

Sarah Valentine

From: [redacted]
Sent: 12 May 2025 22:09
To: planninginfo
Subject: Comments on 25/1057 and 25/1058

[redacted]

Comment on DM/25/1057 (central court)

Hi,
I don't think this proposal is fair on the neighbours whose gardens back onto the central court (noise nuisance and light pollution).
Also it doesn't seem right to justify approving this on the basis of a trial involving a different court, and where the lights were on until 8pm (not 9pm).

Comment on DM/25/1058 (southern-most court)

Hi,

I strongly object to the proposal to extend the hours of use for these floodlights. My reasons:

- Light pollution. It would have a negative impact on the unique character of this area to have harsh, bright floodlights on throughout winter evenings. This court is right next to the street, so very visible.
- Noise nuisance until 9pm, well after our young children go to bed. 7pm seems fair and appropriate given the proximity to our homes.

Please consider:

- That there's a significant difference between 8pm and 9pm. So I don't think you can approve this on the basis that no one complained during the DM/23/2988 trial which ran until 8pm.
- While I didn't complain during the trial, there were occasions where we were disturbed by some loud screaming on the courts and noises that didn't sound like tennis. Will decision-makers take into account the 'amount and type' of use that the school recorded during the trial?
- Some of us do have windows ajar in winter!
- Is the need for this proportionate to the impact it has on residents?

Thanks for listening to my concerns,
14 Ferndale

Sent from [Outlook for Android](#)