	3.05	4.94
1.10	2.97	3.10	4.98
1.15	3.03	3.15	5.02
1.20	3.10	3.20	5.06
1.25	3.16	3.25	5.10
1.30	3.22	3.30	5.14
1.35	3.29	3.35	5.18
1.40	3.35	3.40	5.22
1.45	3.41	3.45	5.25
1.50	3.46	3.50	5.29
1.55	3.52	3.55	5.33
1.60	3.58	3.60	5.37
1.65	3.63	3.65	5.40
1.70	3.69	3.70	5.44
1.75	3.74	3.75	5.48
1.80	3.79	3.80	5.51
1.85	3.85	3.85	5.55
1.90	3.90	3.90	5.59
1.95	3.95	3.95	5.62
2.00	4.00	4.00	5.66

Calculation data provided by Crown Water Ltd, SL5 7NT



MasterDrain
SW

Environmental Assessment
Services Ltd

<http://www.easltd.co.uk>

London Rd, Hickstead
Haywards Heath,
West Sussex, RH17 5LZ
Tel: 01444 882552
email: info@easltd.co.uk

Job No.	654		
Sheet no.	2		
Date	10/06/25		
By	XL	Checked	Reviewed

Project **Site B, Woodside Grange**
Title **Hydrograph storage analysis (Winter profile) for HASSOCKS**

Storage curves for a 3 hours storm.


Storage depth mm.

Discharge rate l/s

2.00

Discharge graph

Depth curve mm





Project	Site B, Woodside Grange
Title	Hydrograph storage analysis (Winter profile) for HASSOCKS

Incremental rainfall figures.

Storm Mins	Storage Depth mm	Control Flow l/s	Storm Mins	Storage Depth mm	Control Flow l/s
1.8	1.5	0.04	91.8	323.4	1.60
3.6	2.9	0.04	93.6	338.0	1.62
5.4	4.3	0.04	95.4	352.5	1.67
7.2	5.8	0.04	97.2	366.5	1.70
9.0	7.3	0.04	99.0	380.2	1.74
10.8	8.9	0.04	100.8	393.2	1.77
12.6	10.6	0.04	102.6	405.7	1.79
14.4	12.4	0.04	104.4	417.6	1.81
16.2	14.3	0.04	106.2	429.0	1.83
18.0	16.4	0.04	108.0	439.6	1.85
19.8	18.6	0.04	109.8	449.5	1.88
21.6	20.8	0.12	111.6	458.5	1.90
23.4	23.0	0.12	113.4	466.8	1.92
25.2	25.2	0.12	115.2	474.2	1.94
27.0	27.6	0.12	117.0	481.0	1.96
28.8	30.1	0.23	118.8	486.8	1.96
30.6	32.5	0.23	120.6	492.0	1.98
32.4	34.9	0.23	122.4	496.5	1.98
34.2	37.4	0.23	124.2	500.1	2.00
36.0	40.2	0.35	126.0	503.0	2.00
37.8	43.0	0.35	127.8	505.4	2.00
39.6	46.1	0.35	129.6	507.2	2.00
41.4	49.5	0.35	131.4	508.6	2.00
43.2	53.2	0.49	133.2	509.4	2.00
45.0	56.9	0.49	135.0	509.8	2.00
46.8	61.0	0.65	136.8	509.8	2.00
48.6	65.1	0.65	138.6	509.5	2.00
50.4	69.8	0.65	140.4	508.8	2.00
52.2	75.0	0.81	142.2	507.9	2.00
54.0	80.3	0.80	144.0	506.6	2.00
55.8	86.1	0.80	145.8	505.0	2.00
57.6	92.7	0.85	147.6	503.2	2.00
59.4	99.9	0.85	149.4	501.3	2.00
61.2	107.8	0.89	151.2	499.3	1.98
63.0	116.3	0.94	153.0	497.3	1.98
64.8	125.5	0.98	154.8	495.1	1.98
66.6	135.3	1.02	156.6	492.8	1.98
68.4	145.6	1.06	158.4	490.4	1.98
70.2	156.6	1.10	160.2	487.9	1.96
72.0	168.4	1.13	162.0	485.4	1.96
73.8	180.8	1.20	163.8	482.7	1.96
75.6	193.6	1.23	165.6	479.9	1.94
77.4	206.9	1.26	167.4	477.1	1.94
79.2	220.6	1.33	169.2	474.1	1.94
81.0	234.7	1.36	171.0	471.1	1.94
82.8	249.2	1.39	172.8	468.0	1.92
84.6	263.9	1.44	174.6	464.8	1.92
86.4	278.8	1.47	176.4	461.7	1.92
88.2	293.8	1.52	178.2	458.5	1.90
90.0	308.6	1.55	180.0	455.3	1.90

Using the Get Max button causes the program to step through a series of storm durations until a maximum volume is obtained.

Each duration is sampled 600 times and the results recorded. The storm durations (hrs) are:-

0.25, 0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 15, 18, 20, 24, 30, 36, 42, 48, 54, 60, 66, 72, 84, 96, 120, 150, 175, 200, 250, 300, 375, 500, 750, 1000, 1250, 1500, 1570, 2000, 2500, 3000, 3500, 4000

It should be noted that the six hour storm frequently requested rarely demonstrates the worst case for storage.




