



LAND SOUTH & WEST  
OF IMBERHORNE  
UPPER SCHOOL  
IMBERHORNE

**ARBORICULTURAL  
IMPACT  
ASSESSMENT &  
METHOD  
STATEMENT**

(Including Tree Survey Schedule)

for  
**VISTRY HOMES LTD.**

|                    |                   |
|--------------------|-------------------|
| <b>Written By:</b> | A Bigg            |
| <b>Checked By:</b> | J Constable       |
| <b>Date:</b>       | 03/12/2025        |
| <b>Revision:</b>   |                   |
| <b>Ref:</b>        | REF12345traia_ams |

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

|           |                                                                      |           |
|-----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| <b>1.</b> | <b>Executive Summary</b>                                             | <b>3</b>  |
| <b>2.</b> | <b>Introduction</b>                                                  | <b>4</b>  |
| <b>3.</b> | <b>Scope and Method of Survey</b>                                    | <b>5</b>  |
| <b>4.</b> | <b>Arboricultural Impact Assessment</b>                              | <b>6</b>  |
| 4.7.      | Evaluation of development impact to surveyed trees                   | 6         |
| 4.8.      | Trees to be pruned                                                   | 7         |
| 4.9.      | Protection for retained trees                                        | 7         |
| 4.10.     | Barriers                                                             | 7         |
| 4.11.     | Construction Footprint and Permanent New Hard Surfaces within RPAs   | 8         |
| 4.12.     | Shade and future pressure to prune                                   | 8         |
| 4.13.     | Services                                                             | 8         |
| <b>5.</b> | <b>Arboricultural Method Statement</b>                               | <b>9</b>  |
| 5.1.      | Phasing of operations for tree protection                            | 9         |
| 5.2.      | Site supervision                                                     | 9         |
| 5.3.      | Tree protection areas                                                | 9         |
| 5.4.      | Restrictions within tree protection areas                            | 10        |
| 5.5.      | Avoiding damage to stems and branches                                | 10        |
| 5.6.      | Tree protection fencing                                              | 11        |
| 5.7.      | Tree removals and surgery works                                      | 11        |
| 5.8.      | Soft landscaping within RPA                                          | 12        |
| 5.9.      | Installation of underground services                                 | 13        |
| 5.10.     | Remediation for planting areas                                       | 14        |
| 5.11.     | Installation of boundary fencing within protected areas              | 14        |
|           | <b>Appendix 1: Summary of Categories BS5837:2012</b>                 | <b>15</b> |
|           | <b>Appendix 2a: Tree Survey Schedule (13/02/23)</b>                  | <b>16</b> |
|           | <b>Appendix 2b: Tree Survey Schedule (Additional trees 20/11/25)</b> | <b>30</b> |
|           | <b>Appendix 3: Tree Protection Plan</b>                              | <b>32</b> |

## 1. Executive Summary

- 1.1. This arboricultural report has been compiled to provide survey information about the trees on the site at Land South & West of Imberhorne Upper School, Imberhorne Lane, Imberhorne, in accordance with the recommendations of BS5837:2012 Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – Recommendations. This is to identify the quality and value of existing trees on site, allowing an arboricultural impact assessment to be made of the proposed development of the wider site.
- 1.2. The tree stock on and adjacent to the site boundaries is varied in both age and species. A total of seventy five individual trees, twenty groups, five woodland compartments and 3 hedgerows were surveyed and recorded in the extant survey completed February 2022. An updated BS5837:2012 resurvey of the site was completed 20<sup>th</sup> November 2025. An additional twenty one trees and a single group of trees were added to the extant tree survey.
- 1.3. The site is currently comprised of arable farmland and woodland to the west of Imberhorne Lane. The proposed development is to provide 550 residential units with associated roads, greenspace, amenities, and parking.
- 1.4. The arboricultural impact assessment element of this report evaluates the direct and indirect effects of the proposed design on the trees on site, and where appropriate recommends mitigation.
- 1.5. The development proposals are in accordance with BS5837:2012 'Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – Recommendations'. Adequate protection can be provided to ensure all retained trees are protected throughout development in the form of barriers and/or ground protection.
- 1.6. Given the high number of trees on the site, the development proposals incorporate the majority of the better, more sustainable specimens. Tree removals are limited to those required to implement new roadway infrastructure. Where proposed new hard surfaces encroach into the RPA of trees highlighted for retention, sensitive surface construction will be required.
- 1.7. The following table concludes those trees identified for removal to implement the redevelopment proposals:

| Tree no. | Species       | Impact Assessment                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|----------|---------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| G23      | Mixed species | 3no. individuals to be removed to facilitate new access roadway connection with Imberhorne Lane.                                                                                                                                               |
| T25      | English oak   | Tree to be removed to facilitate access arrangement from access trackway. Arrangement assessed as detailed on extant Outline Arboricultural Package of Works by Lizard Landscape & Design and agreed with West Sussex County Council Highways. |
| H69      | Mixed species | Partial removal as detailed on TPP, three separate areas to facilitate internal access roadway and footpath infrastructure.                                                                                                                    |
| W81      | Mixed species | Partial removal as detailed on TPP, three separate areas to facilitate internal access roadway and footpath infrastructure.                                                                                                                    |
| G85      | Mixed species | Partial removal to facilitate internal footpath access.                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| W102     | Mixed species | Access arrangement for future SANG link as required.                                                                                                                                                                                           |

- 1.8. The juxtaposition between proposed built form and trees identified for retention is assessed as sustainable and would not result in unreasonable pressure to prune requests from future occupants.

## 2. Introduction

- 2.1. ACD Environmental were instructed by Vistry Homes Ltd., in November 2025, to survey and categorize the trees at Land South & West of Imberhorne Upper School, Imberhorne Lane, Imberhorne, in accordance with BS5837:2012 Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – Recommendations. This is to identify the quality and value of existing trees on site, allowing an arboricultural impact assessment to be made of the proposed development.
- 2.2. For details of trees to be retained, and locations and types of special protection methods, reference should be made to the Tree Protection Plan (ref: VHY24065-03 revision B) compiled for Reserved Matters Application.
- 2.3. The controlling authority is Mid Sussex District Council who can be contacted at:  
Mid Sussex District Council, Oaklands, Oaklands Road, Haywards Heath, West Sussex, RH16 1SS
- 2.4. The woodland compartment west of Imberhorne Lane and south of Imberhorne School is the subject of a Group TPO Order, reference GR/01/TPO/11. The following detail is taken from Mid Sussex District Council Planning Portal.



Figure 1 Extent of TPO Group GR/01/TPO/11

- 2.5. MAGIC Online mapping schedules the woodland compartments adjacent to the northern boundary as ASNW designation. The following detail is taken from MAGIC.



Figure 2 Extent of Leopards Wood Ancient Replanted Woodland designation.

- 2.6. Any questions relating to the content of this report should be directed in the first instance to: ACD Environmental, Unit 7, Godalming Business Centre, Woolsack Way, Godalming, GU7 1XW, 01483 425714, quoting the site address and report reference number.

### **3. Scope and Method of Survey**

- 3.1. The survey has been carried out in accordance with BS5837:2012 Trees in Relation to design, demolition, and construction - Recommendations and the trees are assessed objectively and without reference to any site layout proposals. Categories are based on each tree's health and condition, together with an assessment of its life expectancy if its surroundings were to be unchanged. An explanation of the categories can be found at appendix 1.
- 3.2. This report is based on the recommendations given in BS5837:2012 and is not a health and safety survey. Detailed tree inspection including decay mapping, aerial inspection, soil analysis, etc. was not undertaken.
- 3.3. No discussions took place between the surveyor and any other party.
- 3.4. The reference numbers of surveyed trees and groups of trees are shown on the Tree Survey Plan, which is based on the supplied survey drawing and appended to this report. The prefix 'G' has been used to indicate a group of trees, and 'H' for hedges. Stem locations within groups may be estimated, and indicative of canopy only.
- 3.5. The tree survey was carried out from ground level only.
- 3.6. Where trees are located on neighbouring land an estimated appraisal has been made of their quality and dimensions. Where stems or branches are obscured by Ivy or other materials a full assessment of those parts will not be possible.
- 3.7. Tree heights were measured with a clinometer or estimated in relation to those measured with the clinometer. If individual tree heights are of particular concern, for example in shading calculations, then they are measured using a clinometer.
- 3.8. Trunk diameters were measured or, where inaccessible, estimated. Single stemmed trees are measured at 1.5m from ground level. Multiple stemmed trees are measured according to section 4.6 of BS5837:2012. For groups of trees the diameter may be an estimated average or a maximum.
- 3.9. Tree canopies, where markedly asymmetrical, were measured (or estimated by pacing) in four directions using a laser measure. Symmetrical canopies are measured in one direction only, with dimensions in the remaining directions assumed to be similar. The canopy of tree groups will be indicated by measuring the maximum canopy radius for each compass point (more complicated groups will have further notes taken and an accurate representation will be shown on the plan).
- 3.10. No soil assessment was carried out at the time of survey. According to the National Soil Resources Institute online mapping service at <http://www.landis.org.uk/soilscapes> the soil on site is expected to be: Slightly acid loamy and clayey soils with impeded drainage.

#### 4. Arboricultural Impact Assessment

- 4.1. The site is currently comprised of arable brownfield and woodland fringes. The proposed development is to provide up to 550 new housing units with associated infrastructure including access road, greenspace, and parking.
- 4.2. This impact assessment section is intended to evaluate the direct and indirect impacts on the trees on the site in relation to the proposed development. Any potential tree impacts are identified as per BS5837:2012 section 5.4, and details are given of proposed mitigation.
- 4.3. Any potentially damaging activities proposed in the vicinity of retained trees are identified, such that mitigation to significantly reduce or avoid this impact can be detailed in the Arboricultural Method Statement and Tree Protection Plan as recommended in BS5837:2012 section 5.4.2.
- 4.4. The development proposals are in accordance with BS5837:2012 'Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – Recommendations'. Adequate protection can be provided to ensure all retained trees are protected throughout the development.
- 4.5. The tree survey schedule for the site is at Appendix 2 of this arboricultural report.
- 4.6. This assessment is based upon the supplied layout drawing ref: CB\_96\_282\_001\_Planning Layout.

#### 4.7. Evaluation of development impact to surveyed trees

- 4.7.1. **Tree retention metric** ~ The Outline Application layout has been amended such that it has allowed for a reduction in trees/hedgerows identified for removal. Together with the extensive proposed replanting within the wider site design ensures a positive increase in trees of both age and species diversity.
- 4.7.2. Those trees which are identified for removal are shown with a red dashed canopy outline, and red dashed cross on trunk position on the Tree Protection Plan ACD reference VHY24065-03B.

| Tree no. | Species       | Impact Assessment                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|----------|---------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| G23      | Mixed species | 3no. individuals to be removed to facilitate new access roadway connection with Imberhorne Lane.                                                                                                                                               |
| T25      | English oak   | Tree to be removed to facilitate access arrangement from access trackway. Arrangement assessed as detailed on extant Outline Arboricultural Package of Works by Lizard Landscape & Design and agreed with West Sussex County Council Highways. |
| H69      | Mixed species | Partial removal as detailed on TPP, three separate areas to facilitate internal access roadway and footpath infrastructure.                                                                                                                    |
| W81      | Mixed species | Partial removal as detailed on TPP, three separate areas to facilitate internal access roadway and footpath infrastructure.                                                                                                                    |
| G85      | Mixed species | Partial removal to facilitate footpath access with Imberhorne School.                                                                                                                                                                          |
| W102     | Mixed species | Access arrangement for future SANG link as required.                                                                                                                                                                                           |

**Table 1: Trees to be removed as a direct consequence of development**

- 4.7.3. English oak tree no. T25 is to be removed to facilitate the new roadway arrangement from the existing trackway on the southern boundary of the site. This arrangement was assessed and detailed within the extant Outline Arboricultural Package of Works by Lizard Landscape & Design and agreed with West Sussex County Council Highways.

