

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 06 May 2025 17:32:09 UTC+01:00
To: "Tanya Leete" <tanya.leete@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/25/1030

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 06/05/2025 5:32 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	4 Beacon Rise East Grinstead West Sussex RH19 3FR
Proposal:	Retrospective application to amend the landscaping to front of the property to provide additional off road parking.
Case Officer:	Tanya Leete

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	1 Beacon Rise East Grinstead West Sussex
----------	--

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	There are considerable concerns regarding this application, and the work which has already been carried out, without planning permission. There has been no assessment on the impact to other properties, residents and the street in general whilst undertaking these significant works. 1/ In order to access the new parking spaces, cars have to drive diagonally across a blind driveway, which is unsafe, both for

pedestrians and also vehicles leaving 1, 2 and 3 Beacon Rise.

2/ By driving onto the new parking spaces as stated above, the gas supply/meter is at risk from being hit, as it has no protections and could result in a serious incident. This would also be the case for a vehicle reversing on the driveway.

3/ Due to the removal of the large area of soakaway, with no compensating drainage installed, any water run off will flow downhill into the front garden of Number 1, and towards the stepless front door, as installed by the developer.

4/ Now that all the shrubbery has been removed, every vehicle leaving Beacon Rise shines bright lights into the living room and kitchen of Number 1.

5/ The whole outlook from Number 1 has considerably changed for the worse, from a green space, to an area of up to 5 parked cars (including those now at Number 5), with no screening, right outside the windows, which ultimately affects the value of the property.

6/ These works contravene restrictive covenants, by not replacing removed shrubbery which are in place to protect the look of the whole development and the interests of other properties.

In accordance with the MSDC District Plan, DP26 states in its evidence base that gardens should be protected that contribute to the character of the area. Also that this does not cause significant harm to existing nearby residents, including taking into account the impact on privacy, outlook, noise and air pollution. Creates a pedestrian friendly layout. And parking should not dominate the street environment. This application does not comply with any of these points.

It is for these reasons that I feel that this application should be rejected.

Kind regards