

Susan Dubberley

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk
Sent: 17 September 2025 16:49
To: Susan Dubberley
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application DM/25/2036

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 17/09/2025 4:48 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Little Pakyns Albourne Road Hurstpierpoint Hassocks West Sussex BN6 9ET
Proposal:	Proposed alterations to fenestration on south elevation, proposed alterations to fenestration to west elevation (retrospective), proposed alterations to interior layout and finishes (retrospective).
Case Officer:	Susan Dubberley

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	3 Pakyns Court Albourne Road Hurstpierpoint
----------	---

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	I visited my neighbours recently at Pakyns Manor and was quite horrified to see the unsightly extension of Little Pakyns. I did not raise any objections to the proposed extension to Little Pakyns (2 years ago ?) and anticipated a simple extension in keeping with Pakyns Manor and the surrounding properties. Sadly the construction does not conform and is totally unsympathetic and alien to the curtilage of a listed building within a conservation area. My views are: The windows to the side elevation should be in keeping with those of Pakyns Manor and follow the same line . (I see this is being addressed). In addition, I note the new window frames are black and this does not conform with the covenants in place (which we all adhere too) for 'brown' paintwork. I understand the cladding materials are still to be approved but surely this has to be brick slips
-----------	--

to follow in line with the existing /adjoining building bricks.

I have already expressed my opinions with regard to the roof tiles which are inappropriate , out of character and authenticity . I fail to understand why they were approved and the reasoning behind. I feel also that we should have been consulted on this major aspect.

Now it appears that they are not fit for purpose ! Surely, the way forward is to replace these with re-claimed tiles which are in keeping with Pakyns Manor and the 3 properties at Pakyns Court.

I look forward to your response in due course.

Regards



Kind regards