

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 12 January 2025 22:12:23 UTC+00:00
To: "Susan Dubberley" <susan.dubberley@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/24/3077

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 12/01/2025 10:12 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Sussex House Perrymount Road Haywards Heath West Sussex RH16 1DN
Proposal:	Demolition of the existing buildings and construction of a new food store (Use Class E(a)), with access, car and cycle parking, landscaping and associated works
Case Officer:	Susan Dubberley

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address: 2 Park View Sydney Road Haywards

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	I wish to object to the current planning application due to the following direct affect to our property : - There is very little mention in the reports to the direct affect that the proposed property will have to Park View which is a row of six townhouses to the East of the site. Numbered properties 1 and 2 will be directly affected as these two properties back onto the site.

Number 2 has short garden of around 5m and then between the end of the rear garden and the proposed site there is a distance of approximately 3m which is a pedestrian footpath. In addition, the townhouses are set approximately 4m below the current site level. What is not clear from the plans is what height the proposed buildings will be as a direct comparison to our properties. Whilst it mentions that the proposed building will be 6.8m lower than the existing building, at the consultation it was suggested that the building nearest our boundary would be lower than the existing level but it is not clear to a layman what level this will be. The existing Sussex House building is some distance from Park View, whereas the proposed will be close to our boundary.

Noise

The proposed refrigeration plant will produce noise in an area that currently enjoys very little noise interference. Whilst we note that there will be sound attenuation fencing and vegetation planned to dampen the noise, this plant will be running 24/7 365 days of the year.

There will be significant increased car, shopping trolleys and pedestrian noise from the site during longer hours than the current usage of the property. Also, what security measures will be put in place to ensure the car park is not used outside of operational hours. Clair Park car park does attract undesirables.

Obstruction of light

There seems to be the possibility that depending on the height of the proposed building and how that relates to our property, our light will be interrupted.

Whilst a hedge row of vegetation will be planted along the boundary, again this should be at a level that does not cause interruption of sun light to our garden and property.

Pollution and Air Quality

Due to the elevated height of our properties to the East, there will be increased pollution from car fumes coming across from the car park directly to our bedrooms on the 2nd and 3rd floor at the rear of our property.

Drainage -The flood risk mitigation and drainage

The proposal suggests that the land drainage will have two soakaways in the North East corner of the site. How will this drain onwards from the soakaways as there is currently a pathway going East from this corner of the site which suffers from flooding, mainly due to the insufficient drainage in Clair Park. Will this be exacerbated by any additional flow from the new site.

Kind regards