- 4.7.4. The tree is positioned high on the boundary. The construction footprint of the new access arrangement has been determined by the design constraints and limitations of WSCC Highways. The swept alignment together with the complicated existing bank levels into proposed levels will necessitate the removal of this tree.
- 4.7.5. Remaining trees identified for removal are constituents of internal field boundary groups. These are being removed to facilitate the new roadway/footpath infrastructure.
- 4.7.6. Suitable replacement trees are detailed within the extensive landscape package of works compiled in support of the Reserved Matters Application. These documents demonstrate that removal of the listed trees is mitigated through provision of robust long term tree cover in keeping with the existing sylvan character landscape.
- 4.8. **Trees to be pruned**
  - 4.8.1. At time of report writing no tree surgery works are anticipated (excluding tree removals) to implement the development proposals.
  - 4.8.2. Should any become necessary it should comply with BS3998:2010 Tree Work or more recently accepted arboricultural good practice and be approved by the LPA and project arboriculturist prior to any commencement.
- 4.9. **Protection for retained trees**
  - 4.9.1. BS5837:2012 section 6.2.1. states: 'All trees that are being retained on site should be protected by barriers and/or ground protection (see 5.5) before any materials or machinery are brought onto the site, and before any demolition, development or stripping of soil commences. Where all activity can be excluded from the RPA, vertical barriers should be erected to create a construction exclusion zone. Where, due to site constraints, construction activity cannot be fully or permanently excluded in this manner from all or part of a tree's RPA, appropriate ground protection should be installed (see 6.2.3).' As such, protection for all retained trees is shown on the Tree Protection Plan according to this specification.
- 4.10. **Barriers**
  - 4.10.1. BS5837:2012 figure 2 recommends a default specification for protective barrier. This is a weld mesh panel design, mounted upon a well braced scaffold framework. This is perfectly adequate for this site and all the retained trees can be suitably protected by its erected before any works start on site whatsoever.

#### **4.11. Construction Footprint and Permanent New Hard Surfaces within RPAs**

- 4.11.1. The construction footprint of all proposed built form have been sited outside the constraints afforded to trees identified for retention.
- 4.11.2. Following detailed design work post Outline Application, areas identified as requiring 'no-dig' hard surfacing have been amended and are no longer necessary.

#### **4.12. Shade and future pressure to prune**

- 4.12.1. The site layout has been assessed in terms of shading and future pressure to prune. Given the orientation of the site, and the relationship between the proposed building footprints and trees identified for retention, the juxtaposition is viable for long-term tree retention, and it is reasoned that the limited shade cast by trees should not result in any future occupants requesting to remove or excessively prune trees.

#### **4.13. Services**

- 4.13.1. It is fundamental to tree protection that infrastructure design is sensitively approached, as trenching close to trees may damage roots and affect tree health and stability. The Tree Protection Plan, showing the constraints posed by retained trees has been utilised to inform services design.
- 4.13.2. Considerable space is available for the ingress/egress of proposed services for the site. The constraints afforded to trees identified for retention can be adequately avoided such that no bespoke methodologies are required.
- 4.13.3. Should unavoidable amendments to services design be required for unforeseen circumstances, as per BS5837:2012 Figure 1, detailed/technical design amendments for the site, will inform supplementary arboricultural impact assessment. With the TPP and AMS being revised to incorporate these amendments for review by Mid Sussex District Council.

## 5. Arboricultural Method Statement

### **TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE APPENDED TREE PROTECTION PLAN REFERENCE: VHY24065-03**

#### 5.1. Phasing of operations for tree protection

5.1.1. Implementation of tree protection measures on the site must be carried out in the following order:

- 1) Tree removals and tree surgery.
- 2) Line of tree protection fence to be set out to node points by surveyor.
- 3) Accurate erection of tree protection fence and ground protection.
- 4) **Pre-commencement site meeting with project arboriculturist, Local Authority Tree Officer, site manager and groundworkers.**
- 5) Site accessible to construction/plant traffic.
- 6) Site clearance works.
- 7) Construction phase.
- 8) Removal of tree protection fencing.
- 9) Remedial tree surgery (if required).

5.1.2. The above phasing must not be changed without approval from the project arboriculturist and agreement with the Council.

#### 5.2. Site supervision

5.2.1. The development process will be subject to arboricultural supervision where construction work inside the construction exclusion zone is required. Therefore, input and supervision from the project arboriculturist will be required at the following stages:

- 1) Tree removals and access facilitation pruning (as required).
- 2) Accurate erection of tree protection measures.
- 3) Site meeting with project arboriculturist, Local Authority Tree Officer, site manager and groundworkers.

5.2.2. Arboricultural supervision is to be carried for all listed stages to ensure detailed tasks are carried out as per the approved methodology, and during any other, unplanned incursions into protection areas, for whatever reason.

#### 5.3. Tree protection areas

5.3.1. Based on tree survey data, tree protection areas have been determined for every retained tree. These areas are designed to protect at least a functional minimum of tree root mass in order to ensure that the trees survive the construction process.

5.3.2. Some trees on this site are subject to statutory protection by Tree Preservation Order. Damaging them is a criminal offence and is also contrary to planning conditions that, if breached, could lead to all work on site being stopped by the local authority.

5.3.3. It is the responsibility of everyone engaged in the construction process to respect the tree protection measures and observe the necessary precautions within and adjacent to them.

#### **5.4. Restrictions within tree protection areas**

##### **5.4.1. Inside the exclusion area of the fencing, the following shall apply:**

- No mechanical excavation whatsoever.
- No excavation by any other means without arboricultural site supervision.
- No hand digging without a written method statement having first been approved by the project arboriculturist.
- No lowering of levels for any purpose (except removal of grass sward using hand tools).
- No storage of plant or materials.
- No storage or handling of any chemical including cement washings.
- No vehicular access.
- No fire lighting.

##### **5.4.2. In addition to the above, further precautions are necessary adjacent to trees:**

- No substances injurious to tree health, including fuels, oil, bitumen, cement (including cement washings), builders' sand, concrete mixing and other chemicals shall be stored or used within or directly adjacent to the protection area of retained trees.
- No fire shall be lit such that flames come within 5m of tree foliage.

#### **5.5. Avoiding damage to stems and branches**

##### **5.5.1. Care shall be taken when planning site operations in proximity of retained trees to ensure that wide or tall loads, or plant with booms, jibs, and counterweights, can operate without coming into contact with retained trees. Such contact can result in serious injury to them and might make their safe retention impossible.**

##### **5.5.2. Consequently, any transit or traverse of plant in proximity of trees shall be conducted under the supervision of a banksman, to ensure that adequate clearance from trees is at all times maintained. In some circumstances, it may be impossible to achieve this without pruning works known as 'access facilitation pruning'.**

##### **5.5.3. Access facilitation pruning shall be kept to the barest minimum necessary to facilitate development and shall be carried out in strict accordance with the guidance below (Tree Surgery). Under no circumstances shall construction personnel undertake any tree pruning operations.**

## 5.6. Tree protection fencing

5.6.1. The Tree Protection Plan (see the latest revision of: VHY24065-03) shows the alignment of Tree Protection Fencing (TPF), which is to be installed prior to any of the following taking place:

- Plant and material delivery.
- Soil stripping outside tree constraints.
- Utilities/services installation.
- Construction works.
- Landscaping works.

5.6.2. Stages for installation of TPF:

- 1) Hand clearance of any vegetation to allow clear working access.
- 2) Setting out of fencing points.
- 3) Fencing erected.
- 4) Site accessible to demolition/construction traffic.

5.6.3. To ensure accuracy and avoid future costly adjustments, the Tree Protection Fence must be set out by a surveyor with all node points being marked clearly on site for the fencing contractor to work to.

5.6.4. Once erected, all TPF will be regarded as sacrosanct, and will not be removed or altered without prior recommendation by the project arboriculturist and approval of the local planning authority.

5.6.5. The typical TPF construction is suitable for areas of high intensity development, and shall comprise of interlocking weld-mesh panels, well braced to resist impacts by attachment to a scaffold framework that is set firmly into the ground. A detailed specification can be found on the TPP.

## 5.7. Tree removals and surgery works

5.7.1. Those trees which are identified for removal are shown with a red dashed canopy outline, and red dashed cross on trunk position on the Tree Protection Plan ACD reference VHY24065-03B.

5.7.2. At time of report writing no tree surgery works are anticipated to implement the development proposals (excluding tree removals). Should any become necessary it should comply with BS3998:2010 Tree Work or more recently accepted arboricultural good practice and be approved by the Mid Sussex District Council and project arboriculturist prior to any commencement.

5.7.3. The appointed tree surgery contractor is responsible for carrying out any relevant health and safety risk assessment, and insurance, prior to any work being carried out.

5.7.4. The statutory protection afforded by the Wildlife and Countryside Act and Countryside and Rights of Way Act will be adhered to. If further advice is required, particularly if bats are discovered during tree work, it will be obtained from Natural England or other competent persons and recommendations adhered to.

5.7.5. The stumps of any trees removed from within the Construction Exclusion Zone or the RPAs of retained trees will be either; cut flush to ground level and left in situ or ground out using a stump grinder. They will not be winched out.

## **5.8. Soft landscaping within RPA**

- 5.8.1. All landscaping and associated ground preparation within exclusion zones will be carried out sensitively to ensure root damage is mitigated as much as is practicable. At no time is any heavy plant to be used within any protected area. Removal of existing vegetation will be carried out by hand; turf may be removed using a mechanical turf stripper or by hand.

### **Turfing**

- 5.8.2. Stages for turfing gardens and open spaces:

#### **No plant machinery<sup>1</sup> to be used in the area for whatever reason**

- 1) Remove TPF to allow access to area.
- 2) Do not reduce any high spots or excavate in any way.
- 3) Existing poor-quality turf may be removed with a turf stripper.
- 4) Use good quality topsoil to level any low-lying areas and hollows and provide a fine tilth to lay turf on. This imported soil must not result in a level increase of more than 100mm in any area.
- 5) Import turves by hand in wheelbarrow.
- 6) Lay turves.

### **Planting**

- 5.8.3. Should the soil be compacted or have a poor structure which may hinder the development of any new planting, soil decompaction techniques may be used upon consultation with the project arboriculturist.

