



## **ARBORICULTURAL SURVEY**

**21 Sergison Road  
Haywards Heath  
RH16 1HX**

**Document date: 19<sup>th</sup> August 2025**

**Document ref: PJC/6930/25-01 Rev -**

**Sussex Office**  
Rocks Yard, Victoria Road  
Herstmonceux, East Sussex.  
BN27 4TQ.

**PJC Consultancy Ltd**  
[www.pjcconsultancy.com](http://www.pjcconsultancy.com)  
[contact@pjcconsultancy.com](mailto:contact@pjcconsultancy.com)  
01233 225365 - 01323 832120

**Kent Office**  
The Watermill, The Mill  
Business Park, Maidstone  
Road, Ashford, Kent.  
TN26 1AE

**This report has been prepared by**  
**PJC Consultancy Ltd**  
**on behalf of**  
**Alex Sayer**

---

**Document author**

---

**Nick Hollett Level 3 BTEC ND Forestry and Arboriculture**

Nick has a level 3 National Diploma in Forestry and Arboriculture, and Level 2 BTEC Foundation Diploma Countryside and Environment. He has fifteen years experience in the arboricultural industry, and started his career as a forestry worker and Millworker before progressing as a tree surgeon, working in both the private and commercial sectors. He is a LANTRA accredited professional tree inspector.

---


**Checked by**

---

**Peter Davies FdSc Arboriculture M.Arbor.A**

Peter has a Foundation Degree in Arboriculture from the University of Brighton and is a professional member of the Arboricultural Association. He has over ten years' experience in the arboricultural industry, originally working as a groundsman and feller, and progressing into consultancy. He is a LANTRA accredited professional tree inspector.

---



arboriculture . ecology . landscape



## CONTENTS

|          |                                                             |          |
|----------|-------------------------------------------------------------|----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>INTRODUCTION .....</b>                                   | <b>4</b> |
| 1.1      | Instruction .....                                           | 4        |
| 1.2      | Survey objectives .....                                     | 4        |
| 1.3      | Contents of report .....                                    | 4        |
| 1.4      | Documents and information provided.....                     | 4        |
| <b>2</b> | <b>SURVEY METHODOLOGY .....</b>                             | <b>5</b> |
| 2.1      | Tree survey information.....                                | 5        |
| 2.2      | Tree categorisation .....                                   | 5        |
| 2.3      | Root protection areas .....                                 | 6        |
| 2.4      | Limitations of survey.....                                  | 7        |
| <b>3</b> | <b>SITE VISIT AND SURVEY FINDINGS.....</b>                  | <b>8</b> |
| 3.1      | Site visit.....                                             | 8        |
| 3.2      | Site layout.....                                            | 8        |
| 3.3      | Statutory tree protection.....                              | 8        |
| 3.4      | Findings.....                                               | 8        |
|          | Appendix 1: Tree Constraints Plan .....                     | 9        |
|          | Appendix 2: Tree Survey Schedule .....                      | 10       |
|          | Appendix 3: Cascade Chart for Tree Quality Assessment ..... | 11       |



## **1 INTRODUCTION**

### **1.1 Instruction**

- 1.1.1 PJC Consultancy was instructed by Alex Sayer to provide an initial arboricultural survey of 21 Sergison Road. The survey has been undertaken in accordance with BS5837: 2012 'Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction – Recommendations'.

### **1.2 Survey objectives**

- 1.2.1 This survey has been undertaken with the following objectives:
- To survey all trees within and adjacent to the site with trunk diameters of 75mm or more at a height of 1.5m.
  - To assess the quality and value of the existing tree stock in terms of arboricultural, landscape, historical/conservation, or public amenity value.
  - To provide information relating to planning constraints that may restrict works to trees at the site.
  - To provide an assessment of the material constraints posed by the existing tree stock on potential future developments at the site.
  - To aid the design process, ensuring prospective developments integrate appropriately with the existing tree stock, to maximise the potential of the proposed development site.

### **1.3 Contents of report**

- 1.3.1 This report includes the following:
- A summary of the existing tree stock and notable arboricultural features.
  - Tree constraints plan in accordance with BS5837: 2012.
  - Tree survey schedule containing the relevant measurements and information for each tree or tree group as required in BS5837: 2012.

### **1.4 Documents and information provided**

- 1.4.1 The following documents were used to aid the preparation of this report:
- Location, Block and Site Plans Ref: HP4U2401.1001.PL2.



