

From: Emma Rivett <Emma.Rivett@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 20 October 2025 14:03:58 UTC+01:00
To: "Peter Davies" <Peter.Davies@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: DM/25/1563 - 37 Knight Close, Haywards Heath

Hi Peter,

Following on from my previous comments, there seems to be some irregularities in the information provided.

The AIA report shows the RPA incursions as below:

9.5 The incursions are:

T4 Goat willow - RPA = 185.29m², Inc = 20.72 – 11%

T2 Eucalyptus - RPA = 382.9m², Inc = 64.64m² = 16.8%

Whereas the Tree Schedule shows the following:

Tree No	Species	Height (m)	No of Stems	S. Dia (mm)	Crown clearance (m)	N	E	S	W	Cond	Age	Comments / Recommendations	RPA radius (m)	RPA m ²	BS Cat	Sub Cat
T1	Common Ash (<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>)	6	1	160	4	4	0	3	4	F	EM	Offsite tree, suppressed. Stem leans slightly west, crown bias west	1.92	11.6	C	C1
T2	Eucalyptus (<i>Eucalyptus globulus</i>)	20	1	640	4	5	5	5	5	G	M	Offsite tree, no visible defects	7.68	185.3	B	B1
T3	English Oak (<i>Quercus robur</i>)	8	1	280	3	4	4	4	6	G	EM	Suppressed. Ivy present. No visible defects	3.36	35.47	B	B1
T4	Goat Willow (<i>Salix caprea</i>)	1	2	920 bf	3	8	8	8	8	P	M	Offsite tree. 2 stems at form at 1m above ground level, then 6 notable stems form, large spreading crown. Visible split and inclusion both on north and south of fork and ground level, Deadwood present	11.4	408.3	C	C1
T5	Wild Cherry (<i>prunus avium</i>)	6	1	340 bf	3	4	6	4	4	F	EM	4 stems form at 1.5 meters. Ivy and deadwood present	4.08	52.3	B	B1

Please could you request some clarity as to which is correct?

Kind regards

Emma

Emma Rivett
Assistant Tree Officer-Development Management
www.midsussex.gov.uk

Working together for a better Mid Sussex