



Andrew Horrell
Mid Sussex District Council
Oaklands Road
Haywards Heath
West Sussex
RH16 1SS

Our ref: 13577
Date: 5 February 2026

By email only: Planning Department, planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk

Thank you for requesting advice on this application from Place Services' ecological advice service. This service provides advice to planning officers to inform Mid Sussex District Council planning decisions with regard to potential ecological impacts from development.

Application: DM/26/0002
Location: Foresters Cottage Cross Colwood Lane Bolney Haywards Heath
Proposal: Replacement dwelling house

Thank you for consulting Place Services on the above application.

No ecological objection	<input type="checkbox"/>
Recommend approval subject to attached conditions	<input type="checkbox"/>
Further information required/Temporary holding objection: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• European Protected Species (bats)• Mandatory biodiversity net gain	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Recommend Refusal	<input type="checkbox"/>
Subject to Natural England's formal comments on the conclusion of the LPA's Appropriate Assessment	<input type="checkbox"/>

Summary

We have reviewed the Bat Emergence Echolocation Surveys Report (Enviro-Reporter Ltd., August 2025) and Preliminary Ecological Appraisal Report (Enviro-Reporter Ltd., December 2024) relating to the likely impacts of development on designated sites, protected and Priority species & habitats and identification of appropriate mitigation measures and mandatory Biodiversity Net Gain.

We have also reviewed the information submitted relating to mandatory biodiversity net gains, including the Habitat Baseline Pre-Development Plan 2025, Location of Parcel 1 – Proposed Habitats, Biodiversity Net Gain Technical Note (Enviro-Reporter Ltd., December 2025) and Statutory Biodiversity Metric (December 2025).

We are not satisfied that there is sufficient ecological information available for determination of this application and recommend that details of survey results, mitigation and enhancement measures for bats are required to make this proposal acceptable. In addition, we are not satisfied that appropriate information with regard to mandatory biodiversity net gains has been supplied for the application prior to determination. The reasons for this are outlined below:

European Protected Species: bats

We understand from Section 2.9 of the Preliminary Ecological Appraisal Report (Enviro-Reporter Ltd., December 2024) (PEA) that a Ground Level Tree Assessment for Potential Roost Features (PRFs) for bats was undertaken, but the results do not appear to have been presented in the PEA. Therefore, we request that clarification is provided for any impact on roosting bats if trees are to be removed. We highlight that appropriate compensation will be required in advance of works for any trees with PRFs for individual bats to avoid loss of roost resource (Reason and Wray (2023) UK Bat Mitigation Guidelines: a guide to impact assessment, mitigation and compensation for developments affecting bats. Version 1.1. Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management). We also highlight that a non-licensed Precautionary Working Method Statement would be required prior to works which includes inspection of any affected trees by endoscope on the day and felling under the supervision of a licensed bat ecologist, as required in Table 6.3 of 4th Ed. Bat Surveys for Professional Ecologists Bat Conservation Trust (Collins ed., 2023). If any trees have PRFs for multiple bats, then further assessment would be required.

To fully assess the impacts of the proposal the LPA needs ecological information for the site, particularly for bats, which are European Protected Species. These surveys are required prior to determination because [Government Standing Advice](#) indicates that you should “Survey for bats if the area includes buildings or other structures that bats tend to use or there are trees with features that bats tend to use nearby”.

The results of these surveys are required prior to determination because paragraph 99 of the ODPM Circular 06/2005 highlights that: “It is essential that the presence or otherwise of protected species, and the extent that they may be affected by the proposed development, is established before the planning permission is granted, otherwise all relevant material considerations may not have been addressed in making the decision.”

This information is therefore required to provide the LPA with certainty of likely impacts on legally protected species and be able to secure appropriate mitigation either by a mitigation

licence from Natural England or a condition of any consent. This will enable the LPA to demonstrate compliance with its statutory duties, including its biodiversity duty under s40 NERC Act 2006 (as amended) and prevent wildlife crime under s17 Crime and Disorder Act 1998.

Furthermore, the Local Planning Authority, as a competent authority, should have regard to the requirements of The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended) when reaching planning decisions and must not leave this until the licence application stage. Therefore, if a European Protected Species Mitigation Licence is required for this application, appropriate mitigation measures to support the provision of the licence must also be outlined prior to determination to allow certainty to the LPA that a licence will likely be granted.

This is needed to enable the LPA to demonstrate its compliance with its statutory duties including its biodiversity duty under s40 NERC Act 2006 (as amended).

Mandatory Biodiversity Net Gains:

Applications are required to deliver a mandatory 10% measurable biodiversity net gain, unless exempt under [paragraph 17 of Schedule 7A of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990](#) and the [Biodiversity Gain Requirements \(Exemptions\) Regulations 2024](#).

Biodiversity net gains is a statutory requirement set out under [Schedule 7A \(Biodiversity Gain in England\) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990](#). This legislation was inserted into the 1990 Act by Schedule 14 of the Environment Act 2021, and was amended by the Levelling Up and Regeneration Act 2023. The Biodiversity Gain (Town and Country Planning) (Consequential Amendments) Regulations 2024 made consequential amendments to other parts of the 1990 Act.

The [Biodiversity Net Gain Planning Practice Guidance \(PPG\)](#) sets out how mandatory biodiversity net gains should be applied through the planning process and Paragraph: 011 Reference ID: 74-011-20240214 sets out what information should be submitted as part of a planning application if the statutory biodiversity gain condition applies.

