

SITE A, WOODSIDE GRANGE




WOODSLANDS ROAD, HASSOCKS, BN6 8EX

Flood Risk and Drainage Assessments

December 2023

Revised January 2024, February 2024, February 2025



eas ltd

Environmental Assessment Services Ltd

REPORT DATA SHEET

Requirement	Data
Report Reference	654/Lock/SiteAWoodsideGrangeHassocks/FR&DA
Date	November 2023
Client	Tony Lock
Report type	Flood Risk and Drainage Assessment
Purpose	Submission to Planning
Revisions	January 2024, February 2024 , February 2025
Prepared by	Xanthe Lyford BSc (Hons) Signed
Approved by	Malcolm McKemey Eur Ing Malcolm McKemey BSc (Hons), CEng, CEnv, MICE, MCIWEM, MIEEnvSc  Signed

MR TONY LOCK

SITE A, WOODSIDE GRANGE, WOODSLAN ROAD, HASSOCKS BN6 8EX

Flood Risk and Drainage Assessment

November 2023
(Revised January 2024, February 2024, February 2025)

CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION
2. EXISTING SITE & PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT
3. FLOOD RISK & DEFENCES
4. ALTERNATIVE SOURCES OF FLOODING
5. HISTORY OF FLOODING
6. PLANNING STATUS WITH RESPECT TO FLOODING
7. RISK TO PROPERTY
8. RISK TO OCCUPIERS OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT
9. LIKELY IMPACT ON FLOOD RISK ELSEWHERE
10. DRAINAGE
11. SUMMARY
12. RECOMMENDATIONS

APPENDIX A:	FIGURES
	Figure 1: Site location
	Figure 2: Existing Site Layout
	Figure 3: Proposed Redevelopment
	Figure 4: Proposed Elevation
	Figure 5: Proposed Roof Plan
	Figure 6: Proposed Floor plan
	Figure 7: Site Photographs
	Figure 8: Map of Drainage Ditch Connection to Watercourse

APPENDIX B:	ENVIRONMENT AGENCY FLOOD MAPPING
-------------	----------------------------------

APPENDIX C:	PROPOSED ATTENUATION DRAINAGE DESIGN
APPENDIX D:	OUTPUT FROM DRAINAGE DESIGN SOFTWARE
APPENDIX E:	TAYLOR WIMPEY SEWER AND DRAINAGE PLANS
APPENDIX F:	GREENFIELD RUNOFF RATES FOR SITE
APPENDIX G:	GOULD BAXTER REPORT

MR TONY LOCK

SITE A, WOODSIDE GRANGE, WOODSLAND ROAD, HASSOCKS BN6 8EX

Flood Risk and Drainage Assessment

November 2023

(Revised January 2024, February 2024, February 2025)

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Environmental Assessment Services Ltd was instructed to prepare a Flood Risk and Drainage Assessment (FR&DA) in relation to a planning application for the proposed development at Woodside Grange, Hassocks.
- 1.2 According to the Environment Agency (EA) Flood Map for Planning, the site lies within Flood Zone 1 (low probability of flooding from rivers and sea).
- 1.3 The site-specific FR&DA is prepared generally in accordance with the Revised National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and its accompanying Update Planning Practice Guidance (PPG), published in July 2018.
- 1.4 This report has been prepared for the purposes of addressing the planning authority and Environment Agency's (EA) concerns over the flood risks relating to the proposed development. It should be appreciated that the potential for flooding at a site depends on many factors, some natural, some man-made and some arising from accident, and that these factors may change substantially over the life of the proposed development. The flood risk in this report is assessed on the basis of available information, which is generally catalogued in the report but does not take into account any special risks or requirements of the development unless we have been specifically informed of them.
- 1.5 Any construction levels suggested in this report should therefore be considered as minimum levels and the client and any person appointed to undertake the design of the development should satisfy themselves that any such level is in all respects suitable for their purposes.

2. EXISTING SITE & PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

- 2.1 The flood risk assessment is prepared for the proposed development of Woodside Grange, Hassocks to provide a five bedroom, single-story, wheelchair accessible dwelling with parking provision and a pool on site A, (the existing tennis court on site A is to remain). Also, two new build two-story houses on site B, (the existing pond is to remain on site B). The existing property and garage are to be retained as a separate neighbouring residence.

