

From: Steven King
Sent: 09 January 2026 10:21:54 UTC+00:00
To: "Adam Greenslade" <adam@abeldc.co.uk>
Subject: RE: DM/25/3203

Hi Adam

I've now received some further clarification from our Urban Designer regarding the staircase windows for the Sudbury and Hazelborough house types. It is the windows on plot 10 (Sudbury) and plot 4 (Hazelborough) to the staircase that we would want to be obscure glass. This is to provide some element and perception of surveillance onto the access road into the site. Looking back at the report to committee for the planning application, it stated *'There would be two windows in the first and second floor side elevation of plot 10 which would serve the stairway facing towards 7 Oak Farm Place. Given the distance between the properties and the function of the proposed windows, no significant harm would arise in respect of overlooking.'* I'm therefore content for the side facing windows on plot 10 to be clear glazed.

Regards

Steven King, BSc (Hons) Dip TP, MRTPI
Team Leader, Major Development
Mid Sussex District Council
01444 477556

Steven.King@midsussex.gov.uk
www.midsussex.gov.uk



Working together for a better Mid Sussex

From: Steven King
Sent: 23 December 2025 16:08
To: Adam Greenslade <adam@abeldc.co.uk>
Subject: DM/25/3203

Hi Adam

I've received some comments from our Urban Designer regarding the above application that seeks to discharge condition 13 parts a, c, e, f, and condition 23. I will be seeking clarification from her when she returns from annual leave in January on the point regarding the staircase

windows for the Sadbury and Hazelborough house types and I will get back to you separately on this. I would say at this point though, that I would want the side windows on plot 10 to remain as obscure glazed so there's no overlooking to the existing houses to the north.

I've attached the comments for ease of reference and would be grateful for your response to the points that have been raised. With regards to the request to correct the text marked in blue, this relates to the Fairburn House type. I believe that the references to plots 26, 29, 38, 40 and 43 is incorrect as these are not the Fairburn House type.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Regards

Steve

Steven King, BSc (Hons) Dip TP, MRTPI
Team Leader, Major Development
Mid Sussex District Council
01444 477556

Steven.King@midsussex.gov.uk
www.midsussex.gov.uk



Working together for a better Mid Sussex