

From: Emily Wade <Emily.Wade@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 14 May 2026 15:19:07 UTC+01:00
To: "Anna Tidey" <anna.tidey@midsussex.gov.uk>
Cc: "Jonathon Pérez" <jonathonperez@gmail.com>
Subject: RE: The Toll House, High Street, Lindfield DM/25/2663

Hi Anna

Further comments on the above discharge of conditions application. I have added these to my previous comments below, in blue.

In summary, these conditions can now be discharged.

NB Mr Perez is copied into this email for his information, in your absence.

Thanks,

Emily

Please note that this advice is given at Officer level only and is without prejudice to the formal decision of the District Council.

Submit your planning application online.
<http://www.planningportal.gov.uk>

Emily Wade Ma MSc
Conservation Officer
Planning Services
Tel: +44 (0)1444 477385
emily.wade@midsussex.gov.uk <http://www.midsussex.gov.uk>



From: Emily Wade
Sent: 22 April 2026 14:40
To: Anna Tidey <anna.tidey@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: The Toll House, High Street, Lindfield DM/25/2663

Hi Anna

Further comments on the above discharge of conditions application following the receipt of revised plans. For ease I will add these comments in red to my initial response, below.

In summary, the proposed artificial roofing slate is not acceptable and should be amended, and in respect of the new bifold windows, external sliding doors and attic window we are still missing the large-scale elevations required by the condition. I am also requesting a photograph of the proposed new brick in the context of the existing to demonstrate that it is a good match.

Thanks,

Emily

Please note that this advice is given at Officer level only and is without prejudice to the formal decision of the District Council.

Submit your planning application online.
<http://www.planningportal.gov.uk>

Emily Wade Ma MSc
Conservation Officer
Planning Services
Tel: +44 (0)1444 477385
emily.wade@midsussex.gov.uk <http://www.midsussex.gov.uk>



From: Emily Wade
Sent: 12 February 2026 16:37
To: Anna Tidey <anna.tidey@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: The Toll House, High Street, Lindfield DM/25/2663

Hi Anna

Further comments on the above discharge of conditions application.

Condition 3- Roofing and facing materials

I can't at present see any details of the roof slate? We would need manufacturer/supplier, range and colour.

The details provided are for a fibre cement slate which will not be acceptable in the context of a listed building, or within a conservation area. This is a manufactured product not a natural material and as the product images demonstrate it lacks the colour variation, texture or profile of natural slate. A natural slate alternative should be substituted.

The suggested Spanish slate is acceptable.

With respect to the brick, the applicant has provided three different images. Although I don't have a problem with the approach outlined the reclaimed bricks shown do not appear to be a particularly good match for the existing? They appear much lighter in colour.

I remain unconvinced that the new brick in particular is a good match for the existing. Can the applicant please provide a photograph of a sample of the proposed new Ibstock brick shown against the existing building?

On the basis of the further information the proposed brick is acceptable.

Condition 5 - Window and door openings, including window and door heads

The detail provided is acceptable.

No further detail was required.

Condition 6 Windows and attic windows, eaves detail, internal and external doors

Windows and attic windows

We have sectional details of the bifold windows, but I believe we previously asked for details of the material and this does not appear to have been provided.

On balance given the contemporary nature of the extension the aluminium material is considered acceptable. As for the Sunflex doors below we don't seem to have elevations?

The elevations as submitted are acceptable.

We have no further details of the attic window to the front elevation as far as I can see? Large scale elevations and sections should be provided.

As per previous comments large scale elevations are missing and should be provided. Resubmitting the application drawings will not suffice- if this was sufficient detail, no condition would have been required.

The elevations as submitted are acceptable.

The eaves detail as shown is not a traditional detail, and is not in my opinion particularly sympathetic to the context. However given that this is a contemporary addition I consider on balance that an objection could not be sustained.

No further detail required.

Internal doors as previously noted are acceptable.
No further detail required.

External doors As above for the bifolds, we have only sectional details of the sliding doors as far as I can see.

No elevations appear to have been provided with the further information? As per the attic window, large scale elevations are required.

The elevations as submitted are acceptable.

Thanks,

Emily

Please note that this advice is given at Officer level only and is without prejudice to the formal decision of the District Council.

Submit your planning application online.
<http://www.planningportal.gov.uk>

Emily Wade Ma MSc
Conservation Officer
Planning Services
Tel: +44 (0)1444 477385
emily.wade@midsussex.gov.uk <http://www.midsussex.gov.uk>



No ID? You can apply for free voter ID
Find out more at
electoralcommission.org.uk/voterID
or call 0800 328 0280