

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 09 June 2025 17:47:07 UTC+01:00
To: "Joanne Fisher" <joanne.fisher@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/25/1129

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 09/06/2025 5:47 PM.

Application Summary

Address: Land At Foxhole Farm Foxhole Lane Bolney West Sussex

Proposal: Outline application (appearance, landscaping, layout and scale reserved), for the erection of up to 200 residential dwellings, including affordable housing; a community building (use class F1) encompassing land for education provision, together with associated access, ancillary parking and landscaping; the creation of a vehicular access point from the A272 Cowfold Road, and pedestrian and cycle only access to The Street; and creation of a network of roads, footways, and cycleways through the site; together with the provision of countryside open space, children's play areas, community orchard, and allotments; sustainable drainage systems and landscape buffers.

Case Officer: Joanne Fisher

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address: OAKSIDE THE STREET BOLNEY HAYWARDS HEATH

Comments Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour or general public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:

I've looked at the plan and find the developers seem quite naive with regards quite a few things.

1. Cars: every household in the village has at least 2 cars (even 1 bed flats) plus work vehicles. The idea that a 4 bed house will only have 2 cars is a fallacy - check out the Churchfield development and you will see what I mean. With poor transport links, everyone in the village has to rely on cars and there seems insufficient parking space allowed on the plan for 4+ cars per household- a more realistic figure.

2. Utilities: We have severe water supply problems in the village, especially in the summer and frequent power cuts. The utilities in the area will not support so many more houses being built.

3. Services: Providing land for a doctor's surgery will not give us the extra doctors we need and we already have a village hall; a second will be superfluous.

4. Access: The plan shows access will be onto the A272 in a place that is a known accident blackspot. The village already suffers from being a rat-run when there are accidents there and all those extra cars are going to make driving through the village on a road that is realistically only one car wide, even more dangerous.

5. Affordability: The plan also does not address the need for affordable housing at the lower end of the price bracket. There is still at least one property unsold on Churchfield some 2 years later at the higher price end, thus showing that the actual housing need is not being addressed - just the perceived one for the highest financial gain.

The whole plan seems ill thought through from a practical perspective and as such, needs to be rejected.

Kind regards