

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 07 June 2026 19:23:24 UTC+01:00
To: "Caroline Grist" <caroline.grist@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/26/1315

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 07/06/2026 7:23 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Land West Of Gatesmead Wickham Way Haywards Heath West Sussex
Proposal:	Proposed 49 new homes together with open space and associated infrastructure.
Case Officer:	Caroline Grist

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	65 Sunte Avenue Lindfield
----------	---------------------------

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	I strongly object to the proposed development of 49 new houses. The infrastructure in our village and surrounding town is already under significant pressure and is unable to support further large-scale housing development. Local schools are oversubscribed, making it increasingly difficult for families to secure places for their children. GP surgeries and other healthcare services are also operating at capacity, resulting in longer waiting times and reduced access to care for existing residents.

There is already considerable housing development taking place in the area, and I do not believe there is a demonstrated need for an additional 49 houses at this location. Continued expansion without corresponding investment in infrastructure will have a detrimental impact on the quality of life for current and future residents.

I am particularly concerned about the loss of valuable green space and woodland. This area is regularly used by local residents, including dog walkers, families, and children. It provides an important natural environment for recreation, wellbeing, and wildlife. Once these green spaces are lost to development, they cannot be replaced.

The proposed development would erode the rural character of the area, increase traffic and congestion, place further strain on already overstretched public services, and result in the unnecessary loss of an important community asset.

I urge the planning authority to reject this application and protect our green spaces, woodland, and local infrastructure from further unsustainable development.

Please save this woodland area for future generations and preserve the character of our community.

Kind regards