- 2.2 The Ordnance Survey reference for the site is TQ 30891 16422 and the site elevation is approximately + 44 m AOD. The total site area is 1.5 acres/0.61 hectares. See Figure 1 in Appendix A.
- 2.3 The site (as existing) is occupied by a house with a parking area, garage and swimming pool, which is to remain as a neighbouring residence. The rest of the property is currently laid to lawn, with extensive mature trees and shrubs. There is an area of hardstanding along the eastern end of site A. The proposed site is bordered to the north and east sides by the Taylor Wimpey housing estate (currently under construction), to the south by site B (two proposed houses) and to the west by a ditch which flows northwards before joining another watercourse that flows under the railway line (the site currently drains surface water into this), the London to Brighton railway line. See Figure 2 for the existing site layout, Figure 3 for the proposed development and Figure 8 for maps of the drainage ditch connection to the watercourse.
- 2.4 According to the British Geological Survey, the site lies on superficial deposits of Lower Greensand over Weald Clay. DEFRA classify the soil at the site as “slowly permeable, seasonally wet but rich based loamy and clay soil.”
- 2.5 The south of the existing site lies on a Principal Aquifer and is in an area of high groundwater vulnerability. This area however is largely situated under the existing property and not the proposed development sites.
- 2.6 The site borders directly onto a small stream/ditch that flows northwards alongside the railway line to the west of the site. Figure 8 and Figure 3 in Appendix A for maps show the connection of the ditch to the watercourse. There is also a drainage ditch towards the northeastern edge of the site. This lies largely under the hedge/vegetation and flows north towards the proposed Taylor Wimpey SuDS Pond. See Figure 8 in Appendix A for map.
- 2.7 The site is to be developed (excluding the existing house and garage and the area at Site B, adjacent to the original house) for use as a five-bedroom dwelling with disabled access and a swimming pool. The tennis courts are to be retained. The proposed development has been revised to ensure that no part of the building or hardstanding are within 5m of an existing watercourse/drainage ditch.
- 2.8 See Figure 3 in Appendix A for the proposed redevelopment plan.

3. FLOOD RISK & DEFENCES

3.1 Outline Flood Risk

- 3.1.1 The EA Flood Map for Planning showed the site as lying within Flood Zone 1, and with a 0.1% (or less) chance of flooding each year from fluvial sources, where defences are not considered. The site is considered at very low risk of flooding from reservoirs or surface water. See Appendix B.

3.1.2 The Level 1 Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (prepared by Gould Baxter – October 2023) provides a review of potential sources of flooding and proposed mitigation.

3.1.3 The EA data provides modelled flood data and maps specific to the vicinity of the site. The modelled data indicates that the site lies within an area of very low risk of flooding from rivers, but partially on an area of low risk from flooding. (Low risk means that this area has a chance of flooding of between 0.1% and 1% each year). Mitigation measures will need to be proposed during the planning process.

3.2 Defences

3.2.1 The SFRA 2015 for the district did not identify any ‘key flood defences’ protecting the site. There are no fluvial defence works affecting the site.

4. ALTERNATIVE SOURCES OF FLOODING

An assessment of alternative sources of flooding potentially affecting the site has also been made from a review of the Level 1 update 2017 and Level 2 West Sussex SFRA 2021 and EA online mapping.

The SFRA addresses alternative sources of flooding including fluvial flooding, surface water flooding, groundwater flooding, flooding from sewers and flooding from reservoirs.

4.1 Surface Water Flooding

4.1.1 The EA’s online surface water flood risk map shows that the site is in an area at very low risk of surface water flooding (1 in 100 $\geq$ 1 in 1000), with a low risk also present at lower levels of the site (1 in 100). See Appendix B.

4.1.2 For consideration of flood mitigation measures, it is necessary to establish a design flood level for a designated Annual Exceedance Probability (AEP). For establishing a design flood level for the site, the probable life of the proposed development needs to be considered to adopt the appropriate climate change allowance, the design AEP and the appropriate flooding scenarios. The factors selected to establish the design flood level are summarised in Table 4.1 below:

Table 4.1

Factor	Value
Probable life of proposed development (residence)	100 years
Annual Exceedance Probability for fluvial flooding	1.0%
Climate change allowance for fluvial flows (2115)	+20% peak river flow

- 4.1.3 The design flood level is usually derived from the Environment Agency Product 4 for the site. It appears unlikely that any flood modelling will have extended this far into Flood Zone 1.

4.2 Sewer Flooding

- 4.2.1 The existing site does not have access to the mains sewer and foul water is disposed of directly into a cesspit on the site.
- 4.2.2 There does not appear to be any record of sewer flooding affecting the site due to the lack of a nearby sewer system.

4.3 Groundwater Flooding

- 4.3.1 Groundwater flooding occurs when the water table rises above the ground surface, normally as a result of persistent rainfall over a prolonged period. Increased levels of development in an area can increase the risk of groundwater flooding.
- 4.3.2 The site is not thought to be at risk from groundwater flooding.

4.4 Reservoirs

- 4.4.1 The EA online flood maps for planning tool shows that the site is not at risk of flooding from reservoirs.

