

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 13 February 2025 13:54:21 UTC+00:00
To: "Joseph Swift" <joseph.swift@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/25/0088

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 13/02/2025 1:54 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Burgess Hill Northern Arc, Land North And North West Of Burgess Hill, Between Bedelands Nature Reserve In The East And, Goddard's Green Waste Water Treatment Works In The West
Proposal:	Reserved matters application pursuant to outline planning permission ref DM/18/5114, as amended by planning application ref DM/21/3279, for the provision of the Green Circle traversing Sub-Phases OS1.1a, P1.3, OS1.1b (Freeks Lane) and OS1.2N at the Northern Arc development (now Brookleigh) on land north and north-west of Burgess Hill.
Case Officer:	Joseph Swift

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address: 66 Freeks Lane Burgess Hill

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	The planning permission detail and the planning map are contradictory. The planning references that the works are to be completed on Freeks Lane north of Maple Drive whereas the Map

shows it not starting until Maple drive goes into Eastbridge Avenue.

As a resident of Freeks Lane, we were assured when the building works started that the roadway between our private lane/parking area and the public right of way footpath would be correctly barriered off so that it could be used for pedestrian access only. Despite years of fighting for this to be done, it has not been. We regularly have off road bikes and delivery mopeds speeding up and down the lane, and recently, a number of 4x4 vehicles using it as an off road race track. It is already a serious accident/death waiting to happen, and all that widening that particular stretch would do it make matters worse. Therefore, if that stretch is to be included I strongly object, as will every one of my neighbours.

Should the planning be for the lower section as the map shows, then it is IMPERITIVE that the footpath right of way part of Freeks Lane is correctly barriered off at BOTH ENDS. Antisocial behaviour by the off-road vehicles and delivery drivers is already off the scale, and with 3 of the 5 properties on the lane having young children who play out there, we are all terrified of the consequences.

Kind regards