

Anna Tidey

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 23 April 2026 15:30
To: Anna Tidey
Cc: Emily Wade
Subject: RE: The Toll House, High Street, Lindfield DM/25/2663
Attachments: 56HS-001-C-042_01 Elevation BiFold.pdf; 56HS-001-C-040_01 Elevation 2nd Floor Window.pdf; 56HS-001-C-041_01 Elevation Sliding Door.pdf

Hi Anna,

Thank you for your email and Emilys comments. Please find amendments below in blue and requested drawings attached.

I completely understand how busy you and Emily are, could I kindly request feedback as soon as possible and not default to 21 days because we are now entering the best period of the year for the works to go ahead.

I'd really appreciate anything you're able to do, and I'm happy to provide further information instantly if that helps move things forward, but hope this information is everything Emily requires.

Many thanks

Jon

Condition 3- Roofing and facing materials

I can't at present see any details of the roof slate? We would need manufacturer/supplier, range and colour.

The details provided are for a fibre cement slate which will not be acceptable in the context of a listed building, or within a conservation area. This is a manufactured product not a natural material and as the product images demonstrate it lacks the colour variation, texture or profile of natural slate. A natural slate alternative should be substituted.

Thank you for picking up on this. Is Passaro SS55S Standard Quality Spanish Natural Slate Roof Tile in Grey - 500mm x 250mm from roofingsuperstore.co.uk acceptable?



With respect to the brick, the applicant has provided three different images. Although I don't have a problem with the approach outlined the reclaimed bricks shown do not appear to be a particularly good match for the existing? They appear much lighter in colour.

I remain unconvinced that the new brick in particular is a good match for the existing. Can the applicant please provide a photograph of a sample of the proposed new Ibstock brick shown against the existing building?

We agree. If new are required we would propose to use FLB Darks, supplied by Parkers. Please see images below of a sample against the existing wall.



Condition 6 Windows and attic windows, eaves detail, internal and external doors

Windows and attic windows

We have sectional details of the bifold windows, but I believe we previously asked for details of the material and this does not appear to have been provided.

On balance given the contemporary nature of the extension the aluminium material is considered acceptable. As for the Sunflex doors below we don't seem to have elevations?

Please see attached drawing 56HS-001-C-042

We have no further details of the attic window to the front elevation as far as I can see? Large scale elevations and sections should be provided.

As per previous comments large scale elevations are missing and should be provided. Resubmitting the application drawings will not suffice- if this was sufficient detail, no condition would have been required.

Please see attached drawing 56HS-001-C-040

External doors As above for the bifolds, we have only sectional details of the sliding doors as far as I can see.

No elevations appear to have been provided with the further information? As per the attic window, large scale elevations are required.

Please see attached drawing 56HS-001-C-041

From: Anna Tidey <anna.tidey@midsussex.gov.uk>

Sent: 23 April 2026 08:45

Cc: Emily Wade <Emily.Wade@midsussex.gov.uk>

Subject: FW: The Toll House, High Street, Lindfield DM/25/2663

Dear Mr Perez

I received Emily Wade's comments on your latest submissions yesterday, see the emails below.

I thought it is easier for me to forward on these comments directly to you, for your understanding.

Obviously, there are still a number of outstanding matters, which I hope you can address.

I will hold off issuing a decision, in the hope that you can address each point with further submissions.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Regards

Anna

Submit your planning application online.

<http://www.planningportal.gov.uk>


Anna Tidey BA(Hons) MPhil MRTPI

Planning Officer

Development Management

Tel: +44 (0)1444 477342

anna.tidey@midsussex.gov.uk <http://www.midsussex.gov.uk>



Working together for a Better Mid Sussex.



- SAVE A TREE Only print this email if absolutely necessary.

From: Emily Wade <Emily.Wade@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 22 April 2026 14:40
To: Anna Tidey <anna.tidey@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: The Toll House, High Street, Lindfield DM/25/2663

Hi Anna

Further comments on the above discharge of conditions application following the receipt of revised plans. For ease I will add these comments in red to my initial response, below.