- 5.8.4. Stages for planting within tree protection areas:

#### **No plant machinery to be used in the area for whatever reason**

- 1) Remove TPF to allow access to area.
- 2) Remove existing vegetation by hand, turf may be removed using a mechanical turf stripper.
- 3) Do not reduce any high spots or excavate in any way.
- 4) Import good quality topsoil by hand (with wheelbarrow) into area.
- 5) Level to a depth of no more than 100mm with hand tools.
- 6) Dig individual planting pits for each plant by hand (including hedging which must not be trench planted).
- 7) Any mulch should also be imported and spread by hand.

- 5.8.5. No works will be carried out within any protected areas if the soil moisture is of a level likely to allow compaction to occur.

---

<sup>1</sup> Including rotovators

## 5.9. Installation of underground services

- 5.9.1. Considerable space is available for the ingress/egress of proposed services for the site. The constraints afforded to trees identified for retention can be adequately avoided such that no bespoke methodologies are required
- 5.9.2. If for whatever reason installation within RPAs is required, the project arboriculturist and local authority must be notified prior to any tree protection barrier removal and the following details adhered to.
- 5.9.3. Stages for installing services within tree protection areas:

### No plant machinery to be used in the area for whatever reason

- 1) Contact project arboriculturist to hold pre-start site meeting and 'toolbox' talk before starting work.
  - 2) Remove just enough tree protection fencing to allow access to area and facilitate trenching.
  - 3) Remove any surface vegetation or existing hard surfaces using hand tools.
  - 4) Using an air-pick excavate the trench, keeping to minimum dimensions required.
  - 5) If roots over 10mm diameter are encountered they will be retained and kept damp by covering with hessian (re-wetted as required).
  - 6) Feed in services.
  - 7) Back fill trench with 200-300mm depth of excavated soil, or a mixture of excavated and imported top-soil (to BS3882:1984), firming down with heels.
  - 8) Repeat step 7 until trench is filled.
  - 9) Re-erect tree protection fencing as per approved plan.
- 5.9.4. An alternative to the method of excavation above, for trenching within RPA's, is by using an 'air-spade' or similar. This tool utilises compressed air to remove soil from around tree roots causing minimal damage and can be run off a typical site compressor. ACD can provide details of contractors supplying air-spade services if required.
- 5.9.5. Alternatively, trenchless technology such as thrust boring can be used in some instances and is particularly effective as it can pass directly under the tree, at a depth which is likely to avoid almost all impact on roots of the subject tree. As no access/thrust pits will be located within the RPAs of the subject trees, the need for arboricultural supervision is limited.
- 5.9.6. Reference can be made to National Joint Utilities Group Publication Volume 4 (NJUG Vol 4) for guidance, but any approach must be approved by the project arboriculturist and brought to the attention of the local authority tree officer.

## 5.10. Remediation for planting areas

- 5.10.1. Planting areas to be clearly defined prior to remedial works.
- 5.10.2. Area to be assessed for compaction and other damage.
- 5.10.3. Trial pit to be excavated to assess current soil quality.
- 5.10.4. If current soil quality is acceptable but compacted, then decompaction methods are to be employed. For example, rotovating to a depth equal to planting depth or tilling of soil with air excavation tool.
- 5.10.5. With poor quality soil in planting area, whole scale replacement of planting area soil is to be implemented. Provide as necessary to make up any removed topsoil and to complete the work. Soil grade should be Premium as advised by BS3882 and compacted under foot.

## 5.11. Installation of boundary fencing within protected areas

- 5.11.1. Stages for installing wooden fence posts:

### No plant machinery to be used in the area for whatever reason

- 1) Contact project arboriculturist to hold pre-start site meeting and 'toolbox' talk before starting work.
- 2) Remove TPF to allow access to area.
- 3) Dig post holes using hand tools, avoiding damage to the protective bark covering larger roots. Roots smaller than 25mm diameter may be pruned back using either secateurs or a hand saw, leaving a clean cut.
- 4) Damage or severance of roots above 25mm diameter must be avoided. If roots of this size are discovered, the hole should be relocated. If there are a large number of such roots it may be necessary to relocate the hole by half a fence panels length and adjust the fence panels accordingly.
- 5) Line hole with non-porous lining, for example durable polythene bag.
- 6) Insert post and fill post hole with concrete to ground level.
- 7) Trim polythene to ground level.

Andrew Bigg *CertArb (RFS)*

Head of Arboriculture

03 December 2025

## LIMITATIONS OF USE AND COPYRIGHT

This assessment has been prepared for Vistry Homes Ltd.. All rights in this report are reserved. No part of it may be reproduced or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, or stored in any retrieval system of any nature, without our written permission. Its content and format are for the exclusive use of the addressee in dealing with Land South & West of Imberhorne Upper School, Imberhorne Lane, Imberhorne. Until all invoices rendered by the Consultant to the Client have been paid in full, the copyright of any documents, forms, statements, maps, plans and other such material will remain vested in ACD Environmental, and no unauthorised use of such material may be made by the Client or any person purporting to be acting on his/her behalf. It may not be sold, lent, hired out or divulged to any third party not directly involved in this site without the written consent of ACD Environmental ©.

## Appendix 1: Summary of Categories BS5837:2012

| BS5837:2012 Table 1 - Cascade chart for tree quality assessment                                                                                                           |                                          |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Category and definition                                                                                                                                                   |                                          | Criteria (including subcategories where appropriate)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| Trees unsuitable for retention (see Note)                                                                                                                                 |                                          |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| <b>Category U</b><br>Those in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for longer than 10 years |                                          | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>*Trees that have a serious, irremediable, structural defect, such that their early loss is expected due to collapse, including those that will become unviable after removal of other category U trees (e.g., where, for whatever reason, the loss of companion shelter cannot be mitigated by pruning)</li><li>*Trees that are dead or are showing signs of significant, immediate, and irreversible overall decline</li><li>*Trees infected with pathogens of significance to the health and/or safety of other trees nearby, or very low quality trees suppressing adjacent trees of better quality</li></ul> <p><i>NOTE Category U trees can have existing or potential conservation value which it might be desirable to preserve; see 4.5.7.</i></p> |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
|                                                                                                                                                                           | <b>1 Mainly arboricultural qualities</b> | <b>2 Mainly landscape qualities</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | <b>3 Mainly cultural values, including conservation</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
| Trees to be considered for retention                                                                                                                                      |                                          |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| <b>Category A</b><br><b>Trees of high quality</b> with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years                                                        |                                          | Trees that are particularly good examples of their species, especially if rare or unusual; or those that are essential components of groups or formal or semi-formal arboricultural features (e.g., the dominant and/or principal trees within an avenue)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Trees, groups or woodlands of particular visual importance as arboricultural and/or landscape features                                                                                                                                                            |
| <b>Category B</b><br><b>Trees of moderate quality</b> with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years                                                    |                                          | Trees, groups or woodlands of significant conservation, historical, commemorative or other value (e.g., veteran trees or wood-pasture)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Trees present in numbers, usually growing as groups or woodlands, such that they attract a higher collective rating than they might as individuals; or trees occurring as collectives but situated so as to make little visual contribution to the wider locality |
| <b>Category C</b><br><b>Trees of low quality</b> with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150mm        |                                          | Trees with material conservation or other cultural value                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Unremarkable trees of very limited merit or such impaired condition that they do not qualify in higher categories                                                                                                                                                 |
|                                                                                                                                                                           |                                          | Trees present in groups or woodlands, but without this conferring on them significantly greater collective landscape value; and/or trees offering low or only temporary/transient landscape benefits                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Trees with no material conservation or other cultural value                                                                                                                                                                                                       |

**SITE:** Land South & West of Imberhorne Upper School, Imberhorne Lane, Imberhorne  
**CLIENT:** Vistry Homes Ltd.  
**DATE:** 13/02/23 & 20/11/25

**SURVEYOR:** C Campbell & A Bigg

**TAGGED?** No

## Appendix 2: Tree Survey Schedule (13/02/23)

| No. | Name                                          | Ht<br>(crown) | Dia<br>(stems<br>) | Canopy spread<br>N   E   S   W |   |   |   | Life<br>stage | ERC | Comments & preliminary recommendations                                                                | BS<br>Cat |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|---|---------------|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| T1  | Aesculus<br>hippocastanum<br>(Horse Chestnut) | 12(4)         | 500(1)             | 3                              | 4 | 2 | 2 | EM            | 20+ | Fair quality with some landscape value. Off site. Located on bank. Unable to inspect stem due to Ivy. | B2        |
| T2  | Aesculus<br>hippocastanum<br>(Horse Chestnut) | 12(4)         | 320(1)             | 2                              | 4 | 2 | 2 | EM            | 20+ | Fair quality with some landscape value. Located on bank.                                              | B2        |
| T3  | Aesculus<br>hippocastanum<br>(Horse Chestnut) | 12(4)         | 550(1)             | 3                              | 4 | 4 | 6 | EM            | 20+ | No obvious significant defects. Moderate quality and value. Located on bank. Ivy on stem.             | B2        |
| T4  | Quercus robur<br>(Common Oak)                 | 11(4)         | 300(1)             | 1                              | 2 | 4 | 4 | SM            | 10+ | Fair quality with some landscape value. Located on bank.                                              | C2        |
| T5  | Quercus robur<br>(Common Oak)                 | 11(3)         | 300(1)             | 4                              | 2 | 4 | 4 | SM            | 10+ | Fair quality with some landscape value. Located on bank.                                              | C2        |
| T6  | Aesculus<br>hippocastanum<br>(Horse Chestnut) | 12(5)         | 600(1)             | 5                              | 5 | 5 | 5 | EM            | 20+ | Moderate quality and value. Located on bank.                                                          | B2        |
| G7  | Quercus robur<br>(Common Oak)                 | 11(5)         | 350(1)             | 0                              | 0 | 0 | 0 | SM            | 20+ | Moderate quality and value. Located on bank. Part of linear group.                                    | B2        |
| T8  | Aesculus<br>hippocastanum<br>(Horse Chestnut) | 13(4)         | 750(1)             | 3                              | 4 | 5 | 5 | EM            | 20+ | Moderate quality and value. Located on bank.                                                          | B2        |
| T9  | Quercus robur<br>(Common Oak)                 | 14(5)         | 900(1)             | 7                              | 7 | 5 | 7 | M             | 20+ | Moderate quality and value. Off site. Located on bank.                                                | B2        |

**Notes:** **Dia (stems):** trunk diameter in mm at 1.5m above ground level (number of stems) | **HT (crown):** Tree height (crown clearance) | **Life stage:** **Y:** Young (obviously planted within the last three years (unless as a heavy or extra-heavy standard)). **SM:** Semi mature (recently planted and yet to attain mature stature; up to 25% of attainable age.). **EM:** Early mature (almost full height, crown still developing and seed bearing; up to 50% of attainable age.). **M:** Mature (full height, crown spread, seed bearing; over 50% of attainable age.). **OM:** Over mature (full size, die-back, small leaf size, poor growth extension.) | **FSB:** First significant branch (& compass bearing) | **ERC:** Expected remaining contribution in years- <10, 10+, 20+, 40+ (assuming that there will be no physical changes to its immediate environment) | **BS Category:** Refer to appendix 1 of this report or BS5837:2012 Table 1 for detailed descriptions.

