

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 27 September 2026 12:27:17 UTC+01:00
To: "planninginfo" <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/26/2178

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 27/09/2026 12:27 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Land Between 149 And 161 College Lane Hurstpierpoint West Sussex
Proposal:	Erection 6no. dwellings with associated access, landscaping, parking and areas of open space.
Case Officer:	Susan Dubberley

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	College lane Hurstpierpoint
----------	-----------------------------

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	Objection to Proposed Six-House Development
	I object to the proposed development of six houses on the grounds of its impact on the local area, infrastructure, traffic, character and cumulative development pressure on Hurstpierpoint.
	Main concerns

- Infrastructure: Even a six-house development adds demand to already pressured water, sewerage, drainage, electricity, schools and healthcare services. The capacity of existing infrastructure should be properly considered.
- Drainage and flooding: The proposal should demonstrate that surface-water drainage can be adequately managed without increasing flood risk or placing additional pressure on surrounding properties and infrastructure.
- Traffic and highways: Six additional homes will generate additional vehicle movements. The impact on local roads, junctions, congestion and highway safety should be properly assessed.
- Parking: Adequate on-site parking should be provided to prevent additional pressure being placed on surrounding roads and existing residential streets.
- Character of Hurstpierpoint: The development should be assessed against the character and appearance of the surrounding area and its relationship with the existing settlement.
- Landscape: The impact on the surrounding countryside, views and landscape setting of Hurstpierpoint should be properly considered.
- Cumulative impact: Although this proposal is for only six houses, it should not be considered in isolation. There are numerous other developments being proposed around Hurstpierpoint. The Council should consider the combined effect of these developments on infrastructure, traffic, services and the character of the village.
- Settlement separation: The development should be assessed for its potential contribution to the gradual loss of open land and separation between Hurstpierpoint and neighbouring settlements.
- Infrastructure delivery: Any infrastructure requirements arising from the development should be clearly identified and secured before the development proceeds.

Conclusion

The fact that this proposal is for only six houses does not mean that its impacts should be considered in isolation.

The Council should assess the specific impacts of these six homes, together with their contribution to the wider cumulative pressure created by development across Hurstpierpoint.

I therefore ask the Council to carefully consider the effects on drainage, highways, parking, infrastructure, landscape, village character and settlement separation, and to ensure that the development can be properly accommodated without causing unacceptable harm to the local area.

Kind regards