

From: Steven King
Sent: 24 March 2026 09:03:51 UTC+00:00
To: "'Joseph Chan"' <JChan@eceplanning.com>
Cc: "Huw James" <hjames@eceplanning.com>; "Kate Brocklebank" <KBrocklebank@eceplanning.com>
Subject: RE: Wealden House Lewes Road Ashurst Wood West Sussex RH19 3TB - DM/26/0181

Hi Joseph

Thanks for sending this through. I have downloaded the document and asked our Contaminated Land Officer for his comments.

Regards

Steven King, BSc (Hons) Dip TP, MRTPI
Team Leader, Major Development
Mid Sussex District Council
01444 477556

Steven.King@midsussex.gov.uk
www.midsussex.gov.uk



Working together for a better Mid Sussex

From: Joseph Chan <JChan@eceplanning.com>
Sent: 23 March 2026 17:21
To: Steven King <steven.king@midsussex.gov.uk>
Cc: Huw James <hjames@eceplanning.com>; Kate Brocklebank <KBrocklebank@eceplanning.com>
Subject: RE: Wealden House Lewes Road Ashurst Wood West Sussex RH19 3TB - DM/26/0181

Hi Steve,

Hope you are well.

Please see the finalised contamination report for consideration within this application in the following Dropbox link:

<https://www.dropbox.com/scl/fo/339sfirjmcgnmj42poj0b/AMtmATD4VV6pmlzar2XMT0U?rlkey=rjigdho7cfavpvha8a2sdk6tt&st=q7o9xkw4&dl=0>

I trust that this is sufficient to discharge Part a) of the condition. Please be aware that we intend to submit details to discharge Part b) and c) in due course, after demolition has taken place.

If you could confirm receipt of this email it would be much appreciated.

Kind Regards,

Joseph Chan
Graduate Planner,

T 01903 248777

Planning Appeals are changing in April 2026. [Read our latest insights](#) to find out what's changing and how to prepare.



Shaping places.
Unlocking potential.

Sussex studio 64-68 Brighton Road, Worthing, BN11 2EN

From: Joseph Chan
Sent: 28 January 2026 14:38
To: Steven King <steven.king@midsussex.gov.uk>
Cc: Huw James <hjames@eceplanning.com>; Kate Brocklebank <KBrocklebank@eceplanning.com>
Subject: RE: Wealden House Lewes Road Ashurst Wood West Sussex RH19 3TB - DM/26/0181

Hi Steve,

Thanks for reaching out regarding this application.

Regarding the status of the report, we have submitted this in draft from prior to the full report being finalised. This was primarily to receive comments from the Council. Please be assured that we intend to submit the finalised version of this when available. Submission of the document in its current state was purely to expedite the process and get this on the system. We would appreciate the Council refraining from issuing a decision until we have submitted the finalised version of the report to satisfy Part a) of the condition.

We fully accept the view that the condition cannot be fully discharged until such a time as further details are submitted to satisfy Part b) of the condition.

We greatly appreciate your agreement in principle to allow demolition to take place prior to the full discharge of the condition, and will ensure that the relevant documentation is submitted in due course.

If you require any further clarification from us please don't hesitate to ask.

Kind Regards,

Joseph Chan
Graduate Planner,

T 01903 248777

We're looking for talented Planners and Senior Planners. Be part of a dynamic team working on exciting projects! [Apply here.](#)



Shaping places.
Unlocking potential.

Sussex studio 64-68 Brighton Road, Worthing, BN11 2EN

From: Steven King <Steven.King@midsussex.gov.uk>

Sent: 28 January 2026 13:08

To: Huw James <hjames@eceplanning.com>

Subject: Wealden House Lewes Road Ashurst Wood West Sussex RH19 3TB - DM/26/0181

Hi Huw

Discharge of planning condition No: 8 relating to planning application DM/25/2428.

I'm writing with regards to the above.

To begin, I'd be grateful for some clarification about the status of the submitted report from Southern Testing. This is marked as 'draft'. The report states *'This report is issued as an unsigned draft, and remains the property of Southern Testing Laboratories Ltd. The Client has no rights to or reliance on this document or supporting documents. A signed report will be issued following receipt of full payment of all invoices for work undertaken in connection with this report'*. I am not convinced that we can rely on a report that is marked as draft and I would request that this is updated.

I have received the following comments from our EHO on the submissions:

'I have reviewed the Phase 1 Desk Study and Phase 2 Preliminary Site Investigation and Risk Assessment Report prepared by Southern Testing Laboratories Ltd, dated 4 December 2025, reference J16139.

