

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 02 May 2026 14:46:56 UTC+01:00
To: "Steven King" <steven.king@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/25/3129

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 02/05/2026 2:46 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Land At Borde Hill Lane Haywards Heath West Sussex
Proposal:	Outline planning application for the erection of up to 117 dwellings, together with the provision of landscaping, open space, and associated development works, with access from Balcombe Road. All matters reserved except for access. (Amended plans and supporting statements received 15th April reducing the number of proposed dwellings to up 117 and reducing the size of the proposed roundabout).
Case Officer:	Steven King

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address: 5 Coniston Avenue Haywards Heath

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	Per my previous objection; while the applicant has reduced the total number of dwellings by eight, this amendment does not result in any meaningful change to the overall scale, density, or impact of the proposal. At 117 houses, the development remains substantial, and the core

issues identified in earlier objections have not been addressed.

There are several reasons why this project should not be considered:

- *Destruction of an area of outstanding natural beauty

- *Destruction of protected wildlife

- *Additional strain on local infrastructure including:

- > roads: Borde Hill Lane is not a road fit for welcoming heavy traffic

- > public transport: have you ever tried to find a place to sit on a Thameslink train from Haywards Heath between 7am and 8am?

- > healthcare provision: all oversubscribed. There are no provisions for new GP surgeries

- > schools: all oversubscribed. There are no provisions for new schools

- > utilities: recent water issues linked to South East Water

All those services are already at capacity and can no longer sustain an extra 117 houses.

For all these reasons, I object to this application and ask the council to refuse it.

Thank you

Kind regards

Kind regards