

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 12 August 2025 08:20:54 UTC+01:00
To: "Katherine Williams" <katherine.williams@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/25/1593

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 12/08/2025 8:20 AM.

Application Summary

Address:	Woodlands Close And Land To The North Of Burleigh Lane Crawley Down Crawley West Sussex RH10 4JZ
Proposal:	The demolition of numbers 9-11 Woodlands Close together with the demolition of other existing buildings on site and erection of 48 dwellings (Use Class C3) with open space, landscaping, car parking and associated infrastructure including provision of internal access roads and access road onto Woodlands Close.
Case Officer:	Katherine Williams

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	Landfall Sandhill Lane Crawley down
----------	-------------------------------------

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	Burleigh lane is a semi-rural location and home to British wildlife including owls, woodpeckers, snakes, newts, bats, foxes, rabbits and deer. The clearance of the Croft site earlier this year has already negatively impacted on these creatures. The character of the road has been kept in keeping with the surroundings with detached houses on large plots, maintaining the

rural environment as far as possible. The number of houses proposed on this site is out of keeping with the road and will destroy the current habitat completely as well as negatively impacting this well loved public footpath. I would encourage the developer to reconsider this awful proposal and to instead use the land for a much smaller number of houses reflecting the current character of the lane.

Crawley Down lacks the infrastructure for a further influx of residents. The GP provision for example, having been excellent, is now woefully inadequate for the current population. Any new large developments should be mandated to include infrastructure which this lacks entirely.

Kind regards