

From: Strike, Jim <Jim.Strike@aecom.com>
Sent: 22 April 2026 12:33:52 UTC+01:00
To: "Joseph Swift" <joseph.swift@midsussex.gov.uk>
Cc: "Nicholas Milner" <nicholas.milner@homesengland.gov.uk>; "Rob Morley" <rob.morley@homesengland.gov.uk>; "Chloe Keswick" <Chloe.Keswick@homesengland.gov.uk>; "Louise Yandell" <louise.yandell@midsussex.gov.uk>; "Bellás, Tom" <Thomas.Bellás@aecom.com>; "Pullan, Andy" <Andrew.Pullan@aecom.com>; "Maynard, Nick" <nick.maynard@aecom.com>; "Mitchell, Nathan" <Nathan.Mitchell@aecom.com>
Subject: Response to application DM/25/0446

Joe

Please see below responses to LLFA's points on the Highway Central Section reserved matters application (DM/25/0446).

Points in letter:

1. Evidence of agreement to restrict network 9.7 to 2.6 l/s? The figure quoted was submitted in 2021 as part of the Regional Surfacewater Masterplan, to discharge Condition 21 of the outline permission (DM/18/5114). The detailed highway SW drainage design complements this. We attach evidence that Condition 21 was discharged, based on the Masterplan, including network 9.7.
2. Maintenance easement. We'd be grateful if the LLFA could provide clarification on where they believe the 3m offset is required (by way of a marked-up drawing). We think it is not appropriate and would unnecessarily constrain the scheme to be brought forward on the development parcel.

Other comments in LLFA response:

- 700140 outfall location: During construction that will be down to the contractor's temporary works, likely constructing a temporary pond to collect and hold back flow. The construction sequencing is such that the WBLR will be constructed prior to the central section, so contractor would likely discharge there.

Other points not in letter:

- We don't have the calculations, in particular for the basin sections (this area is most important as this is the regional surface water storage). Will you be submitting them as part of discharge of conditions (given the detailed drainage design is supposed to be submitted at reserved matters stage)? I assumed they would submit hydraulic calculations now, given the drawing notes imply they are complete. Please see attached exported modelling and results data from the microdrainage models for the relevant SW networks, namely 4.3, 4.8, 5.6 and 9.7. These are in .pdf export format. In particular on the basin sections on the pond GA there is a volume table, see drawing 60610807-ACM-04-XX-DR-DR-701120 and 60610807-ACM-04-XX-DR-DR-701121 for the sections.
- Also do you know why a new FRA has been submitted? We wouldn't normally expect a new one for a reserved matters, as it should be following the outline one (although the mapping has changed since, which needs to somehow be considered for each RM). A new FRA was prepared for the Highway Central Section RMA because it relates to a specific surface water scheme design for the highway, and to confirm that the flood risk of this scheme is not worse than the more generic FRA produced to support the outline planning permission (originally DM/18/5114, now DM/23/0747).

I hope that all makes sense, please let us know if not.

Kind regards, Jim

From: Joseph Swift <Joseph.Swift@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 15 April 2026 10:42
To: Strike, Jim <Jim.Strike@aeacom.com>
Cc: Nicholas Milner <nicholas.milner@homesengland.gov.uk>; Louise Yandell <louise.yandell@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Response to application DM/25/0446

Good morning,

Following my chase up emails to the LLFA I have received the attached response.

As you can see from these comments, some additional information is required.

In addition, the LLFA have separately sent me the following queries separately:

- We don't have the calculations, in particular for the basin sections (this area is most important as this is the regional surface water storage). Will you be submitting them as part of discharge of conditions (given the detailed drainage design is supposed to be submitted at reserved matters stage)? I assumed they would submit hydraulic calculations now, given the drawing notes imply they are complete.
- Also do you know why a new FRA has been submitted? We wouldn't normally expect a new one for a reserved matters, as it should be following the outline one (although the mapping has changed since, which needs to somehow be considered for each RM).

This information can be emailed to me directly.

Kind regards

Joseph Swift BA (Hons) MPLAN
Senior Planning Officer (Brookleigh)
01444 477319
Mid Sussex District Council
joseph.swift@midsussex.gov.uk
www.midsussex.gov.uk



Working together for a better Mid Sussex

The information contained in this email may be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. Unless the information contained in this email is legally exempt from disclosure, we cannot guarantee that we will not provide the whole or part of this email to a third party making a request for information about the subject

matter of this email. This email and any attachments may contain confidential information and is intended only to be seen and used by the named addressees. If you are not the named addressee, any use, disclosure, copying, alteration or forwarding of this email and its attachments is unauthorised. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender immediately by email or by calling +44 (0) 1444 458 166 and remove this email and its attachments from your system. The views expressed within this email and any attachments are not necessarily the views or policies of Mid Sussex District Council. We have taken precautions to minimise the risk of transmitting software viruses, but we advise you to carry out your own virus checks before accessing this email and any attachments. Except where required by law, we shall not be responsible for any damage, loss or liability of any kind suffered in connection with this email and any attachments, or which may result from reliance on the contents of this email and any attachments.