5. HISTORY OF FLOODING

- 5.1 The history of flooding on site is taken from the West Sussex SFRA 2021, flooding has occurred in the village in 2000, 2003, 2008, 2012 and 2014. The West Sussex County Council Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) Level 1 was published in 2015. The SFRA considered flood risk within the district and appropriate policy responses. There are no records of historic flooding on site. The closest record of flooding is from the Herring Stream in the centre of the village of Hassocks, at a lower elevation than the site.
- In November 2008 three shops in Keymer Road were flooded due to a blocked drain.
 - In February 2014 a garden was flooded due to a surge of water in the Herring Stream during heavy rainfall, The culvert on Downs View Road was not large enough to handle very heavy rainfall.
- 5.2 No evidence of historic flood events affecting the site, or its immediate surroundings has been found.

6. PLANNING STATUS WITH RESPECT TO FLOODING

- 6.1 The site is shown on the current Environment Agency (EA) flood risk mapping as being within Flood Zone 1 (<0.1% risk of flooding in any year). The site is shown to lie in an area of very low risk of surface water flooding.
- 6.2 Residential dwellings are classified as 'More Vulnerable' under Table 2 of the NPPF PPG. According to Table 3 of the PPG, 'More Vulnerable' development is appropriate within Flood Zone 1.
- 6.3 The site lies within a long developed semi-rural area, which includes residential premises. The Sequential Test would appear to be satisfied and there is no need to apply the Exception Test.

7. RISK TO PROPERTY

- 7.1 The site is in Flood Zone 1 and at very low risk for flooding from surface water. As long as the finished ground floor level is a suitable margin above the design flood level, no flood related risk to property is envisaged.
- 7.2 Recommended finished ground floor level will be 0.6 m above design flood level or 0.3 m above adjacent ground level, whichever is the higher. Ground level at the site varies between +41.50 m OD and +42.66 m OD.

8. RISK TO OCCUPIERS OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

- 8.1 As the site lies in Flood Zone 1, is not at risk from coastal, groundwater or reservoir flooding and is at very low risk of surface water flooding, there should be very minimal flood related risk to the proposed occupants of the redevelopment whilst they are on site.

9. LIKELY IMPACT ON FLOOD RISK ELSEWHERE

- 9.1 The site is presently mostly under lawn and tree cover, with a small amount of hard standing. The proposed redevelopment will leave the site partly under hard cover, where the access driveway enters the site and surrounding the house, pool and tennis court area, with the rest laid to lawn. The drainage solutions outlined in the planning will mitigate any increase in surface water flood risk caused by the increase in levels of hard standing at the site. As such it is unlikely that the proposed redevelopment will increase flood risk elsewhere.
- 9.2 Flood risk downstream of the site may be reduced by including sustainable drainage (SuDS) measures in the proposed redevelopment such as permeable paving and rainwater harvesting for irrigation.

10. DRAINAGE

10.1 Surface Water Drainage

- 10.1.1 The existing surface water appears to drain across the land into the ditch to the west that runs alongside the railway embankment at the western edge of the site. This flows northwards moving to below ground flow further along the embankment, as can be seen through the railway owned surface water drainage chambers. See Figure 7 for photographs of the railway owned surface water drainage chambers and the drainage ditch, Figure 3 for the plan of the site showing the direction of flow of the drainage ditch and watercourse and Figure 8 for a map showing the connection of the ditch to the watercourse in Appendix A.
- 10.1.2 The preferred surface water drainage option is draining to an attenuation pond on site with controlled flow into the ditch/watercourse, on the western side of the site. The storage volume would be provided by freeboard in the pond. See Appendix C for the proposed attenuation drainage design.
- 10.1.3 An alternative to drainage into the proposed attenuation pond would be to drain directly into the existing pond to the south, (situated on Site B and proposed as the surface drainage solution for that site). See Appendix A Figure 7 for photographs of the pond on Site B. This option has been discounted due to the elevation of the pond on site B being higher than the ground level at Site A.
- 10.1.4 The attenuation storage with controlled flow discharge will be limited to a maximum of Greenfield Qbar. See Table 10.1 below:

TABLE 10.1
GREENFIELD FLOWS

Factor/Return Period	Runoff Rate l/s
Qbar	3.85
1 Year	3.27
30 Year	8.85
100 Year	12.4

See Appendix F for full Greenfields runoff data.

- 10.1.5 The estimated storage volume required would be approximately 40 m³. An approximately 13 m diameter pond would be suitable for attenuation with the required storage provided by 0.3 m of freeboard.
- 10.1.6 Other SuDS measures that would reduce peak surface water flows include permeable paving for the external areas, rainwater harvesting for garden irrigation and a green roof for the building.

10.2 Foul Sewerage

- 10.2.1 Foul sewage from the existing house on the site is presently discharged to a cesspit. The proposed dwelling on site A is intended to connect to the public

foul sewer (currently under construction) at the edge of the Taylor Wimpey site. This requires an agreement with Taylor Wimpey (already agreed in principle) and Southern Water. See Appendix E for plans for the Taylor Wimpey sewer.