Job No.	654		
Sheet no.	4		
Date	10/06/25		
By	XL	Checked	Reviewed

Project	Site B, Woodside Grange
Title	Hydrograph storage analysis (Winter profile) for HASSOCKS

Sequential storage volume at specific storm durations.

m³





MasterDrain
Foul 11.5

**Environmental Assessment
Services Ltd**
<http://www.easltd.co.uk>

London Rd, Hickstead
Haywards Heath,
West Sussex, RH17 5LZ
Tel: 01444 882552
email: info@easltd.co.uk

Job No. 654		
Sheet no. 0		
Date 27/02/25		
By XL	Checked	Reviewed

Project	Woodside Grange Site B
Title	Manhole printout for WOODSIDE GRANGE SITE B.FL

Manhole ref.	Form	Cham diam. or length	Rect. width	Chamb. height	Shaft height	MH Volume	Ent. Diam	Ent. Crown	Ent. Invert	Exit Diam	Exit Crown	Exit Invert	Chamb const	Cover Level	Dwnstr MH
FW4	circ	450	N/A	0.62		0.10	Head	----	----	100	43.825	43.700	N/A	44.320	FW2
FW3	circ	450	N/A	0.50		0.08	Head	----	----	100	43.225	43.100	N/A	43.600	FW2
FW2	circ	450	N/A	0.71		0.11	100	0.000	0.000	100	42.855	42.730	N/A	43.440	FW1
FW1	circ	600	N/A	0.64		0.18	100	0.000	0.000	100	42.145	42.020	N/A	42.660	in Sewage

All manholes to be as per 'Sewers for Adoption'

Levels calculated for level inverts



MasterDrain
Foul 11.5

**Environmental Assessment
Services Ltd**
<http://www.easltd.co.uk>

London Rd, Hickstead
Haywards Heath,
West Sussex, RH17 5LZ
Tel: 01444 882552
email: info@easltd.co.uk


Job No. 654		
Sheet no. 0		
Date 27/02/25		
By XL	Checked	Reviewed

Project	Woodside Grange Site B
Title	Manhole printout for WOODSIDE GRANGE SITE B.FL

These explanatory notes should be read in conjunction with the Manhole printout

- 1) Manhole ref - the reference for the manhole in question
- 2) Form - either circular or rectangular
- 3) Chamber diam or length - diameter of chamber if circular, or length if rectangular - in metres
- 4) Rectangular width - if form is rectangular, this gives the width of the chamber
- 5) Chamber height - height of chamber from invert
- 6) Shaft height - height of access shaft if applicable, from top of chamber to ground level
- 7) Manhole volume - cubic capacity of manhole chamber
- 8) Exit diameter - diameter of pipe leaving this manhole
- 9) Exit crown - crown level of pipe leaving this manhole
- 10) Exit invert - invert level of pipe leaving this manhole
- 11) Manhole diameters of 9999 have exceeded the sizes available in Sfa
- 12) Manhole diameters may have to be modified due to the number of branches


APPENDIX E:
Taylor Wimpey Proposed Sewer and Drainage Plan



- NOTES:
1. LEVELS TBC
 2. PUMP TBC
 3. SITE PLAN, LANDSCAPING, TREE ROOT PROTECTION, SERVICES AND ECOLOGY BY OTHERS
 4. SUBJECT TO DETAILED DESIGN AND BUILDING REGS APPROVAL
 5. SUBJECT TO TAYLOR WIMPEY APPROVAL



WOODSIDE GRANGE
FOUL WATER DRAINAGE STRATEGY SKETCH
PRELIMINARY NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION
G.J.W, WHA, OCT 2024
NTS@A3



APPENDIX F: Greenfield Runoff Rates for Site


This is an estimation of the greenfield runoff rates that are used to meet normal best practice criteria in line with Environment Agency guidance “Rainfall runoff management for developments”, SC030219 (2013), the SuDS Manual C753 (CIRIA, 2015) and the non-statutory standards for SuDS (Defra, 2015). This information on greenfield runoff rates may be the basis for setting consents for the drainage of surface water runoff from sites.

Project details

Date	11/06/2025
Calculated by	Xanthe Lyford
Reference	
Model version	2.0.1

Location

Site name	Site B Woodside Grange
Site location	



Site easting	530895
Site northing	116481

Site details

Total site area (ha)	0.29	ha
----------------------	------	----

Greenfield runoff

Method

Method	IH124	
IH124		
SAAR (mm)	My value 880mm	Map value 880
How should SPR be derived?	WRAP soil type	
WRAP soil type	4	4
SPR	0.47	
QBar (IH124) (l/s)	1.8l/s	

Growth curve factors

Hydrological region	My value 7	Map value 7
1 year growth factor	0.85	
2 year growth factor	0.88	
10 year growth factor	1.62	
30 year growth factor	2.3	
100 year growth factor	3.19	
200 year growth factor	3.74	


Results

Method	IH124	
Flow rate 1 year (l/s)	1.6l/s	
Flow rate 2 year (l/s)	1.6l/s	
Flow rate 10 years (l/s)	3l/s	
Flow rate 30 years (l/s)	4.2l/s	
Flow rate 100 years (l/s)	5.8l/s	
Flow rate 200 years (l/s)	6.8l/s	

Disclaimer

This report was produced using the Greenfield runoff rate estimation tool (2.0.1) developed by HR Wallingford and available at [uksuds.com](https://www.uksuds.com) (<https://www.uksuds.com/>).

The use of this tool is subject to the UK SuDS terms and conditions and licence agreement, which can both be found at [uksuds.com/terms-conditions](https://www.uksuds.com/terms-conditions) (<https://www.uksuds.com/terms-conditions>). The outputs from this tool have been used to estimate Greenfield runoff rates. The use of these results is the responsibility of the users of this tool. No liability will be accepted by HR Wallingford, the Environment Agency, Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Wallingford Hydrosolutions or any other organisation for the use of these data in the design or operational characteristics of any drainage scheme.



eas ltd

Environmental Assessment Services Ltd

Unit 4B, The Courtyard, Holmsted Farm, Staplefield Road, Cuckfield,
West Sussex, RH17 5JT

Phone : +44 (0) 1444 882552

email : info@easltd.co.uk

web site : www.easltd.co.uk