

From: Sophie Grimaldi <sophiegrimaldi2012@gmail.com>
Sent: 22 May 2025 14:11:02 UTC+01:00
To: "Andrew Clarke" <Andrew.Clarke@midsussex.gov.uk>
Cc: "Nick Grimaldi" <Nick.Grimaldi@sheltonfleming.com>
Subject: Re: DM/25/0693 Warrens Croft, Hickmans Lane, LF
Attachments: EOT.pdf

Dear Andy,

Thank you so much for your time on the phone just now.

To confirm, we approve the extension of time request to give us time for negotiations in the hope of reaching an agreement which is both acceptable and achievable.

As discussed, the previous door had reached the end of its life (it leaked and could no longer be locked) so had to be replaced. Plus, it was not in keeping with the property in terms of style and materials used. The rationale behind not having full glazing was that the porch area is used for coats and shoes so not aesthetically pleasing to see into plus we felt a solid real wooden door was more in keeping with the property. We understand that the wood is lighter in colour than is ideal so could look to stain it a darker oak colour if this would help?

We have received many compliments about our door so are hopeful that a resolution can be found!

Kind regards

Sophie
Sent from my iPhone

On 22 May 2025, at 11:47, Andrew Clarke <Andrew.Clarke@midsussex.gov.uk> wrote:

Dear Mrs Grimaldi,

I refer to the above planning application currently pending with the LPA. I have received the below comments from our Conversation Officer. As you will note she is raising concerns regarding the glazing (or lack of) and solidity and colour of the new door. As it stands these comments and concerns would represent reasons to refuse the planning application.

I would be grateful for any comments you wish to make or proposed amendments you may be willing to make to address the concerns. I have also asked our Conservation Officer for any suggestions and will pass on any further comments should they be received.

With respect to the determination of the application, a decision is due by 26th May but I am content to extend the determination period to allow discussions to take place and have attached an Extension of Time request for an additional 28 days. I would be grateful for your agreement to this.

Should wish to discuss the matter do please let me know.

Kind regards

Andy Clarke BSc (Econ), PGDip
Senior Planning Officer
Planning Investigation and Enforcement
Mid Sussex District Council, Oaklands Road
Haywards Heath, West Sussex, RH16 1SS
Tel: +44 (0)1444 477332
Andrew.Clarke@midsussex.gov.uk <http://www.midsussex.gov.uk>

Working together for a better Mid Sussex



From: Emily Wade <Emily.Wade@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 14 May 2025 11:58
To: Andrew Clarke <Andrew.Clarke@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: DM/25/0693 Warrens Croft, Hickmans Lane, LF

Hi Andy

Comments on the above planning application.

Warrens Croft is, with its attached neighbour Lyndhurst, one half of a handed semi-detached pair of late 19th/early 20th century houses located on Hickmans Lane within the Lindfield Conservation Area. The pair are attractive, and retain a number of original features typical of their period including two storey canted bay windows set under projecting bracketed gables to either end of the building façade, a shared lean-to running across the centre of the elevation incorporating originally open recessed porches to each house, paired dormer windows to the tiled roof, polychrome brickwork with hung tile to the feature gables, and painted timber sashes. The house pair make a positive contribution to the street scene of Hickmans Lane, and to the character and appearance of this part of the Conservation Area.

The current proposal relates to the retention of a replacement door set with side lights which has been installed to the front of the porch to Warrens Croft. The door itself is a solid planked door, the side lights are solid timber at their base with glazing above. The door and framing are in a stained light oak finish.

Prior to these works, the porch was enclosed but by a glazed door with flanking full height glazed sidelights. The framing to the door and sidelights appears to have been of dark coloured uPVC. Although this previous door set was not original, and was not particularly sympathetic in design to the period or style of the house, it did have the benefit of being fully glazed both to the door and side lights, so that the originally open character of the recessed porch could continue to be appreciated, and the position of the original front door still be seen. The dark uPVC, although not matching the majority of joinery to the house, and not of a material which would today be positively viewed in a Conservation Area context, was at least visually recessive due to its dark colouration. This previous arrangement therefore continued to allow some appreciation of an original feature of the building's elevation, and preserved to some extent the original symmetry of the house pair in this respect (Lyndhurst retains its recessed open porch).

In contrast, the door set as installed is largely solid- the door itself is entirely solid wood, and the side lights are glazed only to their upper halves. This has an adverse impact on the character of the porch, as its originally open nature can no longer be appreciated to any significant extent, and the position of the original front door behind this (assuming a door is retained) cannot be seen. The light coloured stained wood is also not sympathetic to the original painted timber joinery to the elevation, and being both lighter in colour (and therefore more eye-catching) and greater in extent, is arguably more obviously out of keeping in this respect than the previous less extensive dark uPVC framing. The design of the door itself, which is of a planked door in a loosely vernacular style, is also not particularly sympathetic to the period or style of the house, in which context a panelled door such as that which appears to survive to Lyndhurst would be likely to be regarded as more appropriate.

Timber will usually be considered a more sympathetic material than uPVC in the context of a traditional building within a Conservation, and it is acknowledged that the previous porch enclosure was not ideal, and would be unlikely to gain consent in today's policy context. However, for the reasons set out above the replacement door set as installed is in my opinion unfortunately unacceptable in terms of causing

further adverse impact to the appearance of the house, the symmetry of the house pair of which it is part, and the character and appearance of the wider Conservation Area. This will be contrary to the requirements of District Plan Policy DP35. In terms of the NPPF, I would consider that the proposal results in less than substantial harm, towards the lower end of that scale, such that the balancing exercise set out in paragraph 215 will apply.

In coming to this opinion I am mindful that the Lindfield Conservation Area is covered by an Article 4 Direction which has been imposed by the Council to withdraw certain permitted development rights including replacement of doors and windows to street facing elevations- this Direction is a reflection of the importance that the Council attaches to the careful preservation of the character of these aspects of the buildings within the Conservation Area.

Thanks,

Emily

Please note that this advice is given at Officer level only and is without prejudice to the formal decision of the District Council.

Submit your planning application online.

<http://www.planningportal.gov.uk>

Emily Wade Ma MSc

Conservation Officer

Planning Services

Tel: +44 (0)1444 477385

emily.wade@midsussex.gov.uk <http://www.midsussex.gov.uk>

The
Electoral
Commission



No ID? You can apply for free voter ID

Find out more at

electoralcommission.org.uk/voterID

or call 0800 328 0280

The information contained in this email may be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. Unless the information contained in this email is legally exempt from disclosure, we cannot guarantee that we will not provide the whole or part of this email to a third party making a request for information about the subject matter of this email. This email and any attachments may contain confidential information and is intended only to be seen and used by the named addressees. If you are not the named addressee, any use, disclosure, copying, alteration or forwarding of this email and its attachments is unauthorised. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender immediately by email or by calling +44 (0) 1444 458 166 and remove this email and its attachments from your system. The views expressed within this email and any attachments are not necessarily the views or policies of Mid Sussex District Council. We have taken precautions to minimise the risk of transmitting software viruses, but we advise you to carry out your own virus checks before accessing this email and any attachments. Except where required by law, we shall not be responsible for any damage, loss or liability of any kind suffered in connection with this email and any attachments, or which may result from reliance on the contents of this email and any attachments.