## **2 SURVEY METHODOLOGY**

### **2.1 Tree survey information**

2.1.1 The following information was recorded in the tree survey schedule for each individual tree (average dimensions are recorded for groups):

- Tree reference number. (T=tree, G=group, H=hedgerow).
- Species (common and scientific name).
- Overall tree height (m).
- Stem diameter (mm) per stem or average diameter for multi-stemmed trees with six or more stems.
- Branch spread (m) measured to the four cardinal points.
- Existing height (m) above ground level of lowest significant branch and direction of growth (for individual trees only).
- Existing height (m) above ground level of canopy.
- Age class (young, semi mature, early mature, mature, over mature or veteran).
- Physiological condition (good, fair, poor).
- Structural condition (good, fair, poor).
- Comments (general description of tree(s) including any notable features).
- Preliminary management recommendations (prescriptions for tree management processes based on the current land use and not related to the prospective development).
- Tree categorisation (see below).
- Root protection area (m<sup>2</sup>).
- Root protection radius (m).

### **2.2 Tree categorisation**

2.2.1 The condition and value of each tree was evaluated based on the current land use. Each tree or tree group has been awarded either category A, B, C or U and a subcategory of either 1,2 or 3 or a combination of the subcategories.

2.2.2 Tree categorisation summary:

- A – Trees of good condition and high arboricultural, landscape or conservation value. Must have a potential life span in excess of forty years.
- B – Trees of moderate condition, with minor defects or sub-optimal form but are still of modest arboricultural, landscape or conservation value. Must have a potential life span in excess of twenty years.
- C – Unremarkable trees of poor condition or form with limited arboricultural, landscape or conservation value, or trees with a stem diameter under 150mm. Must have a potential life span in excess of ten years.
- U – Trees of such impaired condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of the current land use for more than ten years. These trees do not need to be removed if they are not dangerous and do not conflict with the proposed development, but should not be considered a constraint to development.



### 2.2.3 Tree sub categorisation summary:

- 1 – Trees have mainly arboricultural value, e.g. trees of good condition, form and vitality or rare tree species.
- 2 – Trees have mainly landscape value, e.g. trees of landscape prominence, that serve to screen unsightly views or that are required for privacy. Also trees present in groups that attain higher collective rating than they would as individuals.
- 3 – Trees with mainly cultural value including conservation, e.g. commemorative trees, trees of historical significance or veteran trees.

2.2.4 Each tree can only be categorised as A, B or C but may comply with more than one subcategory. A cascade chart further explaining how tree categorisation is decided is included in Appendix 3.

## 2.3 Root protection areas

2.3.1 A root protection area represents a calculation of the minimum volume of rooting medium required to support a tree. It is a standardised calculation based on the stem diameter(s) measured at 1.5m and is not necessarily representative of the actual root spread or total rooting area of a tree. The formulas used to calculate root protection areas are shown below:

Table 1: Root protection area formulas

| Number of stems                 | Root protection area formula                                                                           |
|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Single stemmed trees            | $\frac{(\text{stem diameter (mm)} \times 12)^2 \times \pi}{1000}$                                      |
| Trees with two to five stems    | $\sqrt{(\text{stem diameter } 1)^2 + (\text{stem diameter } 2)^2 \dots + (\text{stem diameter } 5)^2}$ |
| Trees with more than five stems | $\sqrt{(\text{mean stem diameter})^2 \times \text{number of stems}}$                                   |

2.3.2 The root protection areas are plotted onto the tree constraints plan in Appendix 1 and are recorded in the tree survey schedule in Appendix 2. These are represented as a circle on the plan (unless significant rooting constraints are present), and are colour coded depending on the category the tree has been awarded. Where existing site conditions/features are present that are deemed likely to have affected the root morphology, the root protection areas have been represented as a polygon of equivalent area.

2.3.3 The proposed layout should avoid level changes or the placement of new buildings and areas of hard standing within the root protection areas of retained trees. In certain situations, engineered solutions are available to allow construction within the root protection areas however further input from an arboriculturist should be sought regarding their site-specific viability before these methods are relied upon.

2.3.4 The disturbance of a tree's root system can result in crown dieback and even death of the tree. Roots are used to support the tree structurally as well as the absorption of moisture and nutrients from the soil. They also act as storage and transport for water and nutrients.

