

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 21 September 2026 20:18:36 UTC+01:00
To: "Peter Davies" <peter.davies@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/26/2165

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 21/09/2026 8:18 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	58 Bonnet Lane Burgess Hill West Sussex RH15 0FQ
Proposal:	Proposed loft conversion
Case Officer:	Peter Davies

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	56 Bonnet Lane Burgess Hill
----------	-----------------------------

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer made comments in support of the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	I am a neighbouring resident and would like to express my support for this application. From my property and garden, the existing dormer is barely noticeable and, in my view, sits comfortably within the established character of the surrounding properties. Its scale, design and materials are sympathetic to the existing house, including the use of matching materials and roof tiles, and there are other similar flat-roof dormers visible within the immediate area. Importantly as a neighbouring occupier, I consider its current
-----------	---

position to have very limited impact on either the street scene or neighbouring amenity. It integrates well with the existing semi-detached roofline rather than appearing as an overly prominent addition.

I also understand that a dormer could instead be constructed on the opposite side of the property under permitted development rights. From my perspective as the adjoining neighbour, that would be a considerably less desirable outcome. A dormer in that location would be more prominent from my property and would create greater potential for overlooking and loss of privacy to my garden than the dormer in its current position. It would directly look into our top floor bedroom window if it had to be moved.

Requiring the existing dormer to be removed and effectively relocated would therefore appear to result in little planning benefit and could actually produce a less satisfactory relationship with the neighbouring property.

Having experienced the development in its current form, I am satisfied that the dormer does not cause me any unacceptable loss of privacy or amenity and is visually in keeping with the surrounding area. I therefore support the application and would welcome the retention of the dormer in its present position.

I would also ask that the environmental consequences of requiring the existing structure to be removed and rebuilt are taken into consideration. The dormer has already been constructed using materials sympathetic to the existing property, including the reuse of existing roof tiles. Demolition and reconstruction would inevitably generate additional construction waste, require further materials and involve additional vehicle movements, deliveries and associated carbon emissions. Where the existing structure causes no material harm to neighbouring amenity or the character of the area, it would seem environmentally counterproductive to require completed work to be demolished and substantially replicated elsewhere. This is particularly relevant in the context of Mid Sussex District Council's stated commitment to reducing carbon emissions and achieving a net-zero district by 2050.

Kind regards