**SITE:** Land South & West of Imberhorne Upper School, Imberhorne Lane, Imberhorne  
**CLIENT:** Vistry Homes Ltd.  
**DATE:** 13/02/23 & 20/11/25

**SURVEYOR:** C Campbell & A Bigg

**TAGGED?** No

| No. | Name                                          | Ht<br>(crown) | Dia<br>(stems<br>) | Canopy spread<br>N   E   S   W |    |    |    | Life<br>stage | ERC | Comments & preliminary recommendations                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | BS<br>Cat |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|----|----|----|---------------|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| T10 | Quercus robur<br>(Common Oak)                 | 16(5)         | 1250(1)            | 10                             | 10 | 10 | 10 | OM            | 10+ | Declining in health and condition. Off site. Located on bank. Fungal fruiting bodies at base. Fungal brackets visible on stem. Scattered deadwood. ( <i>Pseudoinonotus dryadeus</i> ) fruiting bodies on south side of main stem<br>Recommendations: Carry out further Inspection. | C1        |
| T11 | Aesculus<br>hippocastanum<br>(Horse Chestnut) | 11(3)         | 400(1)             | 5                              | 5  | 5  | 4  | EM            | 20+ | Moderate quality and value. Located on bank. Part of linear group. Stem divides above 1.5m.                                                                                                                                                                                        | B2        |
| T12 | Aesculus<br>hippocastanum<br>(Horse Chestnut) | 12(4)         | 420(1)             | 4                              | 4  | 4  | 3  | EM            | 20+ | Moderate quality and value. Located on bank. Part of linear group.                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | B2        |
| T13 | Quercus robur<br>(Common Oak)                 | 11(4)         | 400(1)             | 1                              | 4  | 3  | 4  | SM            | 10+ | Fair quality with some landscape value. Located on bank. Weak and suppressed. Part of linear group.                                                                                                                                                                                | C2        |
| T14 | Aesculus<br>hippocastanum<br>(Horse Chestnut) | 12(4)         | 420(1)             | 4                              | 4  | 4  | 4  | EM            | 20+ | Fair quality with some landscape value. Located on bank. Part of linear group.                                                                                                                                                                                                     | B2        |
| T15 | Salix caprea (Goat<br>Willow)                 | 8(4)          | 300(1)             | 2                              | 2  | 3  | 2  | M             | <10 | Declining in health and condition. Located on bank. Part of linear group. Cavity at base. Cavity on stem.                                                                                                                                                                          | U         |
| G16 | Quercus robur<br>(Common Oak)                 | 11(4)         | 300(1)             | 0                              | 0  | 0  | 0  | SM            | 20+ | Fair quality with some landscape value. Provides some screen. Located on bank. Part of linear group.                                                                                                                                                                               | B2        |
| T17 | Betula pendula<br>Youngii (Silver<br>Birch)   | 11(3)         | 300,200<br>(2)     | 3                              | 3  | 3  | 3  | EM            | 10+ | Downgraded due to limited life expectancy. Located on bank. Part of linear group.                                                                                                                                                                                                  | C2        |
| T18 | Quercus robur<br>(Common Oak)                 | 16(6)         | 850(1)             | 8                              | 8  | 8  | 8  | M             | 10+ | Moderate quality and value. Woodland edge tree that overhangs the site. Located on bank. Broken branches in crown. Large split limb in lower crown to west.<br>Recommendations: Remove major deadwood. Remove broken/damaged branches.                                             | B2        |

**Notes:** **Dia (stems):** trunk diameter in mm at 1.5m above ground level (number of stems) | **HT (crown):** Tree height (crown clearance) | **Life stage:** **Y:** Young (obviously planted within the last three years (unless as a heavy or extra-heavy standard)). **SM:** Semi mature (recently planted and yet to attain mature stature; up to 25% of attainable age.). **EM:** Early mature (almost full height, crown still developing and seed bearing; up to 50% of attainable age.). **M:** Mature (full height, crown spread, seed bearing; over 50% of attainable age.). **OM:** Over mature (full size, die-back, small leaf size, poor growth extension.) | **FSB:** First significant branch (& compass bearing) | **ERC:** Expected remaining contribution in years- <10, 10+, 20+, 40+ (assuming that there will be no physical changes to its immediate environment | **BS Category:** Refer to appendix 1 of this report or BS5837:2012 Table 1 for detailed descriptions.

**SITE:** Land South & West of Imberhorne Upper School, Imberhorne Lane, Imberhorne  
**CLIENT:** Vistry Homes Ltd.  
**DATE:** 13/02/23 & 20/11/25

**SURVEYOR:** C Campbell & A Bigg

**TAGGED?** No

| No. | Name                                                                   | Ht<br>(crown) | Dia<br>(stems<br>) | Canopy spread<br>N   E   S   W |    |    |    | Life<br>stage | ERC | Comments & preliminary recommendations                                                                                                                                                    | BS<br>Cat |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|----|----|----|---------------|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| T19 | Aesculus<br>hippocastanum<br>(Horse Chestnut)                          | 15(6)         | 600(1)             | 3                              | 4  | 5  | 4  | M             | 20+ | Moderate quality and value. Located on bank. Part of linear group.                                                                                                                        | B2        |
| T20 | Aesculus<br>hippocastanum<br>(Horse Chestnut)                          | 15(6)         | 850(1)             | 6                              | 6  | 6  | 4  | EM            | 20+ | Moderate quality and value. Located on bank. Part of linear group.                                                                                                                        | B2        |
| G21 | Quercus robur<br>(Common Oak),<br>Betula pendula<br>(Silver Birch)     | 12(3)         | 250(1)             | 0                              | 0  | 0  | 0  | SM            | 10+ | Low quality and value. Located on bank. Spindly habit.                                                                                                                                    | C2        |
| T22 | Quercus robur<br>(Common Oak)                                          | 12(4)         | 300(1)             | 3                              | 4  | 5  | 4  | SM            | 20+ | Moderate quality and value. Located on bank. Part of linear group.                                                                                                                        | B2        |
| G23 | Quercus robur<br>(Common Oak)                                          | 11(4)         | 350(1)             | 0                              | 0  | 0  | 0  | SM            | 20+ | Moderate quality and value. Located on bank. Part of linear group.                                                                                                                        | B2        |
| G24 | Quercus robur<br>(Common Oak)                                          | 12(4)         | 300(1)             | 0                              | 0  | 0  | 0  | SM            | 20+ | Moderate quality and value. Off site. Woodland edge tree that overhangs the site. Part of linear group.                                                                                   | B2        |
| T25 | Quercus robur<br>(Common Oak)                                          | 16(4)         | 1250(1)            | 10                             | 10 | 10 | 10 | OM            | 40+ | No obvious significant defects. Good quality with high landscape value. Roots restricted by hard surface. Located on bank. Unable to inspect stem due to undergrowth. Scattered deadwood. | A2        |
| T26 | Quercus robur<br>(Common Oak)                                          | 13(6)         | 800(1)             | 7                              | 7  | 7  | 7  | M             | 40+ | Good quality with high landscape value. Located on bank. Part of linear group.                                                                                                            | A2        |
| G27 | Acer<br>pseudoplatanus<br>(Sycamore),<br>Quercus robur<br>(Common Oak) | 12(3)         | 300(1)             | 0                              | 0  | 0  | 0  | SM            | 20+ | Fair quality with some landscape value. Located on bank. Part of linear group. Unable to inspect stem due to Ivy.                                                                         | B2        |

**Notes:** **Dia (stems):** trunk diameter in mm at 1.5m above ground level (number of stems) | **HT (crown):** Tree height (crown clearance) | **Life stage:** **Y:** Young (obviously planted within the last three years (unless as a heavy or extra-heavy standard)). **SM:** Semi mature (recently planted and yet to attain mature stature; up to 25% of attainable age.). **EM:** Early mature (almost full height, crown still developing and seed bearing; up to 50% of attainable age.). **M:** Mature (full height, crown spread, seed bearing; over 50% of attainable age.). **OM:** Over mature (full size, die-back, small leaf size, poor growth extension.) | **FSB:** First significant branch (& compass bearing) | **ERC:** Expected remaining contribution in years- <10, 10+, 20+, 40+ (assuming that there will be no physical changes to its immediate environment) | **BS Category:** Refer to appendix 1 of this report or BS5837:2012 Table 1 for detailed descriptions.

**SITE:** Land South & West of Imberhorne Upper School, Imberhorne Lane, Imberhorne  
**CLIENT:** Vistry Homes Ltd.  
**DATE:** 13/02/23 & 20/11/25

**SURVEYOR:** C Campbell & A Bigg

**TAGGED?** No

| No. | Name                                                                         | Ht<br>(crown) | Dia<br>(stems<br>) | Canopy spread<br>N   E   S   W |   |   |   | Life<br>stage | ERC | Comments & preliminary recommendations                                                                                                            | BS<br>Cat |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|---|---------------|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| G28 | Acer<br>pseudoplatanus<br>(Sycamore),<br>Crataegus<br>monogyna<br>(Hawthorn) | 3(0)          | 250(1)             | 0                              | 0 | 0 | 0 | SM            | 10+ | Low quality and value. Located on bank. Poor shape and form. Part of linear group.                                                                | C2        |
| T29 | Quercus robur<br>(Common Oak)                                                | 12(2)         | 300(1)             | 5                              | 3 | 4 | 5 | SM            | 20+ | No obvious significant defects. Fair quality with some landscape value. Located on bank.                                                          | B2        |
| T30 | Salix caprea (Goat<br>Willow)                                                | 6(2)          | 250(1)             | 1                              | 0 | 2 | 1 | SM            | 10+ | Low quality and value. Location estimated. Poor shape and form.                                                                                   | C2        |
| T31 | Quercus robur<br>(Common Oak)                                                | 9(2)          | 250(1)             | 4                              | 2 | 1 | 4 | SM            | 10+ | Declining in health and condition. Low quality and value. Location estimated. Poor shape and form. Twin-stemmed from base. Decay present on stem. | C1        |
| G32 | Quercus robur<br>(Common Oak)                                                | 14(1)         | 900(1)             | 8                              | 4 | 6 | 8 | M             | 40+ | No obvious significant defects. Good quality with high landscape value. Inaccessible. Off site.                                                   | A2        |
| T33 | Crataegus<br>monogyna<br>(Hawthorn)                                          | 6(1.5)        | 200,200,<br>200(3) | 3                              | 3 | 3 | 3 | SM            | 10+ | Low quality and value. Provides some screen. Triple stemmed from base.                                                                            | C2        |
| T34 | Quercus robur<br>(Common Oak)                                                | 8(1.5)        | 300(1)             | 5                              | 4 | 2 | 1 | SM            | 10+ | Low quality and value. Weak and suppressed.                                                                                                       | C2        |
| T35 | Quercus rubra<br>(Red Oak)                                                   | 14(2)         | 600(1)             | 8                              | 8 | 8 | 8 | EM            | 40+ | Good quality with high landscape value. Dominant specimen, readily visible on property frontage.                                                  | A2        |
| T36 | Crataegus<br>monogyna<br>(Hawthorn)                                          | 4(1)          | 250,300<br>(2)     | 2                              | 2 | 2 | 2 | EM            | 10+ | Low quality and value. Twin-stemmed from base.                                                                                                    | C2        |
| T37 | Crataegus<br>monogyna<br>(Hawthorn)                                          | 5(2)          | 300(1)             | 2                              | 2 | 3 | 2 | EM            | 10+ | Low quality and value. Poor shape and form.                                                                                                       | C2        |
| T38 | Crataegus<br>monogyna<br>(Hawthorn)                                          | 5(2)          | 300,200,<br>200(3) | 3                              | 3 | 3 | 3 | EM            | 10+ | Low quality and value. Provides some screen. Poor shape and form.                                                                                 | C2        |

**Notes:** **Dia (stems):** trunk diameter in mm at 1.5m above ground level (number of stems) | **HT (crown):** Tree height (crown clearance) | **Life stage:** **Y:** Young (obviously planted within the last three years (unless as a heavy or extra-heavy standard)). **SM:** Semi mature (recently planted and yet to attain mature stature; up to 25% of attainable age.). **EM:** Early mature (almost full height, crown still developing and seed bearing; up to 50% of attainable age.). **M:** Mature (full height, crown spread, seed bearing; over 50% of attainable age.). **OM:** Over mature (full size, die-back, small leaf size, poor growth extension.) | **FSB:** First significant branch (& compass bearing) | **ERC:** Expected remaining contribution in years- <10, 10+, 20+, 40+ (assuming that there will be no physical changes to its immediate environment | **BS Category:** Refer to appendix 1 of this report or BS5837:2012 Table 1 for detailed descriptions.

