

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 06 May 2026 16:17:53 UTC+01:00
To: "Steven King" <steven.king@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/25/3129

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 06/05/2026 4:17 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Land At Borde Hill Lane Haywards Heath West Sussex
Proposal:	Outline planning application for the erection of up to 117 dwellings, together with the provision of landscaping, open space, and associated development works, with access from Balcombe Road. All matters reserved except for access. (Amended plans and supporting statements received 15th April reducing the number of proposed dwellings to up 117 and reducing the size of the proposed roundabout).
Case Officer:	Steven King

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	3 Aster Way Haywards Heath
----------	----------------------------

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	I object to this proposal for the following reasons: (1) Highways and Transport Capacity The existing road network is unable to accommodate additional

traffic generated by the proposed development. Local roads are narrow, constrained, and already operating at or near capacity. There is no regular or reliable public transport provision serving the area that could mitigate increased vehicle use. The prevalence of potholes and deteriorating road surfaces further demonstrates that the current infrastructure is already under significant strain.

The proposed roundabout, although marginally reduced in size from earlier iterations, remains disproportionately large and visually incongruous within the rural road network. Recent works to the existing roundabout have caused substantial disruption to traffic flow; any further construction activity associated with this proposal would likely result in even more severe and prolonged congestion.

(2) Water Supply and Infrastructure Challenges

There is no evidence of any planned increase in local water supply capacity to support the proposed development. The area experienced drought conditions last summer and there have been frequent incidents of leaks or low pressure causing short term supply issues over the last year. Additional demand from this development would exacerbate an already vulnerable situation.

(3) Pressure on Local Services

The proposed development does not align with the current District Plan. It represents unplanned growth that places additional pressure on local services without corresponding strategic justification or provision. In particular the closest schools to the proposed development are already operating at capacity.

Kind regards