

Anna Tidey

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 12 June 2026 10:08
To: Anna Tidey
Subject: Planning Application DM/26/1132 Land Parcel North of 42-54 Hurst Road

[REDACTED]
Dear Anna,

We have read the letters of objection, submitted by several of our neighbours in Belmont Lane, and fully support the points which they raise. Your suggestion to [REDACTED] that our own objections can simply be noted, instead of covering much of the same ground in a separate submission, is appreciated. Please, therefore, register our objection accordingly and, thank you for mentioning this possibility.

As with others, we recognise that the welfare of two donkeys is a worthwhile cause, and our primary concern has always been the creation of a new access point off Belmont Lane when other safer options are probably available. Many thanks for referring this aspect to the Highways Authority by the way. A few additional points on this particular aspect :-

- a) There may be mention in due course of a Statement of Truth, from the previous owner of the land, regarding an earlier access point from Belmont Lane. The existence of such an access is, as you know, disputed by everyone who has lived here over the years in question (had it ever existed there would be some evidence of it one would think).
- b) The gardens of both Penlee and Crossways abut this parcel of land so there are alternative access options given the family links between them – in the early stages Crossways indeed presented the newly acquired land as being an extension of their existing garden.
- c) Looking at the Application for Planning Permission the first two questions regarding Pedestrian & Vehicle Access should presumably both have been marked 'Yes' rather than 'No' ; also, in the section on Trees & Hedges both questions have been ticked 'No' and again should read 'Yes' in my view.

Regarding the Letters of Support for the scheme, others have pointed out the family connections between the occupants of Penlee, Crossways and the landowner, but additional strong support has come from the occupant of 86 Wickham Hill – another family member, and should perhaps be viewed accordingly. There are of course some other independent letters of support given the animal welfare aspect of what is proposed - these are from folk who walk down the Lane rather than being residents of Belmont Lane. Those of us that do live in Belmont Lane are naturally much more concerned about the prospect of any new access points being created.

Finally, we do have photos of the area, where the access point has been created, taken just before work commenced - should they be of interest at some point we are happy to forward them.

Many thanks for your attention to this matter,

[REDACTED]