

Sarah Valentine

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 17 September 2026 10:01
To: planninginfo
Subject: Objection to DM/26/2178 – College Lane

[REDACTED]
Dear Planning Officer,

I would like to object to application DM/26/2178, principally because of pedestrian safety on College Lane and the surrounding roads.

I regularly run along these roads, so my concern comes from actually using them on foot rather than simply looking at a map or traffic survey.

There is no pavement along much of College Lane and I regularly have to get onto the grass verge when cars approach. This is particularly difficult where the road bends because drivers cannot see pedestrians or runners very far ahead. Parked cars also reduce the available width and can force vehicles onto the opposite side of the road.

At busy times the situation is considerably worse, particularly with school traffic. The narrow sections can create queues as vehicles travelling in opposite directions wait for one another.

I was therefore surprised to read that the Transport Statement considers it acceptable for residents of the new houses to walk in the carriageway. An average traffic figure does not reflect what it is actually like to walk or run along College Lane when several vehicles arrive together.

These lanes are also used by cyclists and horse riders, which adds to the number of vulnerable road users sharing a restricted road with vehicles.

Six houses may not sound significant on their own, but there are already another seven houses being developed immediately alongside the site. I think the combined effect of the developments should be considered when assessing additional traffic and pedestrian safety.

I therefore object to the application and ask the Council to consider the actual conditions experienced by pedestrians and other vulnerable road users before making its decision.

Kind regards,

[REDACTED]
2 College Place, College Lane, Hurstpierpoint, BN6 9AF

Sent from [Outlook for iOS](#)