

Deborah Lynn

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk
Sent: 29 April 2026 21:23
To: Deborah Lynn
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application DM/26/0135

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 29/04/2026 9:23 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Finches 75 Folders Lane Burgess Hill West Sussex RH15 0DY
Proposal:	Demolition of existing building and development of 4 dwellings, with associated access and landscaping. Amended drainage strategy received 07.04.2026.
Case Officer:	Deborah Lynn

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	76 Folders Lane Burgess Hill
----------	------------------------------

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments: 76 Folders Lane
Burgess Hill
West Sussex
RH15 0DX

Planning Ref: DM/26/0135

Re: Objection to Planning Application - 75 Folders Lane

Dear Sir/Madam,

I am writing to formally object to the proposed development of four dwellings at 75 Folders Lane.

My primary concern is that the scale and layout of the proposed development are out of keeping with the character of the surrounding area. The existing properties along Folders Lane are more modest in number and positioning, and the introduction of four dwellings on this plot represents an overdevelopment that does not reflect the established pattern of the street and of

detached properties. In addition, the proposed houses appear to be set significantly further forward than neighbouring properties, which would disrupt the existing building line and harm the visual consistency of the road.

I am also concerned about the impact on highway safety. The proposed access road would effectively create a T-junction with the adjacent access road serving Perlant Close. This raises serious concerns regarding increased traffic conflict, reduced visibility, and the overall safety for both drivers and pedestrians.

Furthermore, the development would result in a significant loss of outlook from our property which is directly opposite. At present, we benefit from views of trees and bushes located on or near the site, which contribute positively to the character of the area and our residential amenity. The removal of any greenery, pruning of trees or any removal combined with the construction of two-storey dwellings in place of the existing single-storey building, and building closer to the road would create a significant overbearing presence and materially harm our outlook.

In its current form, the proposal represents an overly intensive use of the site. I would suggest that a single dwelling, more in keeping with the existing scale and character of the area, would be a more appropriate form of development. This would also help to mitigate the adverse impact on neighbouring properties, including the loss of outlook and increased sense of enclosure.

For the reasons outlined above, I respectfully request that this application be refused.

Yours faithfully,



Kind regards