2.3.5 Direct damage such as root severance can lead to ill health, as can compaction of the soil by construction traffic, heavy plant and storage of materials. Changing the nature of the surface above the growing medium, (i.e. from porous to non-porous), can alter the resources available to the tree, which in turn can lead to its decline.



- 2.3.6 The majority of root growth is usually found within the top 600mm of soil. As such, even a shallow disturbance within a root protection area can potentially have a significant impact on the tree.
- 2.3.7 The root protection areas must be left free from excavation and disturbance and protected from compaction or contamination during any proposed works. Any construction works within a root protection area required for the proposed development must be justifiable within an arboricultural impact assessment.

## **2.4 Limitations of survey**

- 2.4.1 The survey methodology was restricted to a visual tree assessment from ground level. No tree climbing or invasive ground investigation was carried out for this report. Where existing site constraints are present such as ivy covered trees, a very dense under-storey, or where trees are located on third party land to which access was not granted, tree dimensions were estimated by eye as accurately as possible.
- 2.4.2 This survey represents a preliminary overview of the condition and value of trees at the site. It is not a detailed assessment of any individual tree and although preliminary management recommendations are included, this report will not be sufficient to be used as a detailed condition and safety survey.
- 2.4.3 The information and measurements in this report are representative of the date of the site visit. The tree survey data will need to be updated to reflect tree growth and changes in the condition of the trees after prolonged periods.
- 2.4.4 No topographical survey with measured tree positions was provided to produce this report. The tree positions on the tree constraints plan in Appendix 1 were approximately plotted during the site visit, so must not be scaled from for detailed design purposes.



### 3 SITE VISIT AND SURVEY FINDINGS

#### 3.1 Site visit

- 3.1.1 A site visit was carried out on 14<sup>th</sup> August 2025. The weather conditions at the time were dry and overcast. The visibility was adequate for visual tree inspection from ground level. Deciduous trees were in leaf.

#### 3.2 Site layout

- 3.2.1 The site is located on the west of Sergison Road at the junction with Heather Bank. The site comprises a detached dwelling with double garage, car parking and driveway to the front of the dwelling and a lawned rear garden. Trees, shrubs and hedges are located along the boundary of the property providing screening to site. The surrounding land use consists of residential dwellings and gardens.
- 3.2.2 None of the trees surveyed for this report were assessed to be ancient or veteran individuals.

#### 3.3 Statutory tree protection

- 3.3.1 Mid Sussex District Council's online mapping tool was used on 13<sup>th</sup> August 2025 to check whether there are any tree preservation orders (TPOs) within the site. Tree preservation order Ref: HH/01/TPO/10 was shown to cover T1, Red maple, located directly north of the site at the entrance of no.23 Sergison Road.
- 3.3.2 However, the online mapping tool can be updated at any time, therefore any persons proposing to undertake tree works should still check the status of the trees with the local planning authority prior to undertaking any tree works. Failure to adhere to the TPO legislation could lead to prosecution and if convicted a fine and criminal record. The crown of a tree and its roots are protected. The person carrying out the works, the person instructing the works and the Directors of that company are potentially liable. Failure to check whether tree/s are the subject of TPO/s could not be used as mitigation.
- 3.3.3 The site is not located within a Conservation Area.

#### 3.4 Findings



- 3.4.1 A total of five individual trees, four tree groups and one hedge was surveyed. Their locations are shown on the tree constraints plan at Appendix 1 and details and measurements are shown in the tree survey schedule at Appendix 2.
- 3.4.2 A summary of their British Standard categorisation is shown at Table 2 below.

*Table 2: Tree categorisation summary*

| Tree category | Individual tree | Tree group | Hedgerow |
|---------------|-----------------|------------|----------|
| A             | -               | -          | -        |
| B             | 4               | 1          | -        |
| C             | 1               | 3          | 1        |
| U             | -               | -          | -        |
| Total         | 5               | 4          | 1        |



## **Appendix 1: Tree Constraints Plan**



- Key:**
- Root protection area for category B\* tree
  - Root protection area for category C\* tree
  - Canopy of tree with tree preservation order
  - Canopy of tree without tree preservation order

\* Tree categorised in accordance with BS 5837:2012 'Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction - Recommendations'.

Tree survey schedule contains further information for each tree.

*This drawing should be viewed in colour.*

No topographical survey with measured tree positions was provided. The tree positions were approximately plotted during the site visit, so must not be scaled from for detailed design purposes.