**SITE:** Land South & West of Imberhorne Upper School, Imberhorne Lane, Imberhorne  
**CLIENT:** Vistry Homes Ltd.  
**DATE:** 13/02/23 & 20/11/25

**SURVEYOR:** C Campbell & A Bigg

**TAGGED?** No

| No. | Name                                          | Ht<br>(crown) | Dia<br>(stems<br>) | Canopy spread<br>N   E   S   W |    |    |    | Life<br>stage | ERC | Comments & preliminary recommendations                                                                                                 | BS<br>Cat |
|-----|-----------------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|----|----|----|---------------|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| T39 | Quercus robur<br>(Common Oak)                 | 14(2)         | 850(1)             | 8                              | 5  | 8  | 8  | M             | 40+ | No obvious significant defects. Good quality with high landscape value.                                                                | A2        |
| T40 | Quercus robur<br>(Common Oak)                 | 14(2)         | 600(1)             | 7                              | 7  | 7  | 3  | EM            | 20+ | No obvious significant defects. Fair quality with some landscape value.                                                                | B2        |
| G41 | Betula pendula<br>(Silver Birch)              | 13(2)         | 300(2)             | 0                              | 0  | 0  | 0  | EM            | 20+ | No obvious significant defects. Fair quality with some landscape value. Provides some screen.                                          | B2        |
| T42 | Quercus robur<br>(Common Oak)                 | 12(5)         | 350(1)             | 5                              | 3  | 3  | 4  | SM            | <10 | Declining in health and condition. Low quality and value. Sparse foliage. Dieback in crown. Major deadwood in crown.                   | U         |
| T43 | Quercus robur<br>(Common Oak)                 | 14(3)         | 800(1)             | 10                             | 10 | 10 | 10 | M             | 40+ | Good quality with high landscape value.                                                                                                | A2        |
| T44 | Ilex aquifolium<br>(Holly)                    | 6(2)          | 250,250,<br>250(3) | 4                              | 3  | 2  | 2  | EM            | 10+ | Low quality and value. Provides some screen.                                                                                           | C2        |
| T45 | Quercus robur<br>(Common Oak)                 | 12(3)         | 550(1)             | 7                              | 3  | 5  | 4  | EM            | 10+ | Declining in health and condition. Downgraded due to limited life expectancy. Dieback in crown. Major deadwood in crown.               | C2        |
| T46 | Sorbus aucuparia<br>(Rowan)                   | 7(3)          | 300,250<br>(2)     | 4                              | 3  | 2  | 2  | EM            | <10 | Downgraded due to limited life expectancy. Stem divides below 1.5m. Decay present on stem. Cavity on stem. One stem dead               | U         |
| T47 | Aesculus<br>hippocastanum<br>(Horse Chestnut) | 12(2)         | 500(1)             | 5                              | 4  | 6  | 4  | EM            | 10+ | Downgraded due to limited life expectancy. Decay present on stem. Cavity on stem. Major bark wounding on stem. Squirrel bark stripping | C2        |
| T48 | Crataegus<br>monogyna<br>(Hawthorn)           | 4(1)          | 300(1)             | 2                              | 2  | 2  | 2  | EM            | 10+ | Low quality and value. Little wider long-term landscape value.                                                                         | C2        |
| T49 | Quercus robur<br>(Common Oak)                 | 10(2)         | 400(1)             | 7                              | 1  | 6  | 5  | SM            | 20+ | Provides some screen. Wire girdling stem. Unbalanced crown shape.                                                                      | B2        |
| T50 | Quercus robur<br>(Common Oak)                 | 12(3)         | 600(1)             | 7                              | 5  | 7  | 4  | EM            | 20+ | Fair quality with some landscape value. Part of linear group. Stem divides above 1.5m. Scattered deadwood.                             | B2        |

**Notes:** **Dia (stems):** trunk diameter in mm at 1.5m above ground level (number of stems) | **HT (crown):** Tree height (crown clearance) | **Life stage:** **Y:** Young (obviously planted within the last three years (unless as a heavy or extra-heavy standard)). **SM:** Semi mature (recently planted and yet to attain mature stature; up to 25% of attainable age.). **EM:** Early mature (almost full height, crown still developing and seed bearing; up to 50% of attainable age.). **M:** Mature (full height, crown spread, seed bearing; over 50% of attainable age.). **OM:** Over mature (full size, die-back, small leaf size, poor growth extension.) | **FSB:** First significant branch (& compass bearing) | **ERC:** Expected remaining contribution in years- <10, 10+, 20+, 40+ (assuming that there will be no physical changes to its immediate environment | **BS Category:** Refer to appendix 1 of this report or BS5837:2012 Table 1 for detailed descriptions.

**SITE:** Land South & West of Imberhorne Upper School, Imberhorne Lane, Imberhorne  
**CLIENT:** Vistry Homes Ltd.  
**DATE:** 13/02/23 & 20/11/25

**SURVEYOR:** C Campbell & A Bigg

**TAGGED?** No

| No. | Name                                                                                                                                                              | Ht<br>(crown) | Dia<br>(stems<br>) | Canopy spread<br>N   E   S   W |   |   |   | Life<br>stage | ERC | Comments & preliminary recommendations                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | BS<br>Cat |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|---|---------------|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| T51 | Sorbus aucuparia<br>(Rowan)                                                                                                                                       | 6(2)          | 250(1)             | 4                              | 1 | 0 | 1 | SM            | 10+ | Low quality and value. Leaning North.                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | C2        |
| H52 | Crataegus<br>monogyna<br>(Hawthorn),<br>Quercus robur<br>(Common Oak),<br>Acer platanoides<br>(Norway Maple),<br>Fraxinus excelsior<br>(Ash), Tilia sp.<br>(Lime) | 3(0)          | 100(1)             | 0                              | 0 | 0 | 0 | Y             | 10+ | Part of linear group. Hedgerow                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | C2        |
| T53 | Fagus sylvatica<br>(Beech)                                                                                                                                        | 14(3)         | 900(1)             | 5                              | 6 | 8 | 6 | M             | 20+ | Moderate quality and value. Provides some screen.<br>Woodland edge tree that overhangs the site. Part of linear group. Low branches over road/footpath. Scattered deadwood.                                                                                  | B2        |
| T54 | Acer<br>pseudoplatanus<br>(Sycamore)                                                                                                                              | 14(2)         | 600(1)             | 5                              | 5 | 6 | 5 | EM            | 20+ | Moderate quality and value. Provides some screen.<br>Woodland edge tree that overhangs the site. Low branches over road/footpath.                                                                                                                            | B2        |
| T55 | Castanea sativa<br>(Sweet Chestnut)                                                                                                                               | 14(2)         | 750(1)             | 4                              | 4 | 4 | 6 | M             | 20+ | Moderate quality and value. Provides some screen.<br>Woodland edge tree that overhangs the site. Cavity on stem. Major deadwood in crown. Cavities on limb over footpath<br>Recommendations: Remove major deadwood.                                          | B2        |
| T56 | Tilia sp. (Lime)                                                                                                                                                  | 12(4)         | 600(1)             | 4                              | 4 | 4 | 4 | EM            | 20+ | Moderate quality and value. Roadside tree: of value in the street scene. Suckers around stem base. Unable to inspect stem due to undergrowth.                                                                                                                | B2        |
| T57 | Castanea sativa<br>(Sweet Chestnut)                                                                                                                               | 10(2)         | 400(1)             | 4                              | 4 | 4 | 4 | M             | 20+ | Moderate quality and value. Provides some screen.<br>Woodland edge tree that overhangs the site. Stem divides below 1.5m. Unable to inspect stem due to undergrowth. Scattered deadwood. Major deadwood in crown.<br>Recommendations: Remove major deadwood. | B2        |

**Notes:** **Dia (stems):** trunk diameter in mm at 1.5m above ground level (number of stems) | **HT (crown):** Tree height (crown clearance) | **Life stage:** **Y:** Young (obviously planted within the last three years (unless as a heavy or extra-heavy standard)). **SM:** Semi mature (recently planted and yet to attain mature stature; up to 25% of attainable age.). **EM:** Early mature (almost full height, crown still developing and seed bearing; up to 50% of attainable age.). **M:** Mature (full height, crown spread, seed bearing; over 50% of attainable age.). **OM:** Over mature (full size, die-back, small leaf size, poor growth extension.) | **FSB:** First significant branch (& compass bearing) | **ERC:** Expected remaining contribution in years- <10, 10+, 20+, 40+ (assuming that there will be no physical changes to its immediate environment | **BS Category:** Refer to appendix 1 of this report or BS5837:2012 Table 1 for detailed descriptions.

