

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 27 September 2026 21:59:10 UTC+01:00
To: "planninginfo" <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/26/2178

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 27/09/2026 9:59 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Land Between 149 And 161 College Lane Hurstpierpoint West Sussex
Proposal:	Erection 6no. dwellings with associated access, landscaping, parking and areas of open space.
Case Officer:	Susan Dubberley

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	94 College Lane Hurstpierpoint BN69AJ
----------	---------------------------------------

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	I object to this proposal as it is in a semi rural area providing the transition between the built up area of the village and the countryside beyond. This plot is outside the village boundary. It is completely unsustainable to build on this plot as residents would need to be completely reliant on a car to access local services (there is no pedestrian access). The Lane is already at saturation point. It is highly dangerous for current residents walking your children to the local school (I have been hit before by
-----------	--

a driver who was frustrated with the narrow part and sped up). I fear a serious accident is imminent.

It is not uncommon for College Lane to be at a complete standstill with traffic (exacerbated by Hurst College). Hurst College has seen 100% growth in the past 10 years and this has had a noticeable affect on traffic and pollution in College Lane, Malthouse Lane and other exit points such as the Hassocks crossroads.

The site is not an infill site. It does not contain an existing building line that exists both sides of the site. And infill is normally limited to 1 or 2 houses (not 6).

I request that this is called in.

Kind regards