

From: Emily Wade <Emily.Wade@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 28 April 2026 13:51:24 UTC+01:00
To: "Katherine Williams" <Katherine.Williams@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: Lloyds Pharmacy, High Street, Cuckfield DM/26/0100 and 0101

Hi Katherine

Please see below, further comments in red.

Thanks

Emily

Please note that this advice is given at Officer level only and is without prejudice to the formal decision of the District Council.

Submit your planning application online.
<http://www.planningportal.gov.uk>

Emily Wade Ma MSc
Conservation Officer
Planning Services
Tel: +44 (0)1444 477385
emily.wade@midsussex.gov.uk <http://www.midsussex.gov.uk>



From: Emily Wade <Emily.Wade@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 26 February 2026 15:40
To: Katherine Williams <Katherine.Williams@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Lloyds Pharmacy, High Street, Cuckfield DM/26/0100 and 0101

Hi Katherine

Comments on the above discharge of conditions applications.

DM/25/0101

Ref. DM/25/2857

Condition 3

Materials and finishes to rear elevation

Can the applicant please provide details of the mix and colouration of the render? A photograph of the existing adjacent elevation for comparison would be useful.

The applicant has confirmed that the finish to the section of wall in question will be painted to match the existing listed building consent, which is acceptable, but we do need to be provided with the mix for the render to ensure that this is compatible with underlying historic masonry. We would expect this mix to be lime based.

Condition 4

New windows, internal and external doors

The new timber sash window incorporating slim-line double glazing is acceptable in principle, but the details as submitted show planted rather than functional glazing bars, which will not be acceptable in the context of a listed building. This requires amendment. The drawing also shows a stone or concrete cill to match. Can the applicant please confirm which is proposed, and if concrete, provide a justification?

The window section has been amended to show functional glazing bars, which meets this concern. The applicant has confirmed that the cill will be concrete to match the existing, which is also acceptable.

With respect to the internal doors, can the applicant please confirm these are to be timber? I would also expect a four-panel door in a house of this period, not the two panel door shown. Can the applicant please provide a justification for this, ideally including photographs of any surviving original doors, which should be matched?

The door detail has been amended to show a four-panel design, to match surviving original doors on the first and second floors- this is acceptable.

This condition can now be discharged.

Condition 5

Hard and soft landscaping

The details of the shingle area as shown are acceptable. Can the applicant please confirm that there will be no works to the boundary wall where the extension is to be removed? Does the wall not require any repairs, or refinishing as a result?

The applicant states that there will be no works to the boundary wall, so we must assume that it survives intact behind the existing extension to be removed, that it does not require any repairs, and that any existing finishes to the wall will not be altered or removed as part of the works. If this is correct, this condition can now be discharged.

DM/26/0100 Conditions 2 and 3 – please see above.

Thanks,

Emily

Please note that this advice is given at Officer level only and is without prejudice to the formal decision of the District Council.

Submit your planning application online.
<http://www.planningportal.gov.uk>

Emily Wade Ma MSc
Conservation Officer
Planning Services
Tel: +44 (0)1444 477385
emily.wade@midsussex.gov.uk <http://www.midsussex.gov.uk>

The
Electoral
Commission



No ID? You can apply for free voter ID
Find out more at

electoralcommission.org.uk/voterID

or call 0800 328 0280