

Rachel Richardson

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 02 September 2025 11:00
To: planninginfo
Cc: Rachel Richardson
Subject: DM/25/1973
Attachments: IMG-20250901-WA0006.jpg; IMG-20250901-WA0005.jpg; IMG-20250901-WA0004.jpg; IMG-20250901-WA0003.jpg; IMG-20250901-WA0002.jpg

[REDACTED]
From [REDACTED] residents at number 13 Barnfield.

We strongly object to the above planning application for the following reasons:

The upshot is that the impact on our home will be significant.

1. DP29 states that it does not cause significant harm to the amenities of existing nearby residents and future occupants of new dwellings, involving taking account of the impact on privacy, outlook daylight and sunlight and noise air and light pollution.

The 3 planned dormers will give a complete outlook onto our front and most of our rear garden. (see photos no 1 & 2). Dormers would also look directly into our kitchen window. The planned drawings show an office in the roof so our gardens/kitchen and cul de sac will be completely overlooked all day. (see photo no. 3).

2. Outlook from our cul-de-sac will be severely compromised and every time we leave our house or use our front garden by the oversized structure not in keeping with the location or original design.

To facilitate this new extension it will be necessary to remove the existing roof to replace it with a much larger one. This will mean months of noise, road blockage (of which we need 24 hour access) and there is nowhere to store the vast amount of materials needed to replace the roof owing to the small size of the plot.

When Barnfield was built a lot of effort was made by the developer to design the houses to blend in with the adjacent countryside. Most of the roofs have hips which allows the roofs to flow (see pictures of roofs no. 4 & 5).

The removal of the large hip at No. 11 and replaced with a large gable and the addition of 3 dormers would completely spoil that flow.

Regarding DP26 we feel that over development of this property would open the flood gates for other properties within Barnfield to do similar work which would be completely detrimental with DP26 and policy 3 of BNP and paragraph 176 NPPF and MSDP policy. DP16 states development on land that contributes to the setting of the AONB will only be permitted where it does not detract from the visual qualities and essential characteristics of the AONB etc.

We have noticed that there is still no site notice displayed outside number 11 or at the entrance of Barnfield. Consequently no one opposite Barnfield on the Haywards Heath road or indeed some residents of Barnfield are aware of the planning application.

Regarding the planning application drawings, they appear not to be accurate. They do not appear to show the garage converted to living accommodation.

We are quite happy for number 11 to extend their property in to the roof as long as they use velux roof lights and as long as the shape of the existing roof does not change.

[REDACTED]