The report satisfies Part a of the contaminated land condition (condition 8), as it provides the required preliminary risk assessment.

However, the report makes clear that several areas of potential concern could not be accessed during the intrusive investigation. These include former fuel storage and generator locations,

ductwork, substation areas where PCB contamination may be present, and the ground beneath existing buildings. The report confirms that further investigation of these areas will only be possible once demolition and site clearance have taken place.

As far as I am aware, demolition and site clearance fall outside the scope of “commencement of development” for planning purposes, and the applicant should therefore be able to undertake these enabling works to allow access for the remaining investigations. If demolition is considered to form part of “commencement”, then a degree of practical flexibility may be required from the LPA, as the full site investigation cannot be completed without carrying out these preliminary works.

Given the above, the requirements of Part b of the condition have not yet been met. A complete site investigation, covering all areas identified in the preliminary risk assessment, must be submitted and approved before Part b can be discharged. I therefore recommend that only Part a of condition 8 is approved at this stage.’

Condition 8 states:

‘Prior to the commencement of development approved by this planning permission (or such other date or stage in development as may be agreed in writing with the Local Planning Authority), the following components of a scheme to deal with the risks associated with contamination of the site, including the identification and removal of asbestos containing materials, shall each be submitted to and approved, in writing, by the local planning authority:

- a) A preliminary risk assessment which has identified:*
 - o all previous uses*
 - o potential contaminants associated with those uses*
 - o a conceptual model of the site indicating sources, pathways and receptors*
 - o potentially unacceptable risks arising from contamination at the site*

and unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority,

- b) A site investigation scheme, based on (a) to provide information for a detailed assessment of the risk to all receptors that may be affected, including those off site;*

and, unless otherwise agreed in writing by the Local Planning Authority,

- c) Based on the site investigation results and the detailed risk assessment (b) an options appraisal and remediation strategy giving full details of the remediation measures required and how they are to be undertaken.*

Reason: To ensure that the risks from land contamination to the future users of the land are minimised, and to ensure that the development can be carried out safely without unacceptable risks to workers, neighbours and other offsite receptors and to comply with paragraph 183 of the NPPF.’

Therefore, there is some flexibility in the highlighted text. As you know, demolition is classified as development in the Town and Country Planning Act 1990. The summary in the report from Southern Testing states *‘The intrusive investigation was subject to various constraints, and some areas with potential contamination risk could not be accessed and have not been fully*

investigated. The constraints remain, and access to the areas in question can only be achieved once site clearance and demolition have commenced. These areas are referred to in section 7.2 of the report. As a result of the flexibility in the condition and the practical issues outlined by Southern Testing in accessing all the site, I am therefore content, in principle, for demolition to take place to allow the remaining investigations to take place, as outlined in section 12 of the report from Southern Testing.

Considering the above, I cannot fully discharge the condition at this point. I'd be grateful for the status of the report from Southern Testing to be amended.

Finally, I note that the Southern Testing report states '*...the earlier investigation did not include any assessment of the potential presence of asbestos or asbestos containing materials within the structures present on the site, and consideration of the same is excluded from this report. Appropriate pre-demolition surveys must be carried out, to ensure that any associated risks can be properly managed*'. The duty to manage asbestos is a legal requirement under the Control of Asbestos Regulations 2012 (Regulation 4) and this sits outside of the planning regime.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Regards

Steven King, BSc (Hons) Dip TP, MRTPI
Team Leader, Major Development
Mid Sussex District Council
01444 477556

Steven.King@midsussex.gov.uk
www.midsussex.gov.uk



Working together for a better Mid Sussex

The information contained in this email may be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. Unless the information contained in this email is legally exempt from disclosure, we cannot guarantee that we will not provide the whole or part of this email to a third party making a request for information about the subject matter of this email. This email and any attachments may contain confidential information and is intended only to be seen and used by the named addressees. If you are not the named addressee, any use, disclosure, copying, alteration or forwarding of this email and its attachments is unauthorised. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender immediately by email or by calling +44 (0) 1444 458 166 and remove this email and its attachments from your system. The views expressed within this email and any attachments are not necessarily the views or policies of Mid Sussex

District Council. We have taken precautions to minimise the risk of transmitting software viruses, but we advise you to carry out your own virus checks before accessing this email and any attachments. Except where required by law, we shall not be responsible for any damage, loss or liability of any kind suffered in connection with this email and any attachments, or which may result from reliance on the contents of this email and any attachments.