As a result, we have reviewed the Habitat Baseline Pre-Development Plan 2025, Location of Parcel 1 – Proposed Habitats, Biodiversity Net Gain Technical Note (Enviro-Reporter Ltd., December 2025) and Statutory Biodiversity Metric (December 2025) and are not satisfied that appropriate information has been provided prior to determination. This is because of the reasons set out below:

- The submitted Statutory Biodiversity Metric – Calculation Tool is not accompanied by condition assessment. This is required to ensure that the habitats within the pre-development baseline have been recorded appropriately.

- Further justification is required for the use of ‘medium strategic significance’ (Ecologically desirable (not in local strategy)) in the pre-development baseline. We suggest all habitats could be classified as ‘low strategic significance’ in the absence of a published Local Nature Recovery Strategy.

Where mandatory biodiversity net gains apply, the planning authority will be required to secure a biodiversity gain condition as a pre-commencement requirement. The biodiversity gain condition has its own separate statutory basis, as a planning condition under [paragraph 13 of Schedule 7A of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990](#) and should be included as an informative within the decision notice. The biodiversity gain condition should secure the provision of a Biodiversity Gain Plan prior to commencement, which includes the following:

- a) A Biodiversity Gain Plan form (Ideally using the Government’s template: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/biodiversity-gain-plan>)
- b) The completed metric calculation tool showing the calculations of the pre-development and post-intervention biodiversity values.
- c) Pre and post development habitat plans.
- d) Legal agreement(s)
- e) Biodiversity Gain Site Register reference numbers (if using off-site units).
- f) Proof of purchase (if buying statutory biodiversity credits at a last resort).

We also highlight that the proposed off-site area will need to be secured via a legal agreement (and supported via a [Habitat Management and Monitoring Plan](#)) so that this area is secured for a 30-year period from completion of the development as part of the Biodiversity Gain Plan. In addition, the off-site area will need to be registered on the [Biodiversity Gain Site Register](#) prior to the discharge of Biodiversity Gain Plan. Alternatively, the applicant could secure off-site units via a habitat bank registered on the biodiversity gain site register. This would include a payment to the habitat bank and evidence that the site has been allocated to the habitat bank on the biodiversity gain site register would be required as part of the Biodiversity Gain Plan.

Additional comments:

We note from the Bat Emergence Echolocation Surveys Report (Enviro-Reporter Ltd., August 2025) that two dusk nocturnal surveys were carried out in June and July 2025 on Building B1 (one storey residential building and garage), but there was no evidence of roosting bats, although there was a single unconfirmed bat emergence during the second survey. Therefore, we agree that no further surveys for buildings and bats are required.

We support the Precautionary Method Statement for mobile protected and Priority species (including Hedgehog, which is a Priority and threatened species) in Section 5.20 of the Preliminary Ecological Appraisal Report (Enviro-Reporter Ltd., December 2024). This needs to be secured by a condition of any consent and implemented in full.

If external lighting is required, we also support the recommendation that a Wildlife Friendly Lighting Strategy is implemented for this application (Bat Emergence Echolocation Surveys Report (Enviro-Reporter Ltd., August 2025) and Preliminary Ecological Appraisal Report (Enviro-Reporter Ltd., December 2024)) to avoid impacts from light disturbance. This should be secured by a condition of any consent and implemented in full. Therefore, technical specification should be submitted prior to occupation, which demonstrates measures to avoid lighting impacts to foraging / commuting bats, which are likely to be present within the local area. This should summarise the following measures recommended by Guidance Note:08/23 (Institute of Lighting Professionals) will be implemented:

- Do not provide excessive lighting. Light levels should be as low as possible as required to fulfil the lighting need.
- All luminaires should lack UV elements when manufactured. Metal halide, compact fluorescent sources should not be used.
- Warm White lights should be used at <2700k. This is necessary as lighting which emits an ultraviolet component or that has a blue spectral content has a high attraction effect on insects. This may lead in a reduction in prey availability for some light sensitive bat species.
- Where appropriate, external security lighting should be set on motion-sensors and set to as short a possible a timer as the risk assessment will allow.
- Luminaires should always be mounted horizontally, with no light output above 90° and/or no upward tilt.
- Only if all other options have been explored, accessories such as baffles, hoods or louvres can be used to reduce light spill and direct it only to where it is needed. However, due to the lensing and fine cut-off control of the beam inherent in modern LED luminaires, the effect of cowls and baffles is often far less than anticipated and so should not be relied upon solely.

We also support the proposed reasonable biodiversity enhancements for protected, Priority and threatened species, which have been recommended in the Bat Emergence Echolocation Surveys Report (Enviro-Reporter Ltd., August 2025) and Preliminary Ecological Appraisal Report (Enviro-Reporter Ltd., December 2024) to secure net gains for biodiversity, as outlined under Paragraph 187d and 193d of the National Planning Policy Framework (December 2024). The reasonable biodiversity enhancement measures should be outlined within a separate Biodiversity Enhancement Layout and should be secured by a condition of any consent.

Please note that we have no comments on Great Crested Newt as we have been instructed to leave comments on this European Protected Species to the [NatureSpace Partnership](#). We recommend that the NatureSpace Partnership is consulted on this application.

We look forward to working with the LPA and the applicant to receive the additional information required to support a lawful decision and overcome our holding objection.

Please contact us if you have any queries in relation to this advice.

Yours sincerely,

Hamish Jackson ACIEEM BSc (Hons)
Senior Ecological Consultant
Place Services at Essex County Council



Place Services provide ecological advice on behalf of Mid Sussex District Council.

Please note:

This letter is advisory and should only be considered as the opinion formed by specialist staff in relation to this particular matter.

We are unable to respond directly to applicants/agents or other interested parties. Any additional information, queries or comments on this advice that the applicant/agent or other interested parties may have, must be directed to the Planning Officer at the relevant LPA, who will seek further advice from us where appropriate.