

Steven King

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 23 April 2025 15:47
To: Steven King
Subject: planning DM/25/0014 0015 0016 and 0017

[REDACTED]

Dear Mr King,

Planning applications DM/25/0014 0015 0016 and 0017

I already submitted an objection and reasons for it on 21st February however, due to further notification letters regarding the proposals for Huntsland farmland received, I feel strongly enough to add more comments. Please regard this email as an addendum to my previous letter objecting to the above 4 planning applications submitted by Wates.

Comments made concerning the positioning of the care home both by myself and a number of other people have now apparently resulted in several alternative plans being mooted altering the site of the care home. Laughably the different positions of the care home are all in the same section of the development so of no real benefit to future staff and residents!! As I said before, to give the residents more scope for walking to the village if they are able and for health professionals to reach the home quickly and easily, it would be much better sited in field 5. A well designed low level home with plenty of parking and garden/seating areas for residents and visitors would be the best use of the land in my opinion and of the most benefit to the service users.

I notice that the traffic calming measures proposed for Turners Hill Road have been withdrawn due to the Council regarding them as unnecessary. This I am afraid concerns me the most since the traffic and speeds on the road are already bad notwithstanding all the extra vehicle movements inevitable with any development, let alone one so large. Community Speedwatch figures alone prove there is excessive speed on this road and some curbing measures ARE needed. The original proposals mentioned visual narrowings, red painted areas on the road around each new entrance/exit, repeater roundels all of which should be reconsidered please.

Following on from the above there is much made of providing 'shared use' paths. There is only one footway/pavement on one side of the road. Plenty of people do walk along this one pavement on Turners Hill Road many walking with dogs and small children. If bicycles are going to be given shared use even if the path is widened this could be rather unsafe. If to widen the footpath the grass verge between path and road is to be removed, this would put cyclists and/or pedestrians right alongside the often speeding vehicles. This looks like an accident waiting to happen?

For a second time I urge the Council to REJECT this whole development.

Yours sincerely

[REDACTED]