- 10.2.2 It is intended that the foul sewerage on the Taylor Wimpey site be adopted by Southern Water.

10.3 Drainage Design

- 10.3.1 A surface water and foul sewerage drainage design has been prepared for the site using the MasterDrain SW and MasterDrain Foul network models. See Appendix C for the drainage plan and Appendix D for the output from the drainage models.

11. SUMMARY

- 11.1 The site lies within Flood Zone 1 and is unlikely to be at risk of flooding from fluvial sources. The site is not at risk from flooding from reservoirs or coastal waters and is at very low risk of flooding from surface water. No other flood risks likely to affect the site have been identified.
- 11.2 A design flood level at the site, based on the 1 in 100-year return period event plus a 40% allowance for climate change, has been derived, based on the Environment Agency's flood model for the location, at +44 m OD. The ground floor level of the residential part of the development and the access to the access road should be 0.6 m above the design flood level or 0.3 m above ground level, whichever is the higher.
- 11.3 There should be no significant flood risk to buildings or occupants and safe access should be available to the northeast onto the access road under all eventualities.
- 11.4 The underlying geology suggests that the drainage of surface water to soakaway will not be practicable and that the surface water at the site may be most suited to being drained to an attenuation pond. An attenuation pond onsite, over spilling into the ditch (which connects to a watercourse to the north) on the western boundary of the site, is the preferred option and would be the simplest and most practicable solution.
- 11.5 The alternative to attention drainage would be drainage into the existing pond on site B, this has been discounted due to the higher elevation of the pond.
- 11.6 Additional sustainable drainage (SuDS) measures would be permeable paving for the external parking and access areas, rainwater harvesting and a green roof.
- 11.7 Foul sewerage from the redeveloped site should be drained to the public foul sewer at the proposed connection point via the proposed Southern Water

Chamber situated at the western most edge of the Taylor Wimpey construction site, adjacent to the eastern boundary of the site.

12. RECOMMENDATIONS

- 12.1 It is recommended that the external paved areas should have permeable surfacing laid over a drainage blanket of no-fines stone wrapped in permeable geotextile.
- 12.2 A capacity check should be commissioned from Southern Water to confirm that there is adequate capacity in the existing foul sewer for the increased flow from the redeveloped site.

☆☆☆☆☆☆

APPENDIX A

Figure 1:	Site location
Figure 2:	Existing Site Layout
Figure 3:	Proposed Redevelopment
Figure 4:	Proposed Elevation
Figure 5:	Proposed Roof Plan
Figure 6:	Proposed Floor Plan
Figure 7:	Site Photographs
Figure 8:	Map of Tributary Connection to Watercourse

Figure 1: Site Location



Figure 2: Existing Site Layout





Figure 3: Proposed redevelopment



(Note the distance from the proposed parking area and road and the drainage ditch on the Taylor Wimpey site to the east of the site is greater than 5m)

Figure 4: Proposed Elevation






Figure 5: Proposed Roof Plan




Figure 6: Proposed Floor Plan




Figure 7: Site Photographs



Existing house



Proposed Site A (west)



Proposed Site A (south, facing tennis court and site B)



Area of hardstanding (south eastern edge of site)



Site of proposed Taylor Wimpey SuDS drainage pond



Taylor Wimpey construction site showing path/location of foul drainage



Drainage construction on Taylor Wimpey site (ditch on the eastern site boundary - greater than 5m from the construction on Site A)



Existing pond located on Site B



Ditch and boundary fence to the west of the site.



Ditch/tributary showing culvert under the footpath southeastern edge of site



Drainage ditch to the east of the site (proposed overflow ditch from the attenuation pond)



The drainage ditch to the east of the site flowing underground northwards



Top of chamber showing the northwards flow of the drainage ditch



Internal view of the 1st chamber



Internal view of the 2nd chamber



Internal view of the 3rd chamber




Internal view of the 4th chamber, with drainage ditch from the Taylor Wimpey site (from the east) joining the watercourse (already draining from the south, past the Site A). The watercourse flows northwest under in embankment via this chamber.




Taylor Wimpey ditch flowing from the east.

