

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 27 September 2026 16:37:43 UTC+01:00
To: "planninginfo" <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/26/2178

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 27/09/2026 4:37 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Land Between 149 And 161 College Lane Hurstpierpoint West Sussex
Proposal:	Erection 6no. dwellings with associated access, landscaping, parking and areas of open space.
Case Officer:	Susan Dubberley

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	32 College Lane Hurstpierpoint Hassocks
----------	---

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	Horried to discover another potential housing development in College Lane I would hope the application has been called in, rather than rubber stamped before any due diligence was undertaken. The development cannot be regarded as infill as there are too many proposed houses and it does not continue an existing building line.

Highway impact would be very worrying.. College Lane is a narrow rural lane with no continuous footpath making it a hazardous journey for pedestrians and school children. Some sections are too narrow for two vehicles to pass one another. Frequently, I see large trucks or coaches attempting to pass down the lane and 2 minutes later they have to reverse back around a blind bend. During school term time there are frequent bottlenecks in the narrow section which backs up past my house resulting in additional engine pollution. Sometimes it is complete chaos when a truck or bus tries to navigate the lane at the same time as school traffic. The high street is restricted by listed buildings with no scope for widening and frequent bottlenecks. Stone Pound crossroad is another bottleneck. Additional homes would exacerbate an already overstretched road structure.

The plot is high quality livestock grazing land and it will be lost forever. It is currently open rural landscape and the impact on flora and fauna will be profound.

There have been numerous water supply and drainage interruptions over the last 2 years. We are still in a drought situation. More homes will put extra pressure on an already overstretched service.

Schools and GP services are also on the limits.

It is an ill thought out proposal and should be rejected.

Kind regards