**SITE:** Land South & West of Imberhorne Upper School, Imberhorne Lane, Imberhorne  
**CLIENT:** Vistry Homes Ltd.  
**DATE:** 13/02/23 & 20/11/25

**SURVEYOR:** C Campbell & A Bigg

**TAGGED?** No

| No. | Name                                      | Ht<br>(crown) | Dia<br>(stems<br>)         | Canopy spread<br>N   E   S   W |   |   |   | Life<br>stage | ERC | Comments & preliminary recommendations                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | BS<br>Cat |
|-----|-------------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|---|---------------|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| T58 | Castanea sativa<br>(Sweet Chestnut)       | 14(2)         | 800(1)                     | 4                              | 4 | 4 | 4 | M             | 20+ | Fair quality with some landscape value. Woodland edge tree that overhangs the site.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | B2        |
| T59 | Tilia sp. (Lime)                          | 14(0)         | 550(1)                     | 4                              | 4 | 4 | 4 | EM            | 20+ | Fair quality with some landscape value. Woodland edge tree that overhangs the site. Unable to inspect stem due to undergrowth.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | B2        |
| T60 | Tilia sp. (Lime)                          | 14(0)         | 400(1)                     | 3                              | 4 | 3 | 3 | EM            | 20+ | Fair quality with some landscape value. Woodland edge tree that overhangs the site. Suckers around stem base. Unable to inspect stem due to undergrowth.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | B2        |
| T61 | Castanea sativa<br>(Sweet Chestnut)       | 13(2)         | 600(1)                     | 4                              | 4 | 4 | 4 | EM            | 20+ | Fair quality with some landscape value. Woodland edge tree that overhangs the site.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | B2        |
| T62 | Tilia sp. (Lime)                          | 14(0)         | 350(1)                     | 3                              | 4 | 3 | 3 | EM            | 20+ | Fair quality with some landscape value. Woodland edge tree that overhangs the site. Unable to inspect stem due to undergrowth.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | B2        |
| T63 | Castanea sativa<br>(Sweet Chestnut)       | 8(1)          | 300,300,<br>300,300<br>(4) | 4                              | 4 | 4 | 4 | SM            | 20+ | Fair quality with some landscape value. Woodland edge tree that overhangs the site. Coppice.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | B2        |
| T64 | Fagus sylvatica<br>(Beech)                | 14(2)         | 900(1)                     | 10                             | 6 | 4 | 8 | M             | <10 | Declining in health and condition. Downgraded due to limited life expectancy. Woodland edge tree that overhangs the site. Poor shape and form. Part of linear group. Low branches over road/footpath. Fungal fruiting bodies at base. Decay present on stem. Fungal brackets visible on stem. Cavity on stem. Major bark wounding on stem. Low vigour/poor extension growth. Scattered deadwood. Low vitality. Recommendations: Remove tree and root. | U         |
| T65 | Pseudotsuga<br>menziesii (Douglas<br>Fir) | 16(3)         | 500(1)                     | 3                              | 3 | 3 | 0 | EM            | 20+ | Fair quality with some landscape value. Spindly habit.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | B2        |
| T66 | Pinus sylvestris<br>(Scots Pine)          | 7(0)          | 350(1)                     | 1                              | 1 | 1 | 1 | SM            | <10 | Dead.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | U         |

**Notes:** **Dia (stems):** trunk diameter in mm at 1.5m above ground level (number of stems) | **HT (crown):** Tree height (crown clearance) | **Life stage:** **Y:** Young (obviously planted within the last three years (unless as a heavy or extra-heavy standard)). **SM:** Semi mature (recently planted and yet to attain mature stature; up to 25% of attainable age.). **EM:** Early mature (almost full height, crown still developing and seed bearing; up to 50% of attainable age.). **M:** Mature (full height, crown spread, seed bearing; over 50% of attainable age.). **OM:** Over mature (full size, die-back, small leaf size, poor growth extension.) | **FSB:** First significant branch (& compass bearing) | **ERC:** Expected remaining contribution in years- <10, 10+, 20+, 40+ (assuming that there will be no physical changes to its immediate environment | **BS Category:** Refer to appendix 1 of this report or BS5837:2012 Table 1 for detailed descriptions.

**SITE:** Land South & West of Imberhorne Upper School, Imberhorne Lane, Imberhorne  
**CLIENT:** Vistry Homes Ltd.  
**DATE:** 13/02/23 & 20/11/25

**SURVEYOR:** C Campbell & A Bigg

**TAGGED?** No

| No. | Name                                                                                                    | Ht<br>(crown) | Dia<br>(stems)                 | Canopy spread<br>N   E   S   W |     |     |     | Life<br>stage | ERC | Comments & preliminary recommendations                                                                                             | BS<br>Cat |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|---------------|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| T67 | Fagus sylvatica<br>(Beech)                                                                              | 14(2)         | 800(1)                         | 7                              | 7.5 | 7   | 6.5 | M             | 20+ | Fair quality with some landscape value. Woodland edge tree that overhangs the site.                                                | B2        |
| W68 | Quercus robur<br>(Common Oak),<br>Fraxinus excelsior<br>(Ash)                                           | 14(2)         | 600(1)                         | 0                              | 0   | 0   | 0   | EM            | 20+ | Moderate quality and value. Woodland edge tree that overhangs the site. Part of linear group.                                      | B2        |
| H69 | Salix caprea (Goat<br>Willow), Crataegus<br>monogyna<br>(Hawthorn), Betula<br>pendula (Silver<br>Birch) | 1.5(0)        | 100(1)                         | 0                              | 0   | 0   | 0   | Y             | 10+ | Provides some screen. Part of linear group.                                                                                        | C2        |
| G70 | Fraxinus excelsior<br>(Ash)                                                                             | 8(0)          | 300,300,<br>300,300,<br>300(5) | 6                              | 6   | 6   | 6   | SM            | 10+ | Low quality and value. Downgraded due to limited life expectancy. Located on bank. Poor shape and form. Multiple stems below 1.5m. | C2        |
| T71 | Quercus robur<br>(Common Oak)                                                                           | 8(1)          | 300,250,<br>150(3)             | 4                              | 4   | 4   | 4   | SM            | 20+ | Fair quality with some landscape value. Multiple stems below 1.5m.                                                                 | B2        |
| T72 | Acer campestre<br>(Field Maple)                                                                         | 8(0)          | 350(1)                         | 3                              | 3   | 3   | 3   | SM            | 20+ | No obvious significant defects. Provides some screen. Located on bank. Part of linear group.                                       | B2        |
| T73 | Acer campestre<br>(Field Maple)                                                                         | 7(0)          | 100,100,<br>100(3)             | 3                              | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.5 | SM            | 20+ | Fair quality with some landscape value. Provides some screen. Located on bank. Part of linear group.                               | B2        |
| T74 | Fraxinus excelsior<br>(Ash)                                                                             | 8(1)          | 250,100,<br>100(3)             | 3                              | 3   | 3   | 3   | SM            | 10+ | Low quality and value. Located on bank.                                                                                            | C2        |
| T75 | Sorbus torminalis<br>(Wild Service<br>Tree)                                                             | 6(0)          | 200,200<br>(2)                 | 2                              | 2   | 2   | 2   | SM            | 20+ | Fair quality with some landscape value. Provides some screen. Located on bank. Part of linear group.                               | B2        |
| T76 | Tilia sp. (Lime)                                                                                        | 7(0)          | 100,100,<br>100,100,<br>100(5) | 3                              | 3   | 3   | 3   | SM            | 20+ | Fair quality with some landscape value. Provides some screen. Located on bank. Part of linear group. Multiple stems below 1.5m.    | B2        |

**Notes:** **Dia (stems):** trunk diameter in mm at 1.5m above ground level (number of stems) | **HT (crown):** Tree height (crown clearance) | **Life stage:** **Y:** Young (obviously planted within the last three years (unless as a heavy or extra-heavy standard)). **SM:** Semi mature (recently planted and yet to attain mature stature; up to 25% of attainable age.). **EM:** Early mature (almost full height, crown still developing and seed bearing; up to 50% of attainable age.). **M:** Mature (full height, crown spread, seed bearing; over 50% of attainable age.). **OM:** Over mature (full size, die-back, small leaf size, poor growth extension.) | **FSB:** First significant branch (& compass bearing) | **ERC:** Expected remaining contribution in years- <10, 10+, 20+, 40+ (assuming that there will be no physical changes to its immediate environment | **BS Category:** Refer to appendix 1 of this report or BS5837:2012 Table 1 for detailed descriptions.

**SITE:** Land South & West of Imberhorne Upper School, Imberhorne Lane, Imberhorne  
**CLIENT:** Vistry Homes Ltd.  
**DATE:** 13/02/23 & 20/11/25

**SURVEYOR:** C Campbell & A Bigg

**TAGGED?** No

| No. | Name                                                                                                                                                                                           | Ht<br>(crown) | Dia<br>(stems<br>) | Canopy spread<br>N   E   S   W |   |   |   | Life<br>stage | ERC | Comments & preliminary recommendations                                                                                                           | BS<br>Cat |
|-----|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|---|---------------|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| T77 | Quercus robur<br>(Common Oak)                                                                                                                                                                  | 8(1)          | 350(1)             | 4                              | 4 | 4 | 4 | SM            | 20+ | Fair quality with some landscape value. Provides some screen. Located on bank. Part of linear group.                                             | B2        |
| G78 | Acer campestre<br>(Field Maple), Tilia<br>sp. (Lime)                                                                                                                                           | 8(1)          | 300(2)             | 0                              | 0 | 0 | 0 | SM            | 20+ | Fair quality with some landscape value. Provides some screen. Located on bank. Part of linear group.                                             | B2        |
| T79 | Acer campestre<br>(Field Maple)                                                                                                                                                                | 8(1)          | 300(1)             | 3                              | 3 | 3 | 3 | SM            | 20+ | Fair quality with some landscape value. Provides some screen. Located on bank. Part of linear group. At end of field drain by gap to next field  | B2        |
| T80 | Fraxinus excelsior<br>(Ash)                                                                                                                                                                    | 10(1)         | 300,300<br>(2)     | 4                              | 4 | 4 | 4 | SM            | 10+ | Low quality and value. Little wider long-term landscape value. Located on bank. Part of linear group.                                            | C2        |
| W81 | Acer campestre<br>(Field Maple),<br>Fraxinus excelsior<br>(Ash), Prunus<br>avium (Wild<br>Cherry), Salix<br>caprea (Goat<br>Willow), Alnus<br>glutinosa<br>(Common Alder),<br>Tilia sp. (Lime) | 9(0)          | 300(1)             | 0                              | 0 | 0 | 0 | SM            | 20+ | Fair quality with some landscape value. Provides some screen. Located on bank. Part of linear group.                                             | B2        |
| T82 | Quercus robur<br>(Common Oak)                                                                                                                                                                  | 14(2)         | 850(1)             | 7                              | 7 | 7 | 7 | M             | 40+ | Good quality with high landscape value. Woodland edge tree that overhangs the site. Leaning South. Scattered deadwood. Broken branches in crown. | A2        |
| T83 | Castanea sativa<br>(Sweet Chestnut)                                                                                                                                                            | 1(1)          | 600(1)             | 0                              | 0 | 0 | 0 | M             | <10 | Fallen Tree                                                                                                                                      | U         |
| T84 | Quercus robur<br>(Common Oak)                                                                                                                                                                  | 15(1)         | 950(1)             | 8                              | 5 | 8 | 8 | M             | 40+ | Good quality with high landscape value. Woodland edge tree that overhangs the site.                                                              | A2        |

**Notes:** **Dia (stems):** trunk diameter in mm at 1.5m above ground level (number of stems) | **HT (crown):** Tree height (crown clearance) | **Life stage:** **Y:** Young (obviously planted within the last three years (unless as a heavy or extra-heavy standard)). **SM:** Semi mature (recently planted and yet to attain mature stature; up to 25% of attainable age.). **EM:** Early mature (almost full height, crown still developing and seed bearing; up to 50% of attainable age.). **M:** Mature (full height, crown spread, seed bearing; over 50% of attainable age.). **OM:** Over mature (full size, die-back, small leaf size, poor growth extension.) | **FSB:** First significant branch (& compass bearing) | **ERC:** Expected remaining contribution in years- <10, 10+, 20+, 40+ (assuming that there will be no physical changes to its immediate environment) | **BS Category:** Refer to appendix 1 of this report or BS5837:2012 Table 1 for detailed descriptions.