Figure 8: Map of Drainage Ditch Connection to Watercourse




APPENDIX B

Environment Agency Flood Mapping




Site in Flood Zone 1



APPENDIX C: Proposed Drainage Design

Appendix C: Site A Drainage Design



CLIENT	Ma Huijueen Iang & Mr Tony Lok	DRG No	1744_09	DRAWING	SITE PLAN_PROPOSED
PROJECT	Windsor Grange Plot A, Hatched BWS BECK	DATE	04.10.2023	REVISION	
JOB No	1744	STATUS	PLANNING	SCALE	1:50 @ A2

Appendix D: Output From Drainage Design Software



MasterDrain
SW

Environmental Assessment Services Ltd

<http://www.easltd.co.uk>

London Rd, Hickstead
Haywards Heath,
West Sussex, RH17 5LZ
Tel: 01444 882552
email: info@easltd.co.uk

Job No. 654		
Sheet no. 1		
Date 27/02/25		
By XL	Checked	Reviewed

Project	Woodside Grange Site A
Title	Surcharge calcs (Sized at 30 yrs storm) for WOOSIDE GRANGE SITE A.SW CCF = 40%

Free flowing outlet
Return period = 100 yrs
Climate change factor = 40

PEAK hydrograph values printed
Storm duration = 15 mins
No offline storage

Mean rain intens. 135.00 mm/hr
Storm profile = Summer
Using FSR data

Peak rain intens. 529.20 mm/hr
Sample period = 7.5 secs.

Entry No.	SECT. No.	MANHOLE REF	PIPE CAPACITY l/s	RATE FLOW l/s	PIPE SIZE mm	CHAMBER DIAM/LxW mm	INVERT LEVEL m	WATER LEVEL m	GRND LEVEL m	SURCHARGE fract. Depth		EXCESS FLOW l/s	FLOODED VOL m³	DRAINED AREA (m²) :x FACTOR	STATUS	
1	I	1.01	SW4	32.6	27.3	150	450	42.16	42.28	42.66	0.84	0.12	0.00	0.000	424	OK
2	I	1.02	SW3	67.7	43.0	150	450	41.85	41.94	42.74	0.63	0.09	0.00	0.000	667	OK
3	I	1.03	SW2	90.8	57.2	225	450	40.90	41.04	41.62	0.63	0.14	0.00	0.000	888	OK
4	I	1.04	SW1	126.7	72.4	225	600	40.60	40.73	41.50	0.57	0.13	0.00	0.000	1124	OK
5	B	2.01	SW5	27.3	6.5	100	450	41.95	41.98	42.45	0.24	0.03	0.00	0.000	101	OK
6	I	1.05	SW6	53.7	78.9	300	600	40.30	41.27	41.90	1.47	0.97	25.23	0.000	1226	Surch.



MasterDrain
SW

Environmental Assessment Services Ltd

<http://www.easltd.co.uk>

London Rd, Hickstead
Haywards Heath,
West Sussex, RH17 5LZ
Tel: 01444 882552
email: info@easltd.co.uk

Job No. 654		
Sheet no. 2		
Date 27/02/25		
By XL	Checked	Reviewed

Project	Woodside Grange Site A
Title	Surcharge calcs (Sized at 30 yrs storm) for WOOSIDE GRANGE SITE A.SW CCF = 40%

Notes

Printout headings

- | | | |
|---|---|--|
| 1) Entry no - position in file | 2) Section no - pipe identifier | 3) Manhole ref - Manhole identifier |
| 4) Pipe cap - full bore capacity of that pipe | 5) Rate of flow - calculated flow rate (l/s) ± = flow restrictor. | 6) Pipe diam - outlet pipe diameter (mm) |
| 7) Chamber diam - chamber diam. at base of MH | 8) Invert level - invert level of manhole | 9) Water level - calculated peak water level. |
| 10) Grnd level - ground / cover level | 11) Surch. fract - calc.flow/pipe capacity | 12) Surch. depth - surcharge level above soffit |
| 13) Overflow - surcharged flow rate (l/s) | 14) Flooded vol - volume of water above cover | 15) Upstrm Vol - upstream pipe vol to previous manhole(s) |
| 16) Status - OK - outlet not surcharged | 17) Status - Surcharged - outlet surcharged | 18) Status - Warning - water level within 299mm of cover level |
| 19) Status - Flooded - cover over-topped | 20) § against diameter indicates throttle pipe used. | |

Title box

Hydrograph data

- | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|
| 1) Ret. period - that used to calculate profile | 2) Duration - length of storm (mins) | 3) Profile - either Winter (75%) or Summer (50%) |
|---|--------------------------------------|--|

Flood volumes

Check that the upstream storage of the manhole is adequate to take the flood volume - see Upstrm Vol above.



