

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 10 July 2025 13:26:22 UTC+01:00
To: "Hamish Evans" <hamish.evans@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/25/1495

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 10/07/2025 1:26 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Land Adj. To Rocky Lane Haywards Heath West Sussex
Proposal:	The installation of a 22.5 metre lattice mast, mounted with 9No. antennas, 12No. cabinets, 1No. Electrical meter cabinet located within a compound comprised of 2.4 metre high chain-link fence topped with 3 strands of barbed wire and the creation of a new access from Rocky Lane and ancillary development thereto. (Additional Highways information received 08.07.2025)
Case Officer:	Hamish Evans

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address: 1 The Holt Haywards Heath

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	My name is Kate and I am a University of Southampton BSc Politics and Economics student. I am writing to you with concern regarding the proposed site of the large 5G telecom mast. Deeply disturbed by the range of possible links between health and electromagnetic radiation (Abdel-Rassoul et al., 2007; López

et al., 2021, Pinheiro et al., 2021), I confidently object to this proposed installation. Using peer-reviewed scientific evidence from my brief research, I have found many concerning facts regarding this link.

Firstly, placing the proposed 5g telecom next to my residential area will increase the long-term cancer risk for me, my family and my neighbours. It was found by Pinheiro et al. (2021), that "exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields ... increases the rate of mortality by all cancers". The authors especially highlight that this is specifically a risk for cervix cancer, proving this with their finding of $RR=2.18$; this means that people living in areas with higher exposure to RBS radiofrequency had 2.18 times higher risk of dying from cervix cancer compared to those with lower or no exposure.

Building on this, a study from Madrid by López et al. (2021) proves that residents living closer to the source of electromagnetic radiation experience higher frequency and severity of headaches, more nightmares and dizziness, and they sleep less hours.

Moreover, I would like to draw your attention to a 2007 study by Abdel-Rassoul et al., which concluded that people living close to mobile phone base stations are "at risk for developing neuropsychiatric problems and ... changes in the performance of neurobehavioral functions". The residents living near mobile phone base stations reported vividly higher rates of various symptoms: headache (23.5% vs 10%), memory changes (28.2% vs 5%), dizziness (18.8% vs 5%) and more.

Having considered that more scientific findings and funding is definitely needed in this area of research (World Health Organization, 2016), I am still very alarmed by the links and risks that I have outlined above, and genuinely hope that a better site could be chosen. For example, the (DM/24/0880) site that was suggested in an earlier planning application is further away from residential areas than the (DM/25/1495).

Kind regards