**SITE:** Land South & West of Imberhorne Upper School, Imberhorne Lane, Imberhorne  
**CLIENT:** Vistry Homes Ltd.  
**DATE:** 13/02/23 & 20/11/25

**SURVEYOR:** C Campbell & A Bigg

**TAGGED?** No

| No. | Name                                                                                                  | Ht<br>(crown) | Dia<br>(stems) | Canopy spread<br>N   E   S   W |     |     |     | Life<br>stage | ERC | Comments & preliminary recommendations                                                                         | BS<br>Cat |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|--------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|---------------|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| G85 | Quercus robur<br>(Common Oak),<br>Salix caprea (Goat<br>Willow), Acer<br>pseudoplatanus<br>(Sycamore) | 12(1)         | 300(1)         | 0                              | 0   | 0   | 0   | SM            | 10+ | Low quality and value. Provides some screen. Off site.                                                         | C2        |
| T86 | Acer palmatum<br>(Japanese Maple)                                                                     | 7(1)          | 300(1)         | 3                              | 3   | 3   | 3   | SM            | 20+ | Fair quality with some landscape value. Off site. Part of<br>linear group.                                     | B2        |
| W87 | Fraxinus excelsior<br>(Ash), Alnus<br>glutinosa<br>(Common Alder),<br>Quercus robur<br>(Common Oak)   | 12(1)         | 450(1)         | 0                              | 0   | 0   | 0   | EM            | 20+ | Fair quality with some landscape value. Inaccessible. Off<br>site. Woodland edge tree that overhangs the site. | B2        |
| G88 | Quercus robur<br>(Common Oak),<br>Fraxinus excelsior<br>(Ash)                                         | 7(0)          | 250(1)         | 0                              | 0   | 0   | 0   | SM            | 10+ | Inaccessible. Off site.                                                                                        | C2        |
| T89 | Quercus robur<br>(Common Oak)                                                                         | 6(0)          | 350(1)         | 5                              | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | EM            | 20+ | Moderate quality and value. Provides some screen.<br>Inaccessible. Off site.                                   | B2        |
| G90 | Quercus robur<br>(Common Oak)                                                                         | 6(1)          | 350(1)         | 0                              | 0   | 0   | 0   | SM            | 20+ | Fair quality with some landscape value. Provides some<br>screen. Off site. Part of linear group.               | B2        |

**Notes:** **Dia (stems):** trunk diameter in mm at 1.5m above ground level (number of stems) | **HT (crown):** Tree height (crown clearance) | **Life stage:** **Y:** Young (obviously planted within the last three years (unless as a heavy or extra-heavy standard)). **SM:** Semi mature (recently planted and yet to attain mature stature; up to 25% of attainable age.). **EM:** Early mature (almost full height, crown still developing and seed bearing; up to 50% of attainable age.). **M:** Mature (full height, crown spread, seed bearing; over 50% of attainable age.). **OM:** Over mature (full size, die-back, small leaf size, poor growth extension.) | **FSB:** First significant branch (& compass bearing) | **ERC:** Expected remaining contribution in years- <10, 10+, 20+, 40+ (assuming that there will be no physical changes to its immediate environment | **BS Category:** Refer to appendix 1 of this report or BS5837:2012 Table 1 for detailed descriptions.

**SITE:** Land South & West of Imberhorne Upper School, Imberhorne Lane, Imberhorne  
**CLIENT:** Vistry Homes Ltd.  
**DATE:** 13/02/23 & 20/11/25

**SURVEYOR:** C Campbell & A Bigg

**TAGGED?** No

| No. | Name                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Ht<br>(crown) | Dia<br>(stems<br>) | Canopy spread<br>N   E   S   W |   |   |   | Life<br>stage | ERC | Comments & preliminary recommendations                                              | BS<br>Cat |
|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|---|---------------|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| W91 | Acer campestre<br>(Field Maple), Acer<br>pseudoplatanus<br>(Sycamore), Alnus<br>glutinosa<br>(Common Alder),<br>Corylus avellana<br>(Hazel), Fraxinus<br>excelsior (Ash),<br>Prunus avium<br>(Wild Cherry)     | 12(0)         | 300(1)             | 0                              | 0 | 0 | 0 | EM            | 40+ | Ancient Woodland (ASNW)                                                             | A3        |
| G92 | Ilex aquifolium<br>(Holly), Crataegus<br>monogyna<br>(Hawthorn),<br>Corylus avellana<br>(Hazel)                                                                                                                | 7(0)          | 200(1)             | 0                              | 0 | 0 | 0 | SM            | 10+ | Low quality and value. Provides some screen. Located on bank.                       | C2        |
| G93 | Quercus robur<br>(Common Oak)                                                                                                                                                                                  | 7(1)          | 300(2)             | 0                              | 0 | 0 | 0 | SM            | 20+ | Fair quality with some landscape value. Off site.                                   | B2        |
| G94 | Crataegus<br>monogyna<br>(Hawthorn), Pinus<br>sylvestris (Scots<br>Pine), Quercus<br>robur (Common<br>Oak), Betula<br>pendula (Silver<br>Birch), Corylus<br>avellana (Hazel),<br>Salix caprea (Goat<br>Willow) | 10(0)         | 250(1)             | 0                              | 0 | 0 | 0 | SM            | 20+ | Fair quality with some landscape value. Provides some screen. Part of linear group. | B2        |

**Notes:** **Dia (stems):** trunk diameter in mm at 1.5m above ground level (number of stems) | **HT (crown):** Tree height (crown clearance) | **Life stage:** **Y:** Young (obviously planted within the last three years (unless as a heavy or extra-heavy standard)). **SM:** Semi mature (recently planted and yet to attain mature stature; up to 25% of attainable age.). **EM:** Early mature (almost full height, crown still developing and seed bearing; up to 50% of attainable age.). **M:** Mature (full height, crown spread, seed bearing; over 50% of attainable age.). **OM:** Over mature (full size, die-back, small leaf size, poor growth extension.) | **FSB:** First significant branch (& compass bearing) | **ERC:** Expected remaining contribution in years- <10, 10+, 20+, 40+ (assuming that there will be no physical changes to its immediate environment | **BS Category:** Refer to appendix 1 of this report or BS5837:2012 Table 1 for detailed descriptions.

**SITE:** Land South & West of Imberhorne Upper School, Imberhorne Lane, Imberhorne  
**CLIENT:** Vistry Homes Ltd.  
**DATE:** 13/02/23 & 20/11/25

**SURVEYOR:** C Campbell & A Bigg

**TAGGED?** No

| No.  | Name                                                                                              | Ht<br>(crown) | Dia<br>(stems) | Canopy spread<br>N   E   S   W |   |   |   | Life<br>stage | ERC | Comments & preliminary recommendations                                                                                                                                | BS<br>Cat |
|------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|--------------------------------|---|---|---|---------------|-----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| T95  | Salix caprea (Goat Willow)                                                                        | 4(1)          | 300,100<br>(2) | 3                              | 2 | 1 | 2 | EM            | <10 | Low quality and value. Plotted by eye on plan. Poor shape and form. Stem divides at ground level. Decay present on stem. Cavity on stem. Major bark wounding on stem. | U         |
| G96  | Salix caprea (Goat Willow), Aesculus hippocastanum (Horse Chestnut), Acer campestre (Field Maple) | 8(0)          | 250(1)         | 0                              | 0 | 0 | 0 | SM            | 10+ | Low quality and value. Provides some screen.                                                                                                                          | C2        |
| T97  | Salix caprea (Goat Willow)                                                                        | 6(0)          | 300(6)         | 4                              | 3 | 3 | 3 | EM            | 10+ | Low quality and value. Little wider long-term landscape value.                                                                                                        | C2        |
| T98  | Fraxinus excelsior (Ash)                                                                          | 7(1)          | 300(1)         | 2                              | 2 | 2 | 2 | SM            | 10+ | Low quality and value.                                                                                                                                                | C2        |
| G99  | Salix caprea (Goat Willow)                                                                        | 6(0)          | 250(1)         | 0                              | 0 | 0 | 0 | SM            | 10+ | Low quality and value. Provides some screen.                                                                                                                          | C2        |
| G100 | Salix caprea (Goat Willow)                                                                        | 6(0)          | 250(1)         | 0                              | 0 | 0 | 0 | SM            | 10+ | Low quality and value. Provides some screen.                                                                                                                          | C2        |
| W101 | Acer campestre (Field Maple), Fraxinus excelsior (Ash), Quercus robur (Common Oak)                | 14(1)         | 650(1)         | 0                              | 0 | 0 | 0 | EM            | 40+ | Good quality with high landscape value. Woodland edge tree that overhangs the site. Located on bank.                                                                  | A2        |
| 102  | Number not used                                                                                   |               |                |                                |   |   |   |               |     |                                                                                                                                                                       |           |
| T103 | Tilia sp. (Lime)                                                                                  | 15(5)         | 450(1)         | 3                              | 3 | 3 | 3 | EM            | 20+ | Moderate quality and value. Provides some screen. Roadside tree: of value in the street-scene. Epicormics on stem. Scattered deadwood.                                | B2        |

**Notes:** **Dia (stems):** trunk diameter in mm at 1.5m above ground level (number of stems) | **HT (crown):** Tree height (crown clearance) | **Life stage:** **Y:** Young (obviously planted within the last three years (unless as a heavy or extra-heavy standard)). **SM:** Semi mature (recently planted and yet to attain mature stature; up to 25% of attainable age.). **EM:** Early mature (almost full height, crown still developing and seed bearing; up to 50% of attainable age.). **M:** Mature (full height, crown spread, seed bearing; over 50% of attainable age.). **OM:** Over mature (full size, die-back, small leaf size, poor growth extension.) | **FSB:** First significant branch (& compass bearing) | **ERC:** Expected remaining contribution in years- <10, 10+, 20+, 40+ (assuming that there will be no physical changes to its immediate environment) | **BS Category:** Refer to appendix 1 of this report or BS5837:2012 Table 1 for detailed descriptions.