Environmental Assessment Services Ltd

<http://www.easltd.co.uk>

London Rd, Hickstead
Haywards Heath,
West Sussex, RH17 5LZ
Tel: 01444 882552
email: info@easltd.co.uk

Job No. 654		
Sheet no. 3		
Date 27/02/25		
By XL	Checked	Reviewed


MasterDrain
SW

Project Woodside Grange Site A
Title Surcharge calcs (Sized at 30 yrs storm) for WOOSIDE GRANGE SITE A.SW CCF = 40%

Time mins	Rain mm/hr	Time mins	Rain mm/hr	Time mins	Rain mm/hr
0:08	31.44	5:08	89.83	10:08	96.57
0:15	31.44	5:15	95.44	10:15	90.95
0:22	35.93	5:22	101.06	10:22	85.34
0:30	35.93	5:30	108.92	10:30	79.72
0:38	35.93	5:38	119.03	10:38	76.36
0:45	37.06	5:45	131.38	10:45	71.86
0:52	37.06	5:52	142.61	10:52	68.50
1:00	38.18	6:00	154.96	11:00	66.25
1:08	38.18	6:08	171.80	11:08	64.00
1:15	39.30	6:15	188.64	11:15	62.88
1:22	39.30	6:22	205.49	11:22	59.51
1:30	39.30	6:30	223.45	11:30	57.27
1:38	40.42	6:38	242.54	11:38	57.27
1:45	40.42	6:45	260.51	11:45	56.14
1:52	42.67	6:52	280.72	11:52	55.02
2:00	42.67	7:00	305.42	12:00	53.90
2:08	42.67	7:08	329.00	12:08	50.53
2:15	43.79	7:15	353.71	12:15	49.41
2:22	44.92	7:22	381.78	12:22	48.28
2:30	46.04	7:30	410.97	12:30	48.28
2:38	46.04	7:38	440.17	12:38	47.16
2:45	47.16	7:45	440.17	12:45	46.04
2:52	47.16	7:52	416.59	12:52	46.04
3:00	48.28	8:00	386.27	13:00	44.92
3:08	49.41	8:08	359.32	13:08	43.79
3:15	50.53	8:15	333.50	13:15	43.79
3:22	52.78	8:22	308.79	13:22	42.67
3:30	55.02	8:30	286.33	13:30	42.67
3:38	56.14	8:38	265.00	13:38	40.42
3:45	57.27	8:45	245.91	13:45	40.42
3:52	57.27	8:52	226.82	13:52	39.30
4:00	59.51	9:00	207.73	14:00	39.30
4:08	60.64	9:08	192.01	14:08	39.30
4:15	64.00	9:15	174.05	14:15	38.18
4:22	66.25	9:22	159.45	14:22	38.18
4:30	68.50	9:30	144.85	14:30	37.06
4:38	70.74	9:38	132.50	14:38	37.06
4:45	75.23	9:45	121.27	14:45	37.06
4:52	78.60	9:52	110.04	14:52	35.93
5:00	84.22	10:00	102.18	15:00	35.93

Hydrograph profile derived from data in the Flood Studies Report

Return period= 100 yrs Duration= 15 mins Profile - summer





MasterDrain
SW



Environmental Assessment
Services Ltd

<http://www.easltd.co.uk>

London Rd, Hickstead
Haywards Heath,
West Sussex, RH17 5LZ
Tel: 01444 882552
email: info@easltd.co.uk

Job No.	654	
Sheet no.	4	
Date	27/02/25	
By	XL	
Checked		
Reviewed		

Project	Woodside Grange Site A
Title	Surcharge calcs (Sized at 30 yrs storm) for WOOSIDE GRANGE SITE A.SW CCF = 40%







MasterDrain
SW

**Environmental Assessment
Services Ltd**
<http://www.easltd.co.uk>

London Rd, Hickstead
Haywards Heath,
West Sussex, RH17 5LZ
Tel: 01444 882552
email: info@easltd.co.uk

Job No. 654		
Sheet no. 5		
Date 27/02/25		
By XL	Checked	Reviewed

Project Woodside Grange Site A	By XL	Checked	Reviewed
Title Surcharge calcs (Sized at 30 yrs storm) for WOOSIDE GRANGE SITE A.SW CCF = 40%			





MasterDrain
SW


Environmental Assessment Services Ltd

<http://www.easltd.co.uk>

London Rd, Hickstead
Haywards Heath,
West Sussex, RH17 5LZ
Tel: 01444 882552
email: info@easltd.co.uk

Job No.	654		
Sheet no.	6		
Date	27/02/25		
By	XL	Checked	Reviewed

Project	Woodside Grange Site A
Title	Surcharge calcs (Sized at 30 yrs storm) for WOOSIDE GRANGE SITE A.SW CCF = 40%



Notes


- Lower section of the graph shows the water depth filling the channel. Channel is assumed to be a full pipe diameter in depth.
- Upper section of the graph shows the water depth filling the chamber. Chamber has a greater width/diameter than the channel, so increases in depth are proportionally less.
- The top of the graph clips the warning level and would be marked thus on the printout.
- In many cases the invert of the offline storage is required to enter at the channel soffit level, meaning that the pipe will still surcharge but flooding risk reduced.
- The diagram above is a general one and is not part of the current calculation.

