

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 02 July 2025 22:03:04 UTC+01:00
To: "Anna Tidey" <anna.tidey@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/25/1549

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 02/07/2025 10:03 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Land Adjacent To The Meadows Little Park Farm Marchants Close Hurstpierpoint Hassocks West Sussex BN6 9UZ
Proposal:	Single proposed dwelling
Case Officer:	Anna Tidey

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	The Old Dairy Little Park Farm Hurstpierpoint
----------	---

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	I am writing to formally object to the recent planning application for an additional property on the development where I currently reside - a small, rural site located at Little Park Farm. When I made the decision to relocate from central Brighton to Hurstpierpoint, it was specifically with the intention of embracing a quieter, rural lifestyle. I purchased The Old Dairy on the understanding that the development consisted of three homes: mine, The Meadows, and a third which is yet to be constructed on the footprint of the existing metal barn. I was fully aware of the approved planning consent for the barn conversion into a family

home and accepted this as part of the original scope of the development.

This Farmstead setting was a key factor in my decision to purchase here. The area is characterised by open views, agricultural land, and a strong sense of space and tranquility. Granting permission for further development would significantly alter the nature of the site, turning what is currently a small, rural cluster of homes into a housing estate. Had I been seeking that type of environment, I would have chosen to purchase elsewhere. In addition, I wish to raise a concern regarding fencing. It was made clear at the time of purchase that only post-and-rail fencing was permitted to maintain the rural aesthetic. Unfortunately, two sections of 6 ft feather-edge fencing have since been installed in close proximity to my property, including one with 8 ft support posts which previously held trellis panels. These installations obstruct my view of the horse field - one of the features that initially attracted me to this property - and are wholly out of keeping with the agreed rural design. I question how these have been allowed to remain and whether they comply with local planning guidelines or conditions attached to the development. Access to our properties is via a shared private unmade road, which is already in a poor state of repair. The road currently serves three households, with approximately eight vehicles using it. The Barn as mentioned is yet to be developed. The addition of construction traffic and further residential use would place unacceptable strain on this access route and increase the burden of maintenance for existing residents. This would undoubtedly lead to greater deterioration of the road, increased safety concerns, and possible conflict over responsibility. Moreover, any new development is likely to have an adverse impact on local wildlife, existing trees, and the environment. These elements are integral to the character and biodiversity of the area and must be protected.

For these reasons - the deviation from the original character and purpose of the Farmstead, the inconsistency with planning conditions (such as fencing), the unsuitability of the access road for additional use, and the environmental concerns - I strongly object to planning permission being granted for any additional property on this development.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I trust the Planning Department will give full consideration to the implications of this proposal and uphold the principles of responsible rural development.

Kind regards