

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 31 January 2026 18:05:32 UTC+00:00
To: "Catherine Cardin" <Catherine.Cardin@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/26/0134

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 31/01/2026 6:05 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	12 Barons Court Burgess Hill West Sussex RH15 0HX
Proposal:	Two storey side extension.
Case Officer:	Catherine Cardin

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	DOWNSIDE Oakwood road burgess hill
----------	------------------------------------

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	Planning Objection - loss of Amenity, Overshadowing and Overbearing Impact ref planning application DM/26/0134 - TWO STOREY SIDE EXTENSION - 12 BARONS COURT, BURGESS HILL RH150HX I wish to object to the above planning application on the grounds of unacceptable impact on our neighbouring residential amenity, in particular loss of light, overshadowing and overbearing impact. My property directly adjoins the application site. My rear patio is located immediately behind my house and constitutes my primary private outdoor amenity space. The proposed development is two
-----------	---

storeys in height and built close to the shared boundary, which I consider to be excessive and unneighbourly in scale and siting.

Due to its height, proximity and position, the proposed development would result in significant overshadowing and loss of sunlight to my patio, particularly during afternoon / evening, when the space is most commonly used. The patio currently benefits from good natural light which would be materially reduced by the proposal in the summer evenings.

In addition, the development would have an overbearing and oppressive impact when viewed from my patio and the rear of my property. A two-storey structure located directly on this boundary would create a sense of enclosure and dominance that would significantly reduce the enjoyment and usability of my outdoor amenity space.

The scale, height and massing of the proposed extension, combined with its boundary position, fail to respect the amenity of neighbouring occupiers and appear contrary to established planning principles and BRE daylight and sunlight guidance, which seek to safeguard reasonable levels of light and outlook for adjoining properties.

I consider that a development of this nature would require a reduced height, increased set-back from the boundary, or alternative design in order to avoid unacceptable harm to neighbouring amenity.

For the reasons set out above, I respectfully request that the application be refused, or at the very least reconsidered with amendments to address its impact on neighbouring properties with at most a single storey garage conversion or similar. We hope the family will respect our neighbours with noise pollution and respect regulation work hours of any building works agreed

Kind regards