**SITE:** Land South & West of Imberhorne Upper School, Imberhorne Lane, Imberhorne  
**CLIENT:** Vistry Homes Ltd.  
**DATE:** 13/02/23 & 20/11/25

**SURVEYOR:** C Campbell & A Bigg

**TAGGED?** No

| No.  | Name                           | Ht<br>(crown) | Dia<br>(stems<br>) | Canopy spread<br>N   E   S   W |   |   |   | Life<br>stage | ERC | Comments & preliminary recommendations                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | BS<br>Cat |
|------|--------------------------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|---|---------------|-----|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| T104 | Tilia sp. (Lime)               | 15(5)         | 500(1)             | 3                              | 3 | 3 | 3 | EM            | 20+ | Fair quality with some landscape value. Provides some screen. Roadside tree: of value in the street-scene. Epicormics on stem. Scattered deadwood.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | B2        |
| T105 | Acer pseudoplatanus (Sycamore) | 11(5)         | 300,300 (2)        | 4                              | 4 | 2 | 2 | EM            | 20+ | Fair quality with some landscape value. Roadside tree: of value in the street-scene. Scattered deadwood.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | B2        |
| H106 | Crataegus monogyna (Hawthorn)  | 3(0)          | 100(1)             | 0                              | 0 | 0 | 3 | SM            | 10+ | Provides some screen. Roadside tree: of value in the street-scene.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | C2        |
| G107 | Mixed species                  | 18 (3)        | 675 (1) Max.       | 7                              | 4 | 4 | 4 | EM            | 40+ | Linear mixed species boundary group growing atop steep embankment down to public footpath route. Group suppression with over-extended northern canopy line over adjacent field. Species include predominately English Oak, Common Ash, and scattered Sycamore. Dominant constituents overtop an understorey of, Rowan, Hazel, Holly, and Prunus sp. (No stemline recorded on topo RPA taken from boundary line where most trunk positions are situated.) | B2        |
| G108 | Acer pseudoplatanus (Sycamore) | 15 (3)        | 400 (1) Max.       | 6                              | 4 | 4 | 4 | SM            | 20+ | Linear boundary group of Sycamore, continuation of linear boundary group comprising mixed species to east. Group suppression with over-extended northern canopy over adjacent field. (No stem line recorded on topo RPA taken from boundary line where most trunk positions are situated.)                                                                                                                                                               | B2        |

**Notes:** **Dia (stems):** trunk diameter in mm at 1.5m above ground level (number of stems) | **HT (crown):** Tree height (crown clearance) | **Life stage:** **Y:** Young (obviously planted within the last three years (unless as a heavy or extra-heavy standard)). **SM:** Semi mature (recently planted and yet to attain mature stature; up to 25% of attainable age.). **EM:** Early mature (almost full height, crown still developing and seed bearing; up to 50% of attainable age.). **M:** Mature (full height, crown spread, seed bearing; over 50% of attainable age.). **OM:** Over mature (full size, die-back, small leaf size, poor growth extension.) | **FSB:** First significant branch (& compass bearing) | **ERC:** Expected remaining contribution in years- <10, 10+, 20+, 40+ (assuming that there will be no physical changes to its immediate environment | **BS Category:** Refer to appendix 1 of this report or BS5837:2012 Table 1 for detailed descriptions.

**SITE:** Land South & West of Imberhorne Upper School, Imberhorne Lane, Imberhorne  
**CLIENT:** Vistry Homes Ltd.  
**DATE:** 13/02/23 & 20/11/25

**SURVEYOR:** C Campbell & A Bigg

**TAGGED?** No

| No.  | Name          | Ht<br>(crown) | Dia<br>(stems<br>) | Canopy spread<br>N   E   S   W |   |   |   | Life<br>stage | ERC | Comments & preliminary recommendations                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | BS<br>Cat |
|------|---------------|---------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|---|---------------|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| G109 | Mixed species | 16 (3)        | 500 (1)<br>Max.    | 6.5                            | 4 | 4 | 4 | EM            | 40+ | Linear mixed species boundary group. Group suppression with over-extended eastern canopy line over adjacent field. Species include; predominately English Oak, Common Ash and scattered Sycamore. Dominant constituents overtop an understorey of; Hazel, Holly and Prunus sp. (No stem line recorded on topo RPA taken from boundary line where most trunk positions are situated.) | B2        |
| H110 | Mixed species | 1.5 (0)       | 100 (1)            | 1                              | 1 | 1 | 1 | SM            | 10+ | Mixed species boundary hedgerow. Regularly maintained at low height. Constituents of poor quality but of some landscape value. Predominantly Hawthorn with scattered Sycamore/Ash.                                                                                                                                                                                                   | C2        |
| G111 | Mixed species | 6 (1)         | 150 (1)            | 3                              | 3 | 3 | 3 | SM            | 20+ | Mixed species boundary group. Similar makeup as maintained hedgerow to south but has been left to established into young tree stock. Constituents of moderate quality and landscape value. Hawthorn with scattered Sycamore/Ash/Oak.                                                                                                                                                 | C2        |

**Notes:** **Dia (stems):** trunk diameter in mm at 1.5m above ground level (number of stems) | **HT (crown):** Tree height (crown clearance) | **Life stage:** **Y:** Young (obviously planted within the last three years (unless as a heavy or extra-heavy standard)). **SM:** Semi mature (recently planted and yet to attain mature stature; up to 25% of attainable age.). **EM:** Early mature (almost full height, crown still developing and seed bearing; up to 50% of attainable age.). **M:** Mature (full height, crown spread, seed bearing; over 50% of attainable age.). **OM:** Over mature (full size, die-back, small leaf size, poor growth extension.) | **FSB:** First significant branch (& compass bearing) | **ERC:** Expected remaining contribution in years- <10, 10+, 20+, 40+ (assuming that there will be no physical changes to its immediate environment | **BS Category:** Refer to appendix 1 of this report or BS5837:2012 Table 1 for detailed descriptions.

**SITE:** Land South & West of Imberhorne Upper School, Imberhorne Lane, Imberhorne  
**CLIENT:** Vistry Homes Ltd.  
**DATE:** 13/02/23 & 20/11/25

**SURVEYOR:** C Campbell & A Bigg

**TAGGED?** No

## Appendix 2: Tree Survey Schedule (Additional trees 20/11/25)

| No.  | Name                                    | Ht<br>(crown) | Dia<br>(stems) | Canopy spread<br>N   E   S   W |     |     |     | Life<br>stage | ERC | Comments & preliminary recommendations                                                                                                                                  | BS<br>Cat |
|------|-----------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|--------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|---------------|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| T112 | Salix caprea (Goat Willow)              | 5(2)          | 300(1)         | 4                              | 2.5 | 2   | 2   | EM            | 10+ | Low quality and value. Poor shape and form.                                                                                                                             | C2        |
| T113 | Tilia sp. (Lime)                        | 14(0)         | 400(1)         | 3.5                            | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | EM            | 20+ | Fair quality with some landscape value. Woodland edge tree that overhangs the site. Unable to inspect stem due to undergrowth.                                          | B2        |
| T114 | Tilia sp. (Lime)                        | 18(0)         | 550(1)         | 3                              | 4   | 3   | 3   | EM            | 20+ | Fair quality with some landscape value. Woodland edge tree that overhangs the site. Suckers around stem base. Unable to inspect stem due to undergrowth. Bifurcated @8m | B2        |
| T115 | Fagus sylvatica (Beech)                 | 14(2)         | 705(1)         | 7                              | 6   | 4   | 8.5 | M             | 20+ | Fair quality with some landscape value. Woodland edge tree that overhangs the site.                                                                                     | B2        |
| T116 | Fagus sylvatica (Beech)                 | 15(0)         | 495(1)         | 3                              | 4   | 3   | 3   | EM            | 10+ | Fair quality with some landscape value. Woodland edge tree that overhangs the site. Suckers around stem base.                                                           | C2        |
| T117 | Fagus sylvatica (Beech)                 | 14(2)         | 620(1)         | 5                              | 6   | 4   | 7   | M             | 20+ | Fair quality with some landscape value. Woodland edge tree that overhangs the site.                                                                                     | B2        |
| T118 | Aesculus hippocastanum (Horse Chestnut) | 12(2)         | 515(1)         | 5                              | 4   | 4   | 4   | EM            | 10+ | Downgraded due to limited life expectancy. Decay present on stem. Cavity on stem. Major bark wounding on stem. Squirrel bark stripping                                  | C2        |
| T119 | Tilia sp. (Lime)                        | 20(0)         | 400(1)         | 3.5                            | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | EM            | 20+ | Fair quality with some landscape value. Woodland edge tree that overhangs the site. Unable to inspect stem due to undergrowth.                                          | B2        |
| T120 | Tilia sp. (Lime)                        | 20(0)         | 400(1)         | 3.5                            | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | EM            | 20+ | Fair quality with some landscape value. Woodland edge tree that overhangs the site. Unable to inspect stem due to undergrowth.                                          | B2        |
| T121 | Fagus sylvatica (Beech)                 | 14(2)         | 685(1)         | 7                              | 6   | 4   | 8.5 | M             | 20+ | Fair quality with some landscape value. Woodland edge tree that overhangs the site.                                                                                     | B2        |

**Notes:** **Dia (stems):** trunk diameter in mm at 1.5m above ground level (number of stems) | **HT (crown):** Tree height (crown clearance) | **Life stage:** **Y:** Young (obviously planted within the last three years (unless as a heavy or extra-heavy standard)). **SM:** Semi mature (recently planted and yet to attain mature stature; up to 25% of attainable age.). **EM:** Early mature (almost full height, crown still developing and seed bearing; up to 50% of attainable age.). **M:** Mature (full height, crown spread, seed bearing; over 50% of attainable age.). **OM:** Over mature (full size, die-back, small leaf size, poor growth extension.) | **FSB:** First significant branch (& compass bearing) | **ERC:** Expected remaining contribution in years- <10, 10+, 20+, 40+ (assuming that there will be no physical changes to its immediate environment) | **BS Category:** Refer to appendix 1 of this report or BS5837:2012 Table 1 for detailed descriptions.

**SITE:** Land South & West of Imberhorne Upper School, Imberhorne Lane, Imberhorne  
**CLIENT:** Vistry Homes Ltd.  
**DATE:** 13/02/23 & 20/11/25

**SURVEYOR:** C Campbell & A Bigg

**TAGGED?** No

| No.  | Name                                 | Ht<br>(crown) | Dia<br>(stems) | Canopy spread<br>N   E   S   W |   |   |   | Life<br>stage | ERC | Comments & preliminary recommendations                     | BS<br>Cat |
|------|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|--------------------------------|---|---|---|---------------|-----|------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| G122 | Ilex aquifolium<br>(Holly)           | 9(0)          | 150(1)         | 0                              | 0 | 0 | 0 | SM            | 40+ | Understorey holly forming single continuous canopy.        | C2        |
| T123 | Acer<br>pseudoplatanus<br>(Sycamore) | 12(3)         | 300(3)         | 5                              | 5 | 5 | 4 | SM            | 20+ | Fair tree of limited quality and of some landscape value.  | C2        |
| T124 | Quercus robur<br>(Common Oak)        | 12(3)         | 250(2)         | 5                              | 5 | 5 | 4 | SM            | 20+ | Fair tree of moderate quality and of some landscape value. | C2        |
| T125 | Acer<br>pseudoplatanus<br>(Sycamore) | 12(3)         | 300(3)         | 5                              | 5 | 5 | 4 | SM            | 20+ | Fair tree of limited quality and of some landscape value.  | C2        |

**Notes:** **Dia (stems):** trunk diameter in mm at 1.5m above ground level (number of stems) | **HT (crown):** Tree height (crown clearance) | **Life stage:** **Y:** Young (obviously planted within the last three years (unless as a heavy or extra-heavy standard)). **SM:** Semi mature (recently planted and yet to attain mature stature; up to 25% of attainable age.). **EM:** Early mature (almost full height, crown still developing and seed bearing; up to 50% of attainable age.). **M:** Mature (full height, crown spread, seed bearing; over 50% of attainable age.). **OM:** Over mature (full size, die-back, small leaf size, poor growth extension.) | **FSB:** First significant branch (& compass bearing) | **ERC:** Expected remaining contribution in years- <10, 10+, 20+, 40+ (assuming that there will be no physical changes to its immediate environment | **BS Category:** Refer to appendix 1 of this report or BS5837:2012 Table 1 for detailed descriptions.

**Appendix 3: Tree Protection Plan**  
(VHY24065-03B)

**Arboriculture  
Archaeology  
Ecology  
Landscape Architecture**

[www.acdenvironmental.co.uk](http://www.acdenvironmental.co.uk)

