

Delegated Decision

Sign off Sheet

Ref. No:	DM/25/1230	Case Officer:	Emma Rivett
Application Type:	Trees in a Conservation Area		
Proposal:	T1 Box Elder - reduce crown by 2m, T2 Hazel - reduce crown by 1m, T3 Hazel - Crown reduce by 2m and thin by 20%.		
Site:	158 Western Road, Hurstpierpoint, Hassocks, West Sussex, BN6 9TD, , ,		
Validation Date	12 May 2025	Overall Expiry Date:	10 Jun 2025
Pre-Commencement Conditions Required:		Pre-Com Conditions Date Agreed:	
Recommendation:	No Objection	Recommendation Date:	21 May 2025
Target Date:	23 Jun 2025	Recommending Officer Signature:	Emma Rivett

Date Legal Agreement Completed: (if applicable)		No of Representations:	0
--	--	-------------------------------	---

Signed and Agreed By:	Beth Howland-Smith	Date:	11 Jun 2025
Comments:			

MID SUSSEX DISTRICT COUNCIL

DM/25/1230

**158 Western Road, Hurstpierpoint, Hassocks, West Sussex, BN6 9TD, ,
T1 Box Elder - reduce crown by 2m, T2 Hazel - reduce crown by 1m, T3 Hazel - Crown reduce by
2m and thin by 20%.
John Webb**

The proposed work is as described. The description and the application have been readvertised to reflect some changes. An additional tree was added to the application following an email from the applicant/ Arborist.

All the trees are in the back garden and have no public amenity value.

T1 Box elder is alongside the border of the adjacent property and has been pruned previously. The proposed work will remove the regrowth and take the tree back to previous cut points and not beyond them. This is acceptable and good management for this tree.

T2 Hazel is further along the fence line on the same side as the box elder. It is a previous coppice stool, and the proposed works will reduce some of the stems by 1m, which will make the tree more manageable without losing the screen it provides currently.

T3 Hazel is on the opposite side of the garden and is the tree that was added after the application submission. To crown reduce by 2m and thin by 20% will make this tree more manageable in its location and allow more light through without losing the screening it provides from the neighbouring property.

No objection is recommended for all works.

Decision: No Objection

Case Officer: Emma Rivett