In summary, the proposed artificial roofing slate is not acceptable and should be amended, and in respect of the new bifold windows, external sliding doors and attic window we are still missing the large-scale elevations required by the condition. I am also requesting a photograph of the proposed new brick in the context of the existing to demonstrate that it is a good match.

Thanks,

Emily

Please note that this advice is given at Officer level only and is without prejudice to the formal decision of the District Council.

Submit your planning application online.

<http://www.planningportal.gov.uk>

Emily Wade Ma MSc

Conservation Officer

Planning Services

Tel: +44 (0)1444 477385

emily.wade@midsussex.gov.uk <http://www.midsussex.gov.uk>



From: Emily Wade
Sent: 12 February 2026 16:37
To: Anna Tidey <anna.tidey@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: The Toll House, High Street, Lindfield DM/25/2663

Hi Anna

Further comments on the above discharge of conditions application.

Condition 3- Roofing and facing materials

I can't at present see any details of the roof slate? We would need manufacturer/supplier, range and colour.

The details provided are for a fibre cement slate which will not be acceptable in the context of a listed building, or within a conservation area. This is a manufactured product not a natural material and as the product images demonstrate it lacks the colour variation, texture or profile of natural slate. A natural slate alternative should be substituted.

With respect to the brick, the applicant has provided three different images. Although I don't have a problem with the approach outlined the reclaimed bricks shown do not appear to be a particularly good match for the existing? They appear much lighter in colour.

I remain unconvinced that the new brick in particular is a good match for the existing. Can the applicant please provide a photograph of a sample of the proposed new Ibstock brick shown against the existing building?

Condition 5 - Window and door openings, including window and door heads

The detail provided is acceptable.

No further detail was required.

Condition 6 Windows and attic windows, eaves detail, internal and external doors

Windows and attic windows

We have sectional details of the bifold windows, but I believe we previously asked for details of the material and this does not appear to have been provided.

On balance given the contemporary nature of the extension the aluminium material is considered acceptable. As for the Sunflex doors below we don't seem to have elevations?

We have no further details of the attic window to the front elevation as far as I can see? Large scale elevations and sections should be provided.

As per previous comments large scale elevations are missing and should be provided. Resubmitting the application drawings will not suffice- if this was sufficient detail, no condition would have been required.

The eaves detail as shown is not a traditional detail, and is not in my opinion particularly sympathetic to the context. However given that this is a contemporary addition I consider on balance that an objection could not be sustained.

No further detail required.

Internal doors as previously noted are acceptable.

No further detail required.

External doors As above for the bifolds, we have only sectional details of the sliding doors as far as I can see.

No elevations appear to have been provided with the further information? As per the attic window, large scale elevations are required.

Thanks,

Emily

Please note that this advice is given at Officer level only and is without prejudice to the formal decision of the District Council.

Submit your planning application online.

<http://www.planningportal.gov.uk>

Emily Wade Ma MSc

Conservation Officer

Planning Services

Tel: +44 (0)1444 477385

emily.wade@midsussex.gov.uk <http://www.midsussex.gov.uk>



The information contained in this email may be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. Unless the information contained in this email is legally exempt from disclosure, we cannot guarantee that we will not provide the whole or part of this email to a third party making a request for information about the subject matter of this email. This email and any attachments may contain confidential information and is intended only to be seen and used by the named addressees. If you are not the named addressee, any use, disclosure, copying, alteration or forwarding of this email and its attachments is unauthorised. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender immediately by email or by calling +44 (0) 1444 458 166 and remove this email and its attachments from your system. The views expressed within this email and any attachments are not necessarily the views or policies of Mid Sussex District Council. We have taken precautions to minimise the risk of transmitting software viruses, but we advise you to carry out your

own virus checks before accessing this email and any attachments. Except where required by law, we shall not be responsible for any damage, loss or liability of any kind suffered in connection with this email and any attachments, or which may result from reliance on the contents of this email and any attachments.