

Steven King

From: Catherine Cardin
Sent: 24 January 2025 15:12
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: DM/24/2675: 58 Potters Lane, Burgess Hill, West Sussex, RH15 9JS

Hello Chris,

Ref: DM/24/2675
Re: 58 Potters Lane, Burgess Hill, West Sussex, RH15 9JS

I am writing further to our previous correspondence, with regards to the above application and its current situation.

When assessing an extension under the prior approval process, it only takes into account certain permitted development criteria. As such it can be the case where an extension complies with the prior approval criteria but would not be deemed permitted development. This has occurred here.

The proposed extension would fulfil the prior approval requirements for a rear extension but, as it would adjoin onto an existing side wall, under permitted development it would also need to comply with the criteria for a side extension as well (criterion ja). For a side extension to be permitted development it cannot have a width that is greater than half the width of the original house. The proposed extension would not comply with this requirement.

As the proposed extension would not comply with all of the permitted development criteria, **it therefore requires planning permission**. I hope this helps to clarify matters.

Kind regards,

Catherine