Drawing no: PJC/6930/25/A Rev: - Sheet number: 1 of 1

**Client & site:**  
Alex Sayer

21 Sergison Road  
Haywards Heath  
RH16 1HX

**Drawing title:** Tree Constraints Plan

**Date drawn:** 18/08/2025

**Scale:** 1:200 at A3

**Drawn by:** NH **Checked by:** PD



## **Appendix 2: Tree Survey Schedule**

**Site:** 21 Sergison Road  
**Survey date:** 14th August 2025  
**Surveyor:** N.Hollett

## Tree Survey Schedule



| Tree ref. | Species                                                           | Height (m)  | Stem diameter (mm) | Branch spread (m)                    | Crown clearance (m)                   | Age class   | Physiological condition | Structural condition | Comments                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Preliminary management recommendation | Category grading | Root Protection Area (m <sup>2</sup> ) | Root Protection Radius (m) |
|-----------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| T1        | Red norway maple (Acer platanoides 'Royal Red')                   | 13          | 540                | N: 5<br>E: 5<br>S: 5<br>W: 4         | Crown: 3 east<br>Branch: 2 east       | Mature      | Good                    | Good                 | <b>TPO</b> - Third party tree. Historically heavily reduced. Several poor finishing cuts with minor deadwood. Dual stemmed from 2m with fair union. Exposed roots on lawn with minor mechanical mower damage. | No action required on date of survey. | <b>B1+2</b>      | 131.9                                  | 6.5                        |
| G2        | Lawson cypress (Chamaecyparis lawsoniana)                         | 3-4 average | 200 average        | 1-3 average                          | 0 average                             | Mature      | Good                    | Fair                 | Historically heavily reduced in height to 3m. Multi stemmed from base with fair unions. Slightly sparse to north possibly from historically now removed fence.                                                | No action required on date of survey. | <b>C2</b>        | 18.1 average                           | 2.4 average                |
| T3        | Lawson cypress 'Fletcheri' (Chamaecyparis lawsoniana 'Fletcheri') | 8           | 250, 250, 180, 120 | N: 1.5<br>E: 1.5<br>S: 1.5<br>W: 1.5 | Crown: 0 average<br>Branch: 0 average | Mature      | Good                    | Good                 | Multi stemmed from base. Exposed roots on lawn with minor mechanical damage.                                                                                                                                  | No action required on date of survey. | <b>C1+2</b>      | 77.7                                   | 5.0                        |
| T4        | Lawson cypress (Chamaecyparis lawsoniana)                         | 7           | 400 est            | N: 4<br>E: 4<br>S: 3<br>W: 3         | Crown: 0 average<br>Branch: 0 average | Mature      | Good                    | Good                 | Limited access to stem due to foliage. Dropping limbs fused and suckered at ground level.                                                                                                                     | No action required on date of survey. | <b>B1+2</b>      | 72.4                                   | 4.8                        |
| G5        | Mixed (Field maple, holly, ivy, laurel, firestorm, maple)         | 2-3 average | Up to 80 average   | 1-2 average                          | 0 average                             | Semi mature | Fair                    | Fair                 | Growing against and over 2m high brick boundary wall. Some pruning maintained evident.                                                                                                                        | No action required on date of survey. | <b>C2</b>        | 2.9 average                            | 1.0 average                |
| G6        | Japanese maple (Acer palmatum)                                    | 4 average   | 120 average        | 1-3 average                          | 1 average                             | Semi mature | Good                    | Good                 | Group of x3 of similar size and age. Growing within raised rock bed. No major visible defects.                                                                                                                | No action required on date of survey. | <b>B2</b>        | 6.5 average                            | 1.4 average                |

