

Catherine Cardin

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk
Sent: 18 September 2026 16:49
To: Catherine Cardin
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application DM/26/2117

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 18/09/2026 4:48 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	5 The Holt Haywards Heath West Sussex RH16 4XR
Proposal:	Proposed loft conversion with gable-end and rear dormer
Case Officer:	Catherine Cardin

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	The Holt Haywards Heath
----------	-------------------------

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	Dear Sir/Madam, I am a resident of the adjacent apartments and I formally object to application DM/26/2117. The proposal would add a further bedroom to a property that already generates a disproportionate level of parking demand, vehicle movements and residential nuisance. This intensification would worsen existing problems that affect neighbouring occupiers, visitor parking and the shared spaces serving the flats. Parking and highway impact The property already accommodates a large number of vehicles. Additional occupiers from an extra bedroom would increase pressure on limited on-site parking and on the visitor spaces

used by residents of the adjacent flats.

Multiple vans and trailers associated with the property are regularly parked outside the dwelling, in visitor bays and in positions that obstruct or inconvenience other residents. The site does not have adequate parking for the current level of activity. Adding another bedroom would make this worse and is contrary to the expectation that development should not create or exacerbate parking stress or highway safety issues.



Harm to neighbouring amenity

As a resident of the adjacent apartments I experience the effects of this activity daily: blocked or occupied visitor parking, vans and trailers left in inconvenient locations, and general disturbance from the volume of vehicles. The proposed loft conversion would add another bedroom without providing any additional parking or addressing the existing shortfall. That would increase occupancy, visitors and commercial-related movements and would further harm the amenity of nearby residents. The Council should also take into account the applicant's planning history, including unauthorised development, when considering whether further intensification is acceptable.

Conclusion

The application would intensify an already over-occupied and commercially used residential property that lacks sufficient parking. It would worsen existing parking problems, increase nuisance from vans and trailers, and harm the amenity of residents of the adjacent flats. I therefore request that planning permission is refused.

Kind regards