

From: Hamish Jackson - Senior Ecological Consultant <Hamish.Jackson@essex.gov.uk>
Sent: 10 July 2026 15:19:06 UTC+01:00
To: "Anna Tidey" <anna.tidey@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: DM/26/1050 -DOC Application - Outline Planning Approval - Woodside Grange, Hassocks

Hi Anna,

Apologies, I can see that my response for this application is a bit muddled. My comments should only relate to Condition 20 & 21, as the Condition 22 – Construction Management Plan does not relate to ecology.

Therefore, please see my comments below, based on the additional information :

- Condition 20 – Biodiversity Enhancement Layout - **Recommend discharge**
- Condition 21- Lighting for biodiversity: The 'PROPOSED LIGHTING SCHEME PLAN' by Neil Pike Architects Ltd appropriately sets out the locations of external lighting and we are satisfied that the proposal to install '18967 Outdoor Up/Down Wall Light with PIR GU10 IP44' will not result in impacts upon foraging and commuting bats. - **Recommend discharge**
- Condition 22 – Construction Management Plan – **No ecology comments**

I hope that helps and please re-consult us if you would like a formal consultation response.

Regards,

Hamish

Hamish Jackson ACIEEM BSc (Hons)
Senior Ecological Consultant

Place Services, E4 Zone 3, County Hall, Chelmsford Essex CM1 1QH
t: 03330 320980 | m: 07740901139
e: hamish.jackson@essex.gov.uk / PlaceServicesEcology@essex.gov.uk
w: www.placeservices.co.uk



From: Anna Tidey <anna.tidey@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 09 July 2026 10:44
To: Hamish Jackson - Senior Ecological Consultant <Hamish.Jackson@essex.gov.uk>
Subject: FW: DM/26/1050 -DOC Application - Outline Planning Approval - Woodside Grange, Hassocks

CAUTION: This is an external email.

Dear Hamish

Please see the email I have received from the Agent for this application today below. This is in response to my email to him dated 07.07.2026, see attached.

As you will see I have identified the following matters with regards to the comments I have received from you:

‘Condition 20-Prelim Ecol Appraisal – No comments received from Ecologist?

Condition 21- Lighting for biodiversity – Ecologist agreed to submitted details 19.05.2026.

Condition 22 – Construction Management Plan –Ecologist has made comments and does not agree to discharge.’

To help me to understand where we are please can you confirm if you can make any further comments or if you need additional submissions to allow for the discharge of these conditions?

Thank you.

Regards

Anna

Submit your planning application online.

<http://www.planningportal.gov.uk>

Anna Tidey BA(Hons) MPhil MRTPI
Planning Officer
Development Management

Tel: +44 (0)1444 477342

anna.tidey@midsussex.gov.uk <http://www.midsussex.gov.uk>



Working together for a Better Mid Sussex.



- SAVE A TREE Only print this email if absolutely necessary.

From: Roger Pike <roger@neilpikearchitects.co.uk>

Sent: 09 July 2026 10:15

To: Anna Tidey <anna.tidey@midsussex.gov.uk>

Subject: FW: DM/26/1050 -DOC Application - Outline Planning Approval - Woodside Grange, Hassocks

Hi Anna,

Thanks for your email on Tuesday. I am in the process of going through the content.

With regards specifically to conditions 20 and 21 – And as per my email below, there appears to be a dis-connection within the ecology consultee response (attached) between the condition numbers they refer to and comment on (conditions 20 & 21) and the condition numbers they actually provide comments on further down the document (conditions 21 & 22).

I raised this on May 19th and would appreciate clarity on this matter, as from my understanding, and given the content of their response and the documents they refer to it would appear that they set out to provide comments on conditions 20 and 21 but then, within their comments section, incorrectly insert condition numbers 21 and 22, which contradicts the reports and documentation they refer to.

Condition 21 relates to external lighting and their comments in response to this come under the condition 22 tab, so there's clearly been a numbers error.

Please, as a matter of urgency can you address this so we can ensure details submitted for conditions 20 and 21 are acceptable.

