

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 28 September 2026 18:09:21 UTC+01:00
To: "planninginfo" <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/26/2178

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 28/09/2026 6:09 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Land Between 149 And 161 College Lane Hurstpierpoint West Sussex
Proposal:	Erection 6no. dwellings with associated access, landscaping, parking and areas of open space.
Case Officer:	Susan Dubberley

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	23 College Lane Hurstpierpoint
----------	--------------------------------

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	I strongly object to this development for numerous reasons, including the same ones I stated when objecting to the DM/24/1139 development which is now unfortunately being built: * It is not in the Mid Sussex district plan, nor is it in the Hurstpierpoint and Sayers Common parish council neighbourhood plan * College Lane slightly to the south of the development is already a notorious bottleneck, adding more traffic would be to the further detriment of local residents

* The development is on a blind bend in the road, and there are no pedestrian footpaths. My daughter has regularly walked up College Lane to reach stables north of the College, any development which makes this trip less safe for her should be prevented

* Drainage is poor and there have already been numerous cases of raw sewage being leaked into the environment

* There would be a loss of arable fields and views over the countryside

* I particularly object to this proposed development in the light of the recent situation. Firstly, adding 6 houses next to the site of the ones currently being built is very much the creeping urbanisation of our rural village. If there were going to be 13 houses here they should have been included in the original proposal, not piecemeal like this. Secondly, the Mid Sussex plan is currently in the process of being massively changed, with vast implications for our village. This proposal should be included in that exercise, not treated as a separate project

* It feels very much like the residents of Hurstpierpoint gain nothing from this, while a very small number of people make a lot of money from it

Kind regards