Environmental Assessment Services Ltd http://www.easltd.co.uk London Rd, Hickstead Haywards Heath, West Sussex, RH17 5LZ Tel: 01444 882552 email: info@easltd.co.uk		Job No. 654		
		Sheet no. 1		
		Date 27/02/25 11:43		
Project Woodside Grange Site A		By XL	Checked	Reviewed
Title Manhole printout for WOOSIDE GRANGE SITE A.SW				


Manhole ref.	X ref	Y ref	Form	Cham diam. or length	Rect. width	Chamb. height	Shaft height	MH Volume	Exit Diam	Exit Crown	Exit Invert	Chamb const	Slab level	Cover Level	Dwnstr MH
SW4	14.96	10.02	circ	450	N/A	0.50		0.08	150	42.335	42.160	N/A	42.110	42.660	SW3
SW3	22.95	14.74	circ	450	N/A	0.89		0.14	150	42.025	41.850	N/A	41.800	42.740	SW2
SW2	26.5	19.97	circ	450	N/A	0.72		0.11	225	41.150	40.900	N/A	40.850	41.620	SW1
SW1	24.18	29.63	circ	600	N/A	0.90		0.25	225	40.850	40.600	N/A	40.550	41.500	SW6
SW5	15.61	21.06	circ	450	N/A	0.50		0.08	100	42.075	41.950	N/A	41.900	42.450	SW6
SW6	19.09	29.16	circ	600	N/A	1.60		0.45	300	40.625	40.300	N/A	40.250	41.900	POND

All manholes to be as per 'Sewers for Adoption'


Environmental Assessment Services Ltd http://www.easltd.co.uk		London Rd, Hickstead Haywards Heath, West Sussex, RH17 5LZ Tel: 01444 882552 email: info@easltd.co.uk		Job No. 654	
				Sheet no. 2	
				Date 27/02/25 11:43	
Project Woodside Grange Site A				By XL	Checked
Title Manhole printout for WOOSIDE GRANGE SITE A.SW					




MH = SW4
a=head
b = 150




MH = SW3
a= 150
b = 150



MH = SW2
a= 150
b = 225



MH = SW1
a= 225
b = 225



MH = SW5
a=head
b = 100

MH = SW6
a= 225
b = 300
c = 100

Environmental Assessment Services Ltd http://www.easltd.co.uk		London Rd, Hickstead Haywards Heath, West Sussex, RH17 5LZ Tel: 01444 882552 email: info@easltd.co.uk		Job No. 654		
				Sheet no. 3		
				Date 27/02/25 11:43		
Project	Woodside Grange Site A			By XL	Checked	Reviewed
Title						

These explanatory notes should be read in conjunction with the Manhole printout

- 1) Manhole ref - the reference for the manhole in question
- 2) Form - either circular or rectangular
- 3) Chamber diam or length - diameter of chamber if circular, or length if rectangular - in metres
- 4) Rectangular width - if form is rectangular, this gives the width of the chamber
- 5) Chamber height - height of chamber from invert
- 6) Shaft height - height of access shaft if applicable, from top of chamber to ground level
- 7) Manhole volume - cubic capacity of manhole chamber
- 8) Exit diameter - diameter of pipe leaving this manhole
- 9) Exit crown - crown level of pipe leaving this manhole
- 10) Exit invert - invert level of pipe leaving this manhole
- 11) Chamb const - manhole construction :-
 - N/A - not specified
 - Conc - concrete rings
 - Poly - polypropylene rings surrounded by selected fill
 - Poly/conc - polypropylene rings surrounded by concrete
 - Brick - brick built with waterproof render
 - In situ - concrete cast in situ
- 12) Slab level - surface level of base slab (if no sump, this is placed at 50mm below exit invert to allow levels manipulation).
- 13) Manhole diameters of 9999 have exceeded the sizes available in SfA
- 14) Manhole diameters may have to be modified due to the number of branches



MasterDrain
Foul 11.5

**Environmental Assessment
Services Ltd**
<http://www.easltd.co.uk>

London Rd, Hickstead
Haywards Heath,
West Sussex, RH17 5LZ
Tel: 01444 882552
email: info@easltd.co.uk

Job No. 654		
Sheet no. 0		
Date 27/02/25		
By XL	Checked	Reviewed

Project Woodside Grange Site A
Title Manhole printout for WOODSIDE GRANGE SITE A.FL

Manhole ref.	Form	Cham diam. or length	Rect. width	Chamb. height	Shaft height	MH Volume	Ent. Diam	Ent. Crown	Ent. Invert	Exit Diam	Exit Crown	Exit Invert	Chamb const	Cover Level	Dwnstr MH
FW2	circ	450	N/A	0.50		0.08	Head	----	----	100	41.725	41.600	N/A	42.100	FW1
FW3	circ	450	N/A	0.50		0.08	Head	----	----	100	42.195	42.070	N/A	42.570	FW1
FW1	circ	600	N/A	0.55		0.16	100	0.000	0.000	150	42.195	42.020	N/A	42.570in	Sewage