**Site:** 21 Sergison Road

**Survey date:** 14th August 2025

**Surveyor:** N.Hollett

## Tree Survey Schedule



| Tree ref. | Species                                      | Height (m)  | Stem diameter (mm)     | Branch spread (m)            | Crown clearance (m)                 | Age class           | Physiological condition | Structural condition | Comments                                                                                                                  | Preliminary management recommendation | Category grading | Root Protection Area (m <sup>2</sup> ) | Root Protection Radius (m) |
|-----------|----------------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| H7        | Mixed (Lawson cypress dominant, laurel)      | 4-5 average | Up to 250, 150 average | 1-2 average                  | 0 average                           | Semi mature         | Good                    | Good                 | Maintained hedge providing screening to site. Partially grown over shed. Heavily pruned back to stem to north over no.23. | No action required on date of survey. | C2               | 10.2 average                           | 1.8 average                |
| T8        | Pear (Pyrus communis)                        | 5           | 280 at 1m              | N: 3<br>E: 3<br>S: 2<br>W: 3 | Crown: 1 south<br>Branch: 1 average | Semi mature         | Good                    | Good                 | Previously formatively pruned. Bearing large quantity of fruit. Minor suppression north from H7.                          | No action required on date of survey. | B1               | 35.5                                   | 3.4                        |
| T9        | Apple (Malus domestica)                      | 6           | 280 at 1m              | N: 3<br>E: 3<br>S: 4<br>W: 3 | Crown: 1 south<br>Branch: 1 average | Semi mature         | Good                    | Good                 | Previously formatively pruned. Bearing large quantity of fruit. Minor suppression north from H7.                          | No action required on date of survey. | B1               | 35.5                                   | 3.4                        |
| G10       | Mixed (evergreen spindle, photinia, cypress) | 0-2 average | Under 75 average       | 1 average                    | 0 average                           | Young - semi mature | Good                    | Good                 | Mixed shrub bed. No major visible defects.                                                                                | No action required on date of survey. | C2               | 2.5 average                            | 0.9 average                |

### Appendix 3: Cascade Chart for Tree Quality Assessment

| Category and definition                                                                                                                                                      | Criteria (including subcategories where appropriate)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                                                                                                                                         | Identification on plan |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Trees unsuitable for retention                                                                                                                                               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                                                                                                                                         |                        |
| <b>Category U</b><br>Those in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context of their current land use for longer than 10 years. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Trees that have a serious, irremediable, structural defect, such that their early loss is expected due to collapse, including those that will become unviable after the removal of other category U trees (e.g. where, for whatever reason, the loss of companion shelter cannot be mitigated by pruning).</li><li>• Trees that are dead or are showing signs of significant, immediate, and irreversible overall decline.</li><li>• Trees infected with pathogens of significance to the health and/or safety of other trees nearby, or very low quality trees suppressing adjacent trees of better quality.</li></ul> Note Category U trees can have existing or potential conservation value which it might be desirable to preserve. |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                                                                                                                                         | Red                    |
|                                                                                                                                                                              | 1 Mainly arboricultural qualities                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | 2 Mainly landscape qualities                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | 3 Mainly cultural values, including conservation                                                                                        |                        |
| Trees to be considered for retention                                                                                                                                         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                                                                                                                                         |                        |
| <b>Category A</b><br>Trees of high quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 40 years.                                                                 | Trees that are particularly good examples of their species, especially if rare or unusual; or those that are essential components of groups or formal or semi-formal arboricultural features (e.g. the dominant and/or principal trees within an avenue).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Trees, groups or woodlands of particular visual importance as arboricultural and/or landscape features.                                                                                                                                                            | Trees, groups or woodlands of significant conservation, historical, commemorative or other value (e.g. veteran trees or wood--pasture). | Green                  |
| <b>Category B</b><br>Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years.                                                             | Trees that might be included in category A, but are downgraded because of impaired condition (e.g. presence of significant though remedial defects, including unsympathetic past management and storm damage), such that they are unlikely to be suitable for retention for beyond 40 years; or trees lacking the special quality necessary to merit the category A designation.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Trees present in numbers, usually growing as groups or woodlands, such that they attract a higher collective rating than they might as individuals; or trees occurring as collectives but situated so as to make little visual contribution to the wider locality. | Trees with material conservation or other cultural value.                                                                               | Blue                   |
| <b>Category C</b><br>Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150mm.                 | Unremarkable trees of very limited merit or such impaired condition that they do not qualify in higher categories.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Trees present in groups or woodlands, but without this conferring on them significantly greater collective landscape value; and/or trees offering low or only temporary/transient landscape benefits.                                                              | Trees with no material conservation or other cultural value.                                                                            | Grey                   |



## CONTACT DETAILS

### **Sussex Office:**

Rocks Yard  
Victoria Road  
Herstmonceux  
Hailsham  
East Sussex  
BN27 4TQ

Tel: 01323 832120

### **Kent Office:**

The Watermill  
The Mill Business Park  
Maidstone Road  
Ashford  
Kent  
TN26 1AE

Tel: 01233 225365

**Author:** N.Hollett

**Date:** 19<sup>th</sup> August 2025

**E-mail:** [n.hollett@pjconsultancy.com](mailto:n.hollett@pjconsultancy.com)