

**Sarah Valentine**

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 04 December 2025 10:21  
**To:** planninginfo  
**Subject:** DM/25/1495

[REDACTED]

Dear Sir/Madam

As I have been unsuccessful in commenting on this application online. I kept getting a message to say that the site was not secure.

I wish to object to the installation of a lattice mast with 9No antennas to 23.8m for the following reasons.

:This mast will severely impact on the view towards the South Downs National Park.

:There are highway implications as the access will be on a bend on Rocky Lane, which already has a high volume of traffic.

:It contravenes Mid Sussex District Plan DP12 for the protection and enhancement of the countryside.

: It also contravenes DP23 'Communication Infrastructure' which says that any new Telecommunication mast should 'seek to minimise impact on visual amenity, character or appearance of the surrounding area'. They also state that they should not have an unacceptable effect on sensitive areas such as being close to woodland. New masts should surely be designed to fit in with the landscape and to avoid damage to the local landscape character (to turn a phrase 'it will stick out like a sore thumb') This mast will be DOUBLE the height of nearby mature trees. So I am at a loss to understand why such a proposal should even be made.

: Surely preference should be made (if at all) to existing sites.

: There is already a 5G mast at Rocky Land bridge 0.4 miles (640m) away from the proposed site

Yours Sincerely

[REDACTED]

Kilnwood Apartments  
Rocky Lane  
Haywards Heath  
RH16 4XL

Sent from my iPhone