All manholes to be as per 'Sewers for Adoption'

Levels calculated for level inverts



MasterDrain
Foul 11.5

Environmental Assessment Services Ltd

<http://www.easltd.co.uk>

London Rd, Hickstead
Haywards Heath,
West Sussex, RH17 5LZ
Tel: 01444 882552
email: info@easltd.co.uk



Job No. 654		
Sheet no. 0		
Date 27/02/25		
By XL	Checked	Reviewed

Project	Woodside Grange Site A
Title	Manhole printout for WOODSIDE GRANGE SITE A.FL

These explanatory notes should be read in conjunction with the Manhole printout

- 1) Manhole ref - the reference for the manhole in question
- 2) Form - either circular or rectangular
- 3) Chamber diam or length - diameter of chamber if circular, or length if rectangular - in metres
- 4) Rectangular width - if form is rectangular, this gives the width of the chamber
- 5) Chamber height - height of chamber from invert
- 6) Shaft height - height of access shaft if applicable, from top of chamber to ground level
- 7) Manhole volume - cubic capacity of manhole chamber
- 8) Exit diameter - diameter of pipe leaving this manhole
- 9) Exit crown - crown level of pipe leaving this manhole
- 10) Exit invert - invert level of pipe leaving this manhole
- 11) Manhole diameters of 9999 have exceeded the sizes available in Sfa
- 12) Manhole diameters may have to be modified due to the number of branches

APPENDIX E:
Taylor Wimpey Proposed Sewer and Drainage Plans



APPENDIX F: Greenfield Runoff Rates for Site



Greenfield runoff rate
estimation for sites

www.uksuds.com | Greenfield runoff tool

Calculated by: Malcolm McKemey

Site name: Woodside Grange Site A

Site location: Hassocks

Site Details

Latitude: 50.93271° N

Longitude: 0.13858° W

Reference: 2437003025

Date: Nov 29 2023 15:19

This is an estimation of the greenfield runoff rates that are used to meet normal best practice criteria in line with Environment Agency guidance "Rainfall runoff management for developments", SC030219 (2013), the SuDS Manual C753 (Ciria, 2015) and the non-statutory standards for SuDS (Defra, 2015). This information on greenfield runoff rates may be the basis for setting consents for the drainage of surface water runoff from sites.

Runoff estimation approach IH124

Site characteristics

Total site area (ha): 0.61

Methodology

Q_{BAR} estimation method: Calculate from SPR and SAAR

SPR estimation method: Calculate from SOIL type

Notes

(1) Is $Q_{BAR} < 2.0$ l/s/ha?

When Q_{BAR} is < 2.0 l/s/ha then limiting discharge rates are set at 2.0 l/s/ha.

Soil characteristics

	Default	Edited
SOIL type:	4	4
HOST class:	N/A	N/A
SPR/SPRHOST:	0.47	0.47

(2) Are flow rates < 5.0 l/s?

Where flow rates are less than 5.0 l/s consent for discharge is usually set at 5.0 l/s if blockage from vegetation and other materials is possible. Lower consent flow rates may be set where the blockage risk is addressed by using appropriate drainage elements.

Hydrological characteristics

	Default	Edited
SAAR (mm):	880	880
Hydrological region:	7	7
Growth curve factor 1 year:	0.85	0.85
Growth curve factor 30 years:	2.3	2.3
Growth curve factor 100 years:	3.19	3.19
Growth curve factor 200 years:	3.74	3.74


(3) Is $SPR/SPRHOST \leq 0.3$?

Where groundwater levels are low enough the use of soakaways to avoid discharge offsite would normally be preferred for disposal of surface water runoff.

Greenfield runoff rates

	Default	Edited
Q _{BAR} (l/s):	3.85	3.85
1 in 1 year (l/s):	3.27	3.27
1 in 30 years (l/s):	8.85	8.85
1 in 100 year (l/s):	12.28	12.28
1 in 200 years (l/s):	14.4	14.4

This report was produced using the greenfield runoff tool developed by HR Wallingford and available at www.uksuds.com. The use of this tool is subject to the UK SuDS terms and conditions and licence agreement, which can both be found at www.uksuds.com/terms-and-conditions.htm. The outputs from this tool are estimates of greenfield runoff rates. The use of these results is the responsibility of the users of this tool. No liability will be accepted by HR Wallingford, the Environment Agency, CEH, Hydrosolutions or any other organisation for the use of this data in the design or operational characteristics of any drainage scheme.



eas ltd

Environmental Assessment Services Ltd

Unit 4B, The Courtyard, Holmsted Farm, Staplefield Road, Cuckfield,
West Sussex, RH17 5JT

Phone : +44 (0) 1444 882552

email : info@easltd.co.uk

web site : www.easltd.co.uk