Furthermore: condition 22 relates to the construction management plan and as I believe you have acknowledged, we provided the site setup plan on May 19th and June 1st. I can't see this on the L.A. website but can you clarify this is acceptable please.

In addition to that – the attached proposed lighting scheme was sent to you on 10th June, which we hope will address the condition 21, to which the ecologist requested further information.

I appreciate it's easy to lose trail on this, but please can you acknowledge receipt of this email and ensure the emails I've sent over the course of the last 6-8 weeks have been reviewed.

This leaves condition 11 – regarding drainage. I will re-revie this, as we did request some clarity from the consultee on what was required, but we have had no response. I will speak with our consultant and endeavour to get what is required as quickly as is possible.

Thanks Anna.

Kind regards

Roger Pike

Roger Pike BA(Hons) PGDipSurv



5th Floor Arkwright House
Parsonage Gardens
Manchester
M3 2LF

T – 0161 667 8030

Michigan House,
17-19 Chorley New Road,
Bolton
BL1 4QR

T- 01204 392233

F- 01204528505

www.neilpikearchitects.co.uk

From: Roger Pike

Sent: 19 May 2026 16:36

To: Anna Tidey <anna.tidey@midsussex.gov.uk>

Subject: FW: DM/26/1050 -DOC Application - Outline Planning Approval - Woodside Grange, Hassocks

Hi Anna,

I've noticed the ecology consultee comments have also now been provided (please see attached).

Am I right in thinking that within their 'Comments' & 'Recommendations' sections they are actually referring to conditions 20 & 21 and not 21 & 22, as their response initially references conditions 20 and 21 and condition 22 is a construction management plan, not relevant to ecology.

Please confirm, thank you.

Kind regards

Roger Pike

Roger Pike BA(Hons) PGDipSurv



5th Floor Arkwright House
Parsonage Gardens
Manchester
M3 2LF

T – 0161 667 8030

Michigan House,
17-19 Chorley New Road,
Bolton
BL1 4QR

T- 01204 392233

F- 01204528505

www.neilpikearchitects.co.uk

From: Roger Pike

Sent: 19 May 2026 14:46

To: Anna Tidey <anna.tidey@midsussex.gov.uk>

Subject: DM/26/1050 -DOC Application - Outline Planning Approval - Woodside Grange, Hassocks

Hi Anna,

Hope you're well and enjoyed your time off.

In response to recent consultee feedback regarding condition 22, please find attached a site setup plan as requested. Hopefully this is satisfactory to discharge the condition, if you could kindly pass on to Kyran Schneider.

From my understanding and the consultee feedback so far, we have approval of the information provided for conditions 3, 5, 6, 14, 15 & now hopefully 22.

Are there any further updates at this time?

Kind regards

Roger Pike

Roger Pike BA(Hons) PGDipSurv



5th Floor Arkwright House
Parsonage Gardens
Manchester
M3 2LF

Michigan House,
17-19 Chorley New Road,
Bolton
BL1 4QR

T – 0161 667 8030

T- 01204 392233

F- 01204528505

www.neilpikearchitects.co.uk

The information contained in this email may be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. Unless the information contained in this email is legally exempt from disclosure, we cannot guarantee that we will not provide the whole or part of this email to a third party making a request for information about the subject matter of this email. This email and any attachments may contain confidential information and is intended only to be seen and used by the named addressees. If you are not the named addressee, any use, disclosure, copying, alteration or forwarding of this email and its attachments is unauthorised. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender immediately by email or by calling +44 (0) 1444 458 166 and remove this email and its attachments from your system. The views expressed within this email and any attachments are not necessarily the views or policies of Mid Sussex District Council. We have taken precautions to minimise the risk of transmitting software viruses, but we advise you to carry out your own virus checks before accessing this email and any attachments. Except where required by law, we shall not be responsible for any damage, loss or liability of any kind suffered in connection with this email and any attachments, or which may result from reliance on the contents of this email and any attachments.