

Sarah Valentine

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 09 November 2025 18:55
To: planninginfo
Cc: Alan Davey
Subject: Planning Application DM/26/2591

[REDACTED]

Dear Ms Biggs

Further to my most recent comment on the application (proposed agricultural access) I note that the original plan and details have changed.

I would also note that the representation made by 'neighbours' was probably prepared prior to the most recent changes to this planning application and prior to the latterly published 'response' to WSCC highways.

I also note that the consultation period was originally listed as ending on 7th November but the notice recently attached to the telegraph pole, most adjacent to the proposed development, says 18th November.

I am slightly confused.

I am unsure how frequently planning application may be changed during the consultation process but personally consider it unsettling. It surely cannot be right and proper that those involved in the consultation have to check regularly for the most recent plan and unfair when good neighbours are basing opinions and expressing views on original plans that have been subject to change,

I will now comment on the most recent edition of the application to provide **agricultural** access to the part of Amberley Farm in question.

I believe the process, and support for the application, would have been eased if Transport Dynamics 'note 2' had been listed in the original application as the rationale.

Details posted under 'Response', had they been posted in the original application, would have eased concerns raised by the original application

I would therefore make comment that;

- 1) The original plan makes no mention of removing the existing gate and replacing hedgerow.
- 2) It does make sense to create a different opening for agricultural vehicles away from the residential access road.
- 3) It is regrettable that there are errors in the application form stating 'no' to the question enquiring about existing trees or hedge in the development'.

- 4) The site plan 'Location plan' 13/10/2025 is grossly inaccurate
- 5) Comment 13 prepared by Transport Dynamics is inflammatory and offensive.

Notwithstanding all of the above, if the application is to be completed as most recently described, we have no objection to the creation of a new agricultural access and closure of the existing access from the residential access road.

Regards

A solid black rectangular box used to redact a signature or name.