

Sarah Valentine

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 11 June 2025 15:21
To: planninginfo
Subject: Fwd: Fwd: Planning application DM/25/1129 Land at Foxhole Farm

[REDACTED]

I am sending this on behalf of my husband as, although my objection received a response from yourselves, his didn't.

Thank you.

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject:Fwd: Planning application DM/25/1129 Land at Foxhole Farm
Date:Wed, 11 Jun 2025 14:56:23 +0100

[REDACTED]

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject:Re: Planning application DM/25/1129 Land at Foxhole Farm
Date:Wed, 11 Jun 2025 14:53:01 +0100

[REDACTED]

Resending as there was no automatic response. Thank you.

[REDACTED]

Re: Planning application DM/25/1129 Land at Foxhole Farm

Dear Sir/Madam

I am writing as a resident of Bolney: Cross Post Cottage, Chapel Road, RH17 5QY.

I strongly object to the Foxhole Farm planning application on the following grounds:

Foxhole was considered and rejected for inclusion in the Bolney neighbourhood Development Plan.

Bolney is a true greenfield site with proven agricultural value in terms of farms, orchards and a vineyard. The Mid Sussex District Plan emphasises the importance

of sustainable development that respects the scale and setting of existing settlements. This proposal would more than double the size of the village, undermining its rural identity and community.

Bolney lacks the infrastructure to support such a large increase in numbers of residents. We do not have any health services, a post office or adequate public transport.

The village already experiences frequent power cuts, water shortages, and flooding. The proposal doesn't demonstrate how it would address these issues or contribute to necessary upgrades.

Additionally, any development would put increased pressure on primary and secondary school places.

The proposal is unsafe because of the access on to the A272; a very busy road with speeding and poor visibility. The roads which have access to the A272 in Bolney are already congested and difficult to exit or enter. There are frequent accidents and attempting to walk or cycle is dangerous.

200 houses are likely to need up to 400 cars which will just make this worse, without even considering the traffic that will be needed on site during building or subsequent deliveries.

The developer's proposals, such as allotments and communal premises are unnecessary. Any 'affordable' housing is unlikely to be within the reach of many.

In summary, the adopted MSDC District plan which makes it clear that development should go to larger towns in built-up areas should be adhered to.

Yours sincerely,

A solid black rectangular box redacting the signature of the sender.