

Andy Watt

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk
Sent: 27 May 2026 10:50
To: Andy Watt
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application DM/26/0572

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 27/05/2026 10:50 AM.

Application Summary

Address:	26 Kennedy Avenue East Grinstead West Sussex RH19 2DG
Proposal:	Construction of new 3-bedroom house attached to end of existing terrace and associated external works.
Case Officer:	Andrew Watt

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	35 Kennedy Avenue East Grinstead
----------	----------------------------------

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	The proposal represents poor development with regard to the following: Design - Whilst the pattern of 1960s development isn't necessarily of design interest, spacious, green side plots are a feature of this part of the estate. The provision of a dwellinghouse here would reduce the sense of openness and appear incongruent when viewed alongside the neighbouring side garden to the west and no longer reflect the pattern of two blocks of four dwellinghouses to the east. Development on garden land appears contrary to DP26 - (development) protects open spaces, trees and gardens that contribute to the character of the area. Highways and Parking - Parking pressure in this location is already very high, with vehicles often parking in locations which block visibility, obstruct pedestrian access, and present a hazard to both road users and pedestrians. It is likely that each dwellinghouse would use more than one car and so the provision of two parking spaces appears insufficient. Biodiversity and Trees - The loss of garden land and trees will lead to a consequent loss of trees and biodiversity that is regrettable and appears contrary to DP37 and DP38.

Flooding - The site is located in an area designated as 'high risk' for surface water flooding. The loss of permeable surface does not appear to have been adequately addressed by the applicant, contrary to DP41. As a neighbouring resident, there have been several occasions in which our house has narrowly avoided being inundated during intense rainfall episodes. I am deeply concerned that further development in this location alongside climate change would present a threat to myself and other neighbours.

I do hope that residential development on garden land in an area with a high surface water flood risk designation is deemed unacceptable by Planning Officers.

Thank you for your time in considering this objection.



Kind regards