

Peter Davies

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 18 September 2026 11:51
To: planninginfo
Subject: Re: Proposed development DM/26/2178 between 149 and 161 College Lane
Hurstpierpoint

[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPad

> [REDACTED]
>
>
> This email was sent to Susan Dubberley but she requested that I send it to the above address. I would
also like to a request for the application to be "called in".
> Regards

[REDACTED]

>> Dear Ms Dubberley
>> I am writing to register our objections to the above development.
>> I believe the owner of this land has applied for permission to develop it on more than one occasion.
>> The last time the owner made an application, it was REJECTED by the Council on the 14th November
2014. That was for 3 houses.
>> Now the proposal is for 6 houses and it should be REJECTED AGAIN AS THE PREVIOUS CAUSES
FOR REJECTION REMAIN THE SAME.
>>
>> To recap these objections I quote the Council's comments: "with the site allowing for wider view of the
countryside " and " the proposal HARMS the character and appearance of the locality " and " it is an
unsustainable location, where the occupants would be reliant on the use of a private car to gain access to
local services ".
>>
>> The development is NOT an infill development as it does not have houses directly to either side of it and
it is for 6 houses, not 1 or two.
>> The development is NOT contiguous as it has an existing access road immediately to the north of it.
>>
>> The approval of this development, in addition to the 7 houses now approved and now also under
construction will effectively CLOSE THE VIEW TO THE COUNTRYSIDE.
>>
>> The permission granted to build the 7 houses should never have been given in the first place, where it
would appear that the planning department gave approval based on its own definition of contiguous. The
intended definition of contiguous is for a new development where the proposed houses continue an
existing line of houses.

>> This development does not have this, it has a new access road next to the existing houses and the new houses are constructed well behind the existing housing line.

>>

>> Any Highway report that states that further development along College Lane is sustainable, should be ignored by the council ; as they did in 2014.

>> Altogether Hurstpierpoint already has huge traffic problems and any additional housing around the village should not be allowed until a major revision is made to the High Steet traffic and a viable relief road is made for College Lane.

>>

>> We expect the council to UPHOLD IT'S PREVIOUS OBJECTIONS AS NOTHING HAS CHANGED APART FROM THE DECISION TO APPROVE THE CONSTRUCTION OF 7 HOUSES WHICH HAS MADE IT WORSE.

>>

>> Regards

[REDACTED]